OUR SUICIDAL WAR AGAINST NATURE

John Scales Avery

January 2, 2021

$Introduction^1$

Ceasefire in our suicidal war against nature

Here are some quotations from a December 2, 2020 article by Justin Rowlatt entitled *Humans waging 'suicidal war' on nature - UN chief Antonio Guter-res*:

"Humanity is waging what he describes as a 'suicidal' war on the natural world.

"Nature always strikes back, and is doing so with gathering force and fury,' he told a BBC special event on the environment.

"Mr Guterres wants to put tackling climate change at the heart of the UN's global mission.

"In a speech entitled State of the Planet, he announced that its 'central objective' next year will be to build a global coalition around the need to reduce emissions to net zero.

"Net zero refers to cutting greenhouse gas emissions as far as possible and balancing any further releases by removing an equivalent amount from the atmosphere.

"Mr Guterres said that every country, city, financial institution and company 'should adopt plans for a transition to net zero emissions by 2050'. In his view, they will also need to take decisive action now to put themselves on the path towards achieving this vision.

"The objective, said the UN secretary general, will be to cut global emissions by 45% by 2030 compared with 2010 levels.

¹This book draws heavily on chapters that I have previously published in various books, but a considerable amount of new material has also been added.

"Here's what Mr Guterres demanded the nations of the world do:

- Put a price on carbon
- Phase out fossil fuel finance and end fossil fuel subsidies
- Shift the tax burden from income to carbon, and from tax payers to polluters
- Integrate the goal of carbon neutrality (a similar concept to net zero) into all economic and fiscal policies and decisions
- Help those around the world who are already facing the dire impacts of climate change

Apocalyptic fires and floods

"It is an ambitious agenda, as Mr Guterres acknowledged, but he said that radical action is needed now.

" 'The science is clear,' Mr Guterres told the BBC, 'unless the world cuts fossil fuel production by 6% every year between now and 2030, things will get worse. Much worse.'

"Climate policies have yet to rise to the challenge, the UN chief said, adding that 'without concerted action, we may be headed for a catastrophic three to five-degree temperature rise this century'.

"The impact is already being felt around the world.

" 'Apocalyptic fires and floods, cyclones and hurricanes are the new normal," he warned.

" 'Biodiversity is collapsing. Deserts are spreading. Oceans are choking with plastic waste.'

Moment of truth

"Mr Guterres said the nations of the world must bring ambitious commitments to cut emissions to the international climate conference the UK and Italy are hosting in Glasgow in November next year.

"As well as pressing for action on the climate crisis, he urged nations to tackle the extinction crisis that is destroying biodiversity and to step up efforts to reduce pollution.

"We face, he said, a "moment of truth".

"But he does discern some glimmers of hope.

"He acknowledged that the European Union, the US, China, Japan, South Korea and more than 110 other countries have committed to become carbon neutral by the middle of this century.

"He said he wants to see this momentum turned into a movement.

"Technology will help us to reach these targets, Mr Guterres said he believes.

" 'The coal business is going up in smoke,' because it costs more to run most of today's coal plants than it does to build new renewable plants from scratch, he told the BBC.

" 'We must forge a safer, more sustainable and equitable path', the UN chief concluded.

"He said it is time for this war against the planet to end, adding: 'We must declare a permanent ceasefire and reconcile with nature."

The danger of a catastrophic global famine

As glaciers melt in the Himalayas, depriving India and China of summer water supplies; as sea levels rise, drowning the fertile rice fields of Viet Nam and Bangladesh; as drought threatens the productivity of grain-producing regions of North America; and as the end of the fossil fuel era impacts modern high-yield agriculture, there is a threat of wide-spread famine involving billions rather than millions of people

People threatened with famine will become refugees, desperately seeking entry into countries where food shortages are less acute. Wars, such as those currently waged in the Middle East, will add to the problem.

What can we do to avoid this crisis, or at least to reduce its severity? We must urgently address the problem of climate change; and we must shift money from military expenditure to the support of birth control programs and agricultural research. We must also replace the institution of war by a system of effective global governance and enforcible international laws.

Optimum population in the distant future

What is the optimum population of the world? It is certainly not the maximum number that can be squeezed onto the globe by eradicating every species of plant and animal that cannot be eaten. The optimum global population is one that can be supported in comfort, equality and dignity - and with respect for the environment.

In 1848 (when there were just over one billion people in the world), John Stuart Mill described the optimal global population in the following words:

"The density of population necessary to enable mankind to obtain, in the greatest degree, all the advantages of cooperation and social intercourse, has, in the most populous countries, been attained. A population may be too crowded, although all be amply supplied with food and raiment."

"... Nor is there much satisfaction in contemplating the world with nothing left to the spontaneous activity of nature; with every rood of land brought into cultivation, which is capable of growing food for human beings; every flowery waste or natural pasture plowed up, all quadrupeds or birds which are not domesticated for man's use exterminated as his rivals for food, every hedgerow or superfluous tree rooted out, and scarcely a place left where a wild shrub or flower could grow without being eradicated as a weed in the name of improved agriculture. If the earth must lose that great portion of its pleasantness which it owes to things that the unlimited increase of wealth and population would extirpate from it, for the mere purpose of enabling it to support a larger, but not better or happier population, I sincerely hope, for the sake of posterity, that they will be content to be stationary, long before necessity compels them to it."²

Dennis Meadows, one of the authors of *Limits to Growth*, stated recently (in a private conversation) that the sustainable human population in the distant future may be about 2 billion people.

Lives of some environmental activists

Many people realize that catastrophic climate change poses an existential threat to human civilization and the biosphere. In this book, several outstanding environmental activists are discussed, as well as their dedicated work to save the future of our planet:

- Former US Vice President Al Gore
- Teenage Swedish climate activist Greta Thunberg
- Universally respected and admired naturalist Sir David Attenborough
- Primatologist and environmentalist Dr. Jane Goodall
- His Holiness Pope Francis I, leader of the Catholic Church
- James Hansen, Bill McKibben and Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez

²John Stuart Mill, Principles of Political Economy, With Some of Their Applications to Social Philosophy, (1848).



Figure 1: Population growth and fossil fuel use, seen on a time-scale of several thousand years. The dots are population estimates in millions from the US Census Bureau. Fossil fuel use appears as a spike-like curve, rising from almost nothing to a high value, and then falling again to almost nothing in the space of a few centuries. When the two curves are plotted together, the explosive rise of global population is seen to be simultaneous with, and perhaps partially driven by, the rise of fossil fuel use. This raises the question of whether the world's population is headed for a crash when the fossil fuel era has ended. (Author's own graph)

Contents

1	CEASEFIRE IN OUR SUICIDAL WAR AGAINST NATURE			
	1.1	Antonio Guterres' State of the Planet broadcast	13	
	1.2	Guterres warns world leaders	15	
2	EXTINCTION EVENTS AND FEEDBACK LOOPS			
	2.1	A warning from the World Bank	30	
	2.2	Permian-Triassic extinction event	31	
	2.3	The Holocene (Anthropocene) extinction	32	
	2.4	Global warming and atmospheric water vapor	34	
	2.5	The albedo effect	34	
	2.6	The methane hydrate feedback loop	37	
	2.7	A feedback loop from warming of soils	37	
	2.8	Drying of forests and forest fires	37	
	2.9	Tipping points and feedback loops	38	
3	AN	INCONVENIENT TRUTH	43	
	3.1	An Inconvenient Truth	43	
	3.2	Excerpts from Al Gore's Nobel Lecture	50	
	3.3	Preventing an ecological apocalypse	52	
	3.4	Excerpts from the IPCC's Nobel Lecture	54	
	3.5	An existential risk to human civilization	61	
	3.6	Only 12 years left to limit climate change catastrophe	78	
4	GR	ETA THUNBERG	87	
	4.1	Greta Thunberg's TED talk	87	
	4.2	Only immediate climate action can save the future	90	
	4.3	Worldwide school strike, 15 March, 2019	94	
	4.4	The World Meteorological Organization's report	101	
	4.5	Only 12 years left to limit climate change catastrophe	101	
	4.6	COP24, the climate summit in Poland	102	
	4.7	The UK declares a climate emergency	112	
	4.8	Understatement of existential climate risk	113	
	4.9	The 2018 IPCC report	118	

5.1 Family background and childhood 129 5.2 Career at the BBC 133 5.3 Disaster! 139 5.4 Climate Change, The Facts 142 5.5 Sir David testifies in Parliament 143 5.6 Extinction: The Facts 146 5.7 A Life On Our Planet 150 6 JANE GOODALL 153 6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 9.6 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 Jhe Climate Movement: What's Next?	5	SIR	DAVID ATTENBOROUGH 1	29
5.2 Career at the BBC 133 5.3 Disaster! 139 5.4 Climate Change, The Facts 142 5.5 Sir David testifies in Parliament 143 5.6 Extinction: The Facts 146 5.7 A Life On Our Planet 150 6 JANE GOODALL 153 6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 <		5.1	Family background and childhood	29
5.3 Disaster! 139 5.4 Climate Change, The Facts 142 5.5 Sir David testifies in Parliament 143 5.6 Extinction: The Facts 146 5.7 A Life On Our Planet 150 6 JANE GOODALL 153 6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 153 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis Mets Leonardo DiCaprio 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>5.2</td><td>Career at the BBC</td><td>.33</td></tr<>		5.2	Career at the BBC	.33
5.4 Climate Change, The Facts 142 5.5 Sir David testifies in Parliament 143 5.6 Extinction: The Facts 146 5.7 A Life On Our Planet 150 6 JANE GOODALL 153 6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 189		5.3	Disaster!	39
5.5 Sir David testifies in Parliament 143 5.6 Extinction: The Facts 146 5.7 A Life On Our Planet 150 6 JANE GOODALL 153 6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKiBben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 1		5.4	Climate Change, The Facts	42
5.6 Extinction: The Facts 146 5.7 A Life On Our Planet 150 6 JANE GOODALL 153 6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE		5.5	Sir David testifies in Parliament	43
5.7 A Life On Our Planet 150 6 JANE GOODALL 153 6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic<		5.6	Extinction: The Facts	46
6 JANE GOODALL 153 6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History o		5.7	A Life On Our Planet	.50
6.1 Growing up with a love of animals 153 6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 224 9.4	6	JAN	NE GOODALL 1	53
6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior 153 6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 224 9.4 Europe		6.1	Growing up with a love of animals	53
6.3 The Gombe research project 155 6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 221 9.5 The United States 223 9.6 India 224 9.7 Africa 226 9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 228 10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH		6.2	Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior	53
6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute 159 6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 224 9.4 Europe 224 9.5 The United States 223 9.6 India 226 9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 228 10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH 235 10.1 Madmen and economists 235 10.2 Fractional reserve banking 236		6.3	The Gombe research project	55
6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur 164 7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 219 9 PANDEMICS States 223 9.6 India 224 9.7 Africa 226 9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment		6.4	Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute	59
7 POPE FRANCIS I 165 7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 221 9.5 The United States 223 9.6 India 224 9.7 Africa 226 9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandem		6.5	Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur	.64
7.1 From Argentina to Rome 165 7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 219 9.4 Europe 221 9.5 The United States 223 9.6 India 226 9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 228 10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH 235 10.1 Madmen and	7	PO	PE FRANCIS I 1	65
7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency 166 7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio 175 8 JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 179 8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus 179 8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 219 9.4 Europe 221 9.5 The United States 223 9.6 India 224 9.7 Africa 226 9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 228 10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH 235 10.1 Madmen and economists		7.1	From Argentina to Rome	65
7.3Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio1758JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC1798.1Understanding the atmosphere of Venus1798.2350.org1808.3The Climate Movement: What's Next?1808.4Bill McKibben1828.5Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez1858.6Realities of climate change1899PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE2099.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		7.2	Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency	.66
8JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC1798.1Understanding the atmosphere of Venus1798.2350.org1808.3The Climate Movement: What's Next?1808.4Bill McKibben1828.5Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez1858.6Realities of climate change1899PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE2099.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		7.3	Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio	75
8.1Understanding the atmosphere of Venus1798.2350.org1808.3The Climate Movement: What's Next?1808.4Bill McKibben1828.5Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez1858.6Realities of climate change1899PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE2099.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236	8	JAN	MES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC 1	79
8.2 350.org 180 8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next? 180 8.4 Bill McKibben 182 8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 185 8.6 Realities of climate change 189 9 PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 209 9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic 209 9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country 210 9.3 China 219 9.4 Europe 221 9.5 The United States 223 9.6 India 224 9.7 Africa 226 9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 228 10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH 235 10.1 Madmen and economists 235 10.2 Fractional reserve banking 236		8.1	Understanding the atmosphere of Venus	79
8.3The Climate Movement: What's Next?1808.4Bill McKibben1828.5Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez1858.6Realities of climate change1899PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE2099.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		8.2	350.org	.80
8.4Bill McKibben1828.5Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez1858.6Realities of climate change1899PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE2099.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		8.3	The Climate Movement: What's Next?	80
8.5Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez1858.6Realities of climate change1899PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE2099.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		8.4	Bill McKibben	.82
8.6Realities of climate change1899PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE2099.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		8.5	Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez	.85
9PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE2099.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		8.6	Realities of climate change	.89
9.1History of the COVID-19 pandemic2099.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236	9	PAI	NDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE 2	09
9.2Confirmed cases and deaths by country2109.3China2199.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		9.1	History of the COVID-19 pandemic	209
9.3 China 219 9.4 Europe 221 9.5 The United States 223 9.6 India 224 9.7 Africa 226 9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 228 10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH 235 10.1 Madmen and economists 235 10.2 Fractional reserve banking 236		9.2	Confirmed cases and deaths by country	210
9.4Europe2219.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		9.3	China	219
9.5The United States2239.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		9.4	Europe	221
9.6India2249.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		9.5	The United States	223
9.7Africa2269.8We need solidarity, not sanctions2269.9Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely22810ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1Madmen and economists23510.2Fractional reserve banking236		9.6	India	224
9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions 226 9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 228 10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH 235 10.1 Madmen and economists 235 10.2 Fractional reserve banking 236		9.7	Africa	226
9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 228 10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH 235 10.1 Madmen and economists 235 10.2 Fractional reserve banking 236		9.8	We need solidarity, not sanctions	226
10 ADDICTION TO GROWTH23510.1 Madmen and economists23510.2 Fractional reserve banking236		9.9	Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely 2	228
10.1 Madmen and economists 235 10.2 Fractional reserve banking 236	10	AD	DICTION TO GROWTH 2	35
10.2 Fractional reserve banking	-	10.1	Madmen and economists	235
~		10.2	Fractional reserve banking	236

	0.3 Information-driven population growth	237
	0.4 Entropy and economics	240)/2
	0.6 Nicholas Coorgascu Boogan: Ecological Economics	240)45
	0.0 Micholas Georgescu-Roegen. Ecological Economics	240)47
	0.7 Herman E. Dary and Kozo Mayunn $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots $	241
11	THE THREAT OF LARGE-SCALE FAMINE 2	57
	1.1 Introduction	258
	1.2 Optimum population in the distant future	258
	1.3 Population growth and the Green Revolution	259
	1.4 Energy-dependence of modern agriculture	263
	1.5 Effects of climate change on agriculture	265
	1.6 Harmful effects of industrialized farming	270
	1.7 The demographic transition $\ldots \ldots 2$	271
12	POPULATION STABILIZATION TO AVOID FAMINE 2	79
	2.1 Population stabilization today $\ldots \ldots $	279
	2.2 Information-driven population growth $\ldots \ldots $	281
	2.3 Biology and economics	285
	2.4 Loss of biodiversity $\ldots \ldots $	287
	2.5 Economics without growth $\ldots \ldots $	289
	2.6 China and India \ldots	295
	2.7 Population projections in Africa	300
	2.8 What is the future of megacities? \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	302
	2.9 The threat of a large-scale global famine	304
	2.10Reforming our food and agricultural systems	306
13	IONEY CONTROLS MEDIA AND GOVERNMENTS 3	25
	3.1 Benefits of equality \ldots	325
	3.2 Extreme inequality today \ldots	328
	3.3 Oligarchy replaces democracy in many countries	328
	3.4 Media in the service of powerholders	331
	3.5 Television as a part of our educational system	331
	3.6 Neglect of climate change in the mass media	333
	3.7 Climate change denial in mass media	334
	3.8 Showing unsustainable lifestyles in mass media	337
	3.9 Alternative media	337
14	AILITARISM AND THE GREEN NEW DEAL 3	43
	4.1 Cutting military budgets	343
	4.2 The Extinction Rebellion	352
	4.3 The cost of inaction \ldots	353
	4.4 Up to one million species face extinction	358
	- r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

CONTENTS

	14.5	Refugees from climate change	359
	14.6	Populations displaced by sea level rise	359
	14.7	Populations displaced by drought and famine	360
	14.8	Populations displaced by rising temperatures	360
	14.9	Populations displaced by war	361
	14.10	Political reactions to migration	361
	14.11	Roosevelt saves his nation and the world	363
15	\mathbf{EXT}	RACTION OF FOSSIL FUELS MUST STOP	375
	15.1	Blood for oil	375
	15.2	Attacks on Iran, past and present	376
	15.3	The agony of Iraq	379
	15.4	The Middle East	387
	15.5	China	387
	15.6	India	387
	15.7	Russia	388
	15.8	North America	390
	15.9	Latin America	392
	15.10	The European Union	394
	15.11	Major producers of fossil fuels	394
	15.12	Fossil fuel extraction must stop!	396

Chapter 1

CEASEFIRE IN OUR SUICIDAL WAR AGAINST NATURE

1.1 Antonio Guterres' State of the Planet broadcast

Here are some quotations from a December 2, 2020 article by Justin Rowlatt entitled *Humans waging 'suicidal war' on nature - UN chief Antonio Guterres*:

"Humanity is waging what he describes as a 'suicidal' war on the natural world.

"Nature always strikes back, and is doing so with gathering force and fury,' he told a BBC special event on the environment.

"Mr Guterres wants to put tackling climate change at the heart of the UN's global mission.

"In a speech entitled State of the Planet, he announced that its 'central objective' next year will be to build a global coalition around the need to reduce emissions to net zero.

"Net zero refers to cutting greenhouse gas emissions as far as possible and balancing any further releases by removing an equivalent amount from the atmosphere.

"Mr Guterres said that every country, city, financial institution and company 'should adopt plans for a transition to net zero emissions by 2050'. In his view, they will also need to take decisive action now to put themselves on the path towards achieving this vision.

"The objective, said the UN secretary general, will be to cut global emissions

by 45% by 2030 compared with 2010 levels.

"Here's what Mr Guterres demanded the nations of the world do:

- Put a price on carbon
- Phase out fossil fuel finance and end fossil fuel subsidies
- Shift the tax burden from income to carbon, and from tax payers to polluters
- Integrate the goal of carbon neutrality (a similar concept to net zero) into all economic and fiscal policies and decisions
- Help those around the world who are already facing the dire impacts of climate change

Apocalyptic fires and floods

"It is an ambitious agenda, as Mr Guterres acknowledged, but he said that radical action is needed now.

" 'The science is clear,' Mr Guterres told the BBC, 'unless the world cuts fossil fuel production by 6% every year between now and 2030, things will get worse. Much worse.'

"Climate policies have yet to rise to the challenge, the UN chief said, adding that 'without concerted action, we may be headed for a catastrophic three to five-degree temperature rise this century'.

"The impact is already being felt around the world.

" 'Apocalyptic fires and floods, cyclones and hurricanes are the new normal," he warned.

" 'Biodiversity is collapsing. Deserts are spreading. Oceans are choking with plastic waste.'

Moment of truth

"Mr Guterres said the nations of the world must bring ambitious commitments to cut emissions to the international climate conference the UK and Italy are

hosting in Glasgow in November next year.

"As well as pressing for action on the climate crisis, he urged nations to tackle the extinction crisis that is destroying biodiversity and to step up efforts to reduce pollution.

"We face, he said, a "moment of truth".

"But he does discern some glimmers of hope.

"He acknowledged that the European Union, the US, China, Japan, South Korea and more than 110 other countries have committed to become carbon neutral by the middle of this century.

"He said he wants to see this momentum turned into a movement.

"Technology will help us to reach these targets, Mr Guterres said he believes.

" 'The coal business is going up in smoke,' because it costs more to run most of today's coal plants than it does to build new renewable plants from scratch, he told the BBC.

" 'We must forge a safer, more sustainable and equitable path', the UN chief concluded.

"He said it is time for this war against the planet to end, adding: 'We must declare a permanent ceasefire and reconcile with nature."

1.2 Guterres warns world leaders

Below are excerpts from an article by Margaret Besheer entitled Guterres Warns World Leaders They Are Losing Battle Against Climate Change¹:

UNITED NATIONS - U.N. Secretary-General Antonio Guterres said at the annual meeting of the General Assembly the world is losing the battle against climate change but that there is still time to reverse the effects of the global phenomenon.

"We are seeing unprecedented temperatures, unrelenting storms and undeniable science," Guterres said. "The world is starting to move - not fast enough

 $^{{}^{1}} https://www.voanews.com/usa/guterres-warns-world-leaders-they-are-losing-battle-against-climate-change$

OUR WAR AGAINST NATURE



Figure 1.1: United Nations Secretary General Antonio Guterres addressing the UN General Assembly on September 24, 2019.

but in the right direction - away from fossil fuels and towards the opportunities of the green economy."

Guterres said solutions to what he now calls a "climate crisis" were discussed at the U.N.'s Climate Action Summit on Monday. He noted the need for world leaders to "scale up" the solutions to "keep temperature rise to 1.5 degrees and reach carbon neutrality by 2050."

Guterres has called for the phasing out of fossil fuels and an end to construction of new coal power plants. He has also said it is time to end subsidies to the fossil fuel industry and shift taxes from salaries to carbon - taxing pollution, not people.

Guterres was the first in a series of world leaders involved in some of the most high profile geopolitical issues to speak on the first day of the U.N. General Assembly in New York...

After opening remarks from Guterres, those gathered for the annual meeting also heard from a group that included U.S. President Donald Trump, Turkish President Recep Tayyip Erdogan, Korean President Moon Jae-in and French President Emmanuel Macron.

The addresses came a day after Swedish teen activist Greta Thunberg scolded world leaders at a U.N. summit calling for climate action, saying people are suffering and dying from the effects of global warming and that all the



Figure 1.2: Swedish climate activist Greta Thunberg speaks with other child petitioners from 12 countries who presented a landmark complaint to protest the lack of government action on the climate crisis during a press conference in New York, Sept. 23, 2019.



Figure 1.3: Germany's Chancellor Angela Merkel addresses the Climate Action Summit in the United Nations General Assembly, at U.N. headquarters, Sept. 23, 2019.

leaders have are empty words.

"We are in [the] beginning of a mass extinction and all you can talk about is money," said Thunberg, who ignited a youth movement with her Friday school strikes for climate action.

She said the science has been clear for 30 years, and still they are not doing enough.

"You are failing us! But the young people are starting to understand your betrayal," Thunberg said in a voice filled with emotion. "The eyes of all future generations are upon you. And if you choose to fail us, I say we will never forgive you."

The 16-year-old warned the more than 60 presidents and prime ministers gathered in the General Assembly hall for the summit that the youth would not let them "get away with this." She said they draw the line here and now and "change is coming," whether they like it or not.

"Is it common sense to build ever more coal plants that are choking our future?" the secretary-general asked. "Is it common sense to reward pollution that kills millions with dirty air and makes it dangerous for people in cities around the world to sometimes even venture out of their homes?"

India, which has one of the world's highest levels of air pollution, said it would increase its renewable energy capacity to 175 gigawatts by 2022. Prime Minister Narendra Modi highlighted his country's expansion into solar energy.

German Chancellor Angela Merkel, in a rare U.N. appearance, pledged that her country would reduce its carbon emissions by 2030 by 55

"In 2030 we want to get two-thirds of our energy from renewables," Merkel said. "In 2022, we will phase out the last of our nuclear power plants, and at latest, in 2038, we will phase out coal."...

The U.N. released a report ahead of the summit compiled by the World Meteorological Organization showing there has been an acceleration in carbon pollution, sea-level rise, warming global temperatures, and shrinking ice sheets.

It warns that the average global temperature for the period of 2015 through the end of 2019 is on pace to be the "warmest of any equivalent period on record" at 1.1 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels.

The 2015 Paris Climate Agreement, which has been ratified by 186 nations, calls for actions to prevent global temperatures from surpassing 2 degrees, and ideally remain within 1.5 degrees by cutting greenhouse gas emissions. One of the world's biggest emitters - the United States - announced under President Trump that it would leave the pact. The U.S. decision has not stopped climate action at the state, local and private sector levels.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. Naomi Klein, *This Changes Everything: Capitalism and the Climate*, Simon and Schuster, New York, (2014).
- 2. Naomi Klein, *The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism*, Knopf Canada, (2007).
- 3. Noam Chomsky, Because We Say So, City Lights Open Media, (2015).
- 4. Noam Chomsky, *Democracy and Power: The Delhi Lectures*, Open Book Publishers, (2014).
- Noam Chomsky, Masters of Mankind: Essays and Lectures, 1969-2013, Haymarket Books, (2014).
- Noam Chomsky, Nuclear War and Environmental Catastrophe, Seven Stories Press, New York, (2013).
- 7. A. Gore, An Inconvenient Truth: The Planetary Emergency of Global Warming and What We Can Do About It, Rodale Books, New York, (2006).
- 8. A. Gore, Earth in the Balance: Forging a New Common Purpose, Earthscan, (1992).
- 9. A.H. Ehrlich and P.R. Ehrlich, *Earth*, Thames and Methuen, (1987).pro Simon and Schuster, (1990).
- 10. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Healing the Planet: Strategies for Resolving the Environmental Crisis*, Addison-Wesley, (1991).
- 11. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Betrayal of Science and Reason: How Anti-Environmental Rhetoric Threatens our Future*, Island Press, (1998).
- 12. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, One With Nineveh: Politics, Consumption and the Human Future, Island Press, (2004).
- 13. A.H. Ehrlich and U. Lele, Humankind at the Crossroads: Building a Sustainable Food System, in Draft Report of the Pugwash Study Group: The World at the Crossroads, Berlin, (1992).
- 14. P.R. Ehrlich, *The Population Bomb*, Sierra/Ballentine, New York, (1972).
- 15. P.R. Ehrlich, A.H. Ehrlich and J. Holdren, *Human Ecology*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1972).
- 16. P.R. Ehrlich, A.H. Ehrlich and J. Holdren, *Ecoscience: Population, Resources, Environment*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1977)
- 17. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Extinction*, Victor Gollancz, London, (1982).
- D.H. Meadows, D.L. Meadows, J. Randers, and W.W. Behrens III, The Limits to Growth: A Report for the Club of Rome's Project on the Predicament of Mankind, Universe Books, New York, (1972).
- 19. D.H. Meadows et al., Beyond the Limits. Confronting Global Collapse and Envisioning a Sustainable Future, Chelsea Green Publishing, Post Mills, Vermont, (1992).
- 20. D.H. Meadows, J. Randers and D.L. Meadows, *Limits to Growth: the 30-Year Update*, Chelsea Green Publishing, White River Jct., VT 05001, (2004).
- 21. A. Peccei and D. Ikeda, *Before it is Too Late*, Kodansha International, Tokyo, (1984).
- 22. A. Peccei, The Human Quality, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1977).
- 23. A. Peccei, One Hundred Pages for the Future, Pergamon Press, New York, (1977).

- 24. V.K. Smith, ed., *Scarcity and Growth Reconsidered*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, (1979).
- R. Costannza, ed., Ecological Economics: The Science and Management of Sustainability, Colombia University Press, New York, (1991).
- 26. M. McCarthy, *China Crisis: Threat to the Global Environment*, The Independent, (19 October, 2005).
- 27. L.R. Brown, The Twenty-Ninth Day, W.W. Norton, New York, (1978).
- 28. N. Myers, The Sinking Ark, Pergamon, New York, (1972).
- 29. N. Myers, *Conservation of Tropical Moist Forests*, National Academy of Sciences, Washington D.C., (1980).
- 30. National Academy of Sciences, Energy and Climate, NAS, Washington D.C., (1977).
- 31. W. Ophuls, *Ecology and the Politics of Scarcity*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1977).
- 32. E. Eckholm, Losing Ground: Environmental Stress and World Food Prospects, W.W. Norton, New York, (1975).
- 33. E. Eckholm, *The Picture of Health: Environmental Sources of Disease*, New York, (1976).
- Economic Commission for Europe, Air Pollution Across Boundaries, United Nations, New York, (1985).
- 35. G. Hagman and others, *Prevention is Better Than Cure*, Report on Human Environmental Disasters in the Third World, Swedish Red Cross, Stockholm, Stockholm, (1986).
- 36. G. Hardin, "The Tragedy of the Commons", *Science*, December 13, (1968).
- 37. K. Newland, Infant Mortality and the Health of Societies, Worldwatch Paper 47, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1981).
- 38. D.W. Orr, *Ecological Literacy*, State University of New York Press, Albany, (1992).
- 39. E. Pestel, *Beyond the Limits to Growth*, Universe Books, New York, (1989).
- 40. D.C. Pirages and P.R. Ehrlich, Ark II: Social Responses to Environmental Imperatives, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1974).
- 41. Population Reference Bureau, *World Population Data Sheet*, PRM, 777 Fourteenth Street NW, Washington D.C. 20007, (published annually).
- 42. R. Pressat, *Population*, Penguin Books Ltd., (1970).
- 43. M. Rechcigl (ed.), *Man/Food Equation*, Academic Press, New York, (1975).
- 44. J.C. Ryan, *Life Support: Conserving Biological Diversity*, Worldwatch Paper 108, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1992).
- 45. J. Shepard, *The Politics of Starvation*, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington D.C., (1975).
- B. Stokes, Local Responses to Global Problems: A Key to Meeting Basic Human Needs, Worldwatch Paper 17, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1978).
- 47. L. Timberlake, Only One Earth: Living for the Future, BBC/ Earthscan, London, (1987).
- 48. UNEP, *Environmental Data Report*, Blackwell, Oxford, (published annually).

- 49. UNESCO, International Coordinating Council of Man and the Biosphere, MAB Report Series No. 58, Paris, (1985).
- 50. United Nations Fund for Population Activities, A Bibliography of United Nations Publications on Population, United Nations, New York, (1977).
- 51. United Nations Fund for Population Activities, *The State of World Population*, UNPF, 220 East 42nd Street, New York, 10017, (published annually).
- 52. United Nations Secretariat, World Population Prospects Beyond the Year 2000, U.N., New York, (1973).
- 53. J. van Klinken, *Het Dierde Punte*, Uitgiversmaatschappij J.H. Kok-Kampen, Netherlands (1989).
- 54. B. Ward and R. Dubos, Only One Earth, Penguin Books Ltd., (1973).
- 55. WHO/UNFPA/UNICEF, The Reproductive Health of Adolescents: A Strategy for Action, World Health Organization, Geneva, (1989).
- 56. E.O. Wilson, *Sociobiology*, Harvard University Press, (1975).
- 57. E.O. Wilson (ed.), *Biodiversity*, National Academy Press, Washington D.C., (1988).
- 58. E.O. Wilson, *The Diversity of Life*, Allen Lane, The Penguin Press, London, (1992).
- 59. G. Woodwell (ed.), The Earth in Transition: Patterns and Processes of Biotic Impoverishment, Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- 60. World Resources Institute (WRI), *Global Biodiversity Strategy*, The World Conservation Union (IUCN), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), (1992).
- 61. World Resources Institute, World Resources 200-2001: People and Ecosystems: The Fraying Web of Life, WRI, Washington D.C., (2000).
- 62. D.W. Pearce and R.K. Turner, *Economics of Natural Resources and the Environment*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, (1990).
- 63. T. Jackson, Material Concerns: Pollution, Profit and the Quality of Life, Routledge, (2004).
- 64. T. Jackson, *Motivating Sustainable Consumption*, Report to the Sustainable Development Research Network, January (2005).
- 65. T. Jackson, The Earthscan Reader in Sustainable Consumption, Earthscan, (2006).
- 66. J.S. Avery, Information Theory and Evolution, 2nd Edition, World Scientific, (2012).
- 67. A.J. Lotka, *Elements of Mathematical Biology*, Dover, (1956).
- 68. E.O. Wilson Sociobiology: The New Synthesis, Harvard University Press, (1975).
- 69. E.O. Wilson, The Superorganism: The Beauty, Elegance, and Strangeness of Insect Societies, W.W. Norton, (2009).
- 70. F. Soddy, Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt. The solution of the economic paradox, George Allen and Unwin, (1926).
- 71. F. Soddy, The Role of Money, George Routledge and Sons, London, (1934)
- 72. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Energy and Economic Myths : Institutional and Analytical Economic Essays, Pergamon Press, (1976).
- 73. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*, Harvard University Press, (1971).
- 74. J. Rifkin and T. Howard, *Entropy: A New World View* The Viking Press, New York (1980).

- 75. P. Bartelmus, Environment, Growth and Development: The Concepts and Strategies of Sustainability, Routledge, New York, (1994).
- 76. H.E. Daly and K.N. Townsend, (editors), Valuing the Earth. Economics, Ecology, Ethics, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, (1993)
- 77. C. Flavin, *Slowing Global Warming: A Worldwide Strategy*, Worldwatch Paper 91, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1989).
- S.H. Schneider, The Genesis Strategy: Climate and Global Survival, Plenum Press, (1976).
- 79. WHO/UNFPA/UNICEF, The Reproductive Health of Adolescents: A Strategy for Action, World Health Organization, Geneva, (1989).
- 80. World Commission on Environment and Development, *Our Common Future*, Oxford University Press, (1987).
- 81. W. Jackson, Man and the Environment, W.C. Brown, Dubuque, Iowa, (1971).
- 82. T. Berry, The Dream of the Earth, Sierra Club Books, San Francisco, (1988).
- 83. T.M. Swanson, ed., The Economics and Ecology of Biodiversity Decline: The Forces Driving Global Change, Cambridge University Press, (1995).
- 84. F.H. Bormann, Unlimited Growth: Growing, Growing, and Gone?, BioScience 22: 706-9, (1972).
- 85. L.G. Brookes, A Low-Energy Strategy for the United Kingdom, Atom 269: 73-8, (1979).
- 86. J. Cherfas, *Skeptics and Visionaries Examine Energy Saving*, Science 251: 154-6, (1991).
- 87. C.J. Cleveland, Energy Quality and Energy Surplus in the Extraction of Fossil Fuels in the US, Ecological Economics 6: 139-62, (1992).
- 88. C.J. Cleveland, Robert Costanza, Charlie A.S. Hall and Robert Kaufmann, *Energy* and the US Economy: A Biophysical Perspective, Science 225 (4665): 890-7, (1984).
- 89. P. Cloud, *Entropy, Materials, and Prosperity*, Geologische Rundschau 66: 678-96, (1978).
- 90. H.E. Daly, From Empty-World Economics to Full-World Economics: Recognizing a Historical Turning Point in Economic Development, in R. Goodland, H. E. Daly and S. Serafy (eds) Population, Technology, and Lifestyle, pp. 23-37. Washington, DC: Island Press, (1992).
- H.E. Daly, On Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen'-s Contributions to Economics: An Obituary Essay, Ecological Economics 13: 149-54, (1995).
- H.E. Daly, Georgescu-Roegen versus Solow/Stiglitz, Ecological Economics 22: 267-8, (1997).
- 93. M. Eigen, Selforganization of Matter and the Evolution of Biological Macro-molecules, Naturwissenschaften 58(10): 465-523, (1971).
- 94. S.O. Funtowicz and Jerry R. Ravetz, *Post Normal Science: A New Science for New Times*, Scientific European 266: 20-2, (1990).
- 95. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Fixed Coefficients of Production and the Marginal Productivity Theory, Review of Economic Studies 3: 40-9, (1935a).

- 96. N. Georgescu-Roegen, (1935b) Note on a Proposition of Pareto, Quarterly Journal of Economics 49: 706-14.
- 97. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Marginal Utility of Money and Elasticities of Demand, Quarterly Journal of Economics 50: 533-9, (1936a).
- 98. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *The Pure Theory of Consumer'-s Behavior*, Quarterly Journal of Economics 50: 545-93, (1936b).
- 99. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Process in Farming versus Process in Manufacturing: A Problem of Balanced Development, in U. Papi and C. Nunn (eds) Economic Problems of Agriculture in Industrial Societies, pp. 497-528. London: Macmillan, (1969).
- 100. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, (1971).
- 101. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *Energy and Economic Myths*, Southern Economic Journal 41: 347-81, (1975).
- 102. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *Energy and Economic Myths*. New York: Pergamon Press, (1976).
- 103. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Inequality, Limits and Growth from a Bioeconomic Viewpoint, Review of Social Economy 35: 361-75, (1977a).
- 104. N. Georgescu-Roegen, The Steady State and Ecological Salvation: A Thermodynamic Analysis, BioScience 27: 266-70, (1977b).
- 105. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Energy Analysis and Economic Valuation, Southern Economic Journal 45: 1023-58, (1979a).
- 106. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Methods in Economic Science, Journal of Economic Issues 13 (2): 317-28, (1979b).
- 107. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Methods in Economic Science: A Rejoinder, Economic Issues 15: 188-93, (1981).
- 108. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *The Promethean Condition of Viable Technologies*, Materials and Society 7: 425-35, (1983).
- 109. Georgescu-Roegen, Nicholas, Man and Production, in M. Baranzini and R. Scazzieri (eds) Foundations of Economics: Structures of Inquiry and Economic Theory, pp. 247-80. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, (1986).
- 110. N. Georgescu-Roegen, An Emigrant from a Developing Country: Autobiographical Notes-I, Banca Nationale del Lavoro Quarterly Review 164: 3-31, (1988a).
- 111. N. Georgescu-Roegen, The Interplay between Institutional and Material Factors: The Problem and Its Status, in J.A. Kregel, E. Matzner and A. Roncaglia (eds) Barriers to Employment, pp. 297-326. London: Macmillan, (1988b).
- 112. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Production Process and Dynamic Economics, in M. Baranzini and R. Scazzieri (eds) The Economic Theory of Structure and Change, pp. 198-226. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- 113. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen about Himself, in M. Szenberg (ed.) Eminent Economists: Their Life Philosophies, pp. 128-59. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, (1992).

- 114. J. Gever, Robert Kaufmann, David Skole and Charles Vörösmarty, *Beyond Oil: The Threat to Food and Fuel in the Coming Decades*, Niwot, CO: University Press of Colorado, (1991).
- 115. M. Giampietro, Sustainability and Technological Development in Agriculture: A Critical Appraisal of Genetic Engineering, BioScience 44(10): 677-89, (1994).
- 116. M. Giampietro and Kozo Mayumi, Another View of Development, Ecological Degradation and North-South Trade, Review of Social Economy 56: 21-37, (1998).
- 117. M. Giampietro and Kozo Mayumi, *The Biofuel Delusion: The Fallacy of Large Scale* Agro-biofuel Production, London: Earthscan, (2009).
- 118. R. Goldschmidt, Some Aspects of Evolution, Science 78: 539-47, (1933).
- 119. S.J. Gould, The Return to Hopeful Monsters, Natural History 86: 22-30, (1977).
- 120. S.J. Gould and Niles Eldredge, Punctuated Equilibria: The Tempo and Mode of Evolution Reconsidered, Paleobiology 3: 115-51, (1977).
- 121. J. Gowdy, *The Value of Biodiversity: Markets, Society and Ecosystems*, Land Economics 73(1): 25-41, (1997).
- 122. J. Gribbin, The Death of the Sun New York: Delacorte Press, (1980).
- 123. C.A.S. Hall, Cutler J. Cleveland and Robert Kaufman, *Energy and Resource Quality* New York: John Wiley and Sons, (1986).
- 124. S.R. Ichtiaque and Stephen H. Schneider, Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide and Aerosols: Effects of Large Increases on Global Climate, Science 173: 138-41, (1971).
- 125. K. Ito, Setting Goals and Action Plan for Energy Efficiency Improvement. Paper presented at the EAS Energy Efficiency and Conservation Conference, Tokyo (19 June), (2007).
- 126. F. Jevons, *Greenhouse: A Paradox*, Search 21: 171-2, (1990).
- 127. W.S. Jevons, *The Coal Question* (reprint of 3rd edn, 1906). New York: Augustus M. Kelley, (1965).
- 128. N. Kawamiya, Entropii to Kougyoushakai no Sentaku (Entropy and Future Choices for the Industrial Society), Tokyo: Kaimei, (1983).
- 129. J.D. Khazzoom, Economic Implications of Mandated Efficiency Standards for Household Appliances, Energy Journal 1: 21-39, (1980).
- 130. J.D. Khazzoom, Energy Saving Resulting from the Adoption of More Efficient Appliances, Energy Journal 8: 85-9, (1987).
- 131. T.C. Koopmans, *Three Essays on the State of Economic Science*, New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, (1957).
- 132. T.S. Kuhn, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press, (1962).
- J. von Liebig, Letters on Modern Agriculture (J. Blyth ed.). New York: John Wiley, (1959).
- 134. A.J. Lotka, *Elements of Mathematical Biology*, New York: Dover Publications, (1956).
- 135. G. Luft, Fueling the Dragon: China'-s Race Into the Oil Market. http://www.iags.org/ china.htm, (2007).
- 136. K. Mayumi, The Origins of Ecological Economics: The Bioeconomics of Georgescu-Roegen, London: Routledge, (2001).

- 137. K. Mayumi, An Epistemological Critique of the Open Leontief Dynamic Model: Balanced and Sustained Growth, Delays, and Anticipatory Systems Theory, Structural Change and Economic Dynamics 16: 540-56m (2005).
- 138. K. Mayumi, Mario Giampietro and John Gowdy, Georgescu-Roegen/Daly versus Solow/Stiglitz Revisited, Ecological Economics 27: 115-17. Legacies: Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen 1253, (1998).
- 139. W.H. Miernyk, Economic Growth Theory and the Georgescu-Roegen Paradigm, in K. Mayumi and J. Gowdy (eds) Bioeconomics and Sustainability: Essays in Honour of Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen, pp. 69-81. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, (1999).
- 140. Newman, Peter, Greenhouse, Oil and Cities, Futures May: 335-48, (1991).
- 141. D. Pearce, Substitution and Sustainability: Some Reflections on Georgescu-Roegen, Ecological Economics 22: 295-7, (1997).
- 142. D. Pearce, Edward Barbier and Anil Markandya, *Sustainable Development*, Hamp-shire: Edward Elgar, (1990).
- 143. J. Polimeni, Kozo Mayumi, Mario Giampietro and Blake Alcott, *The Jevons Paradox and the Myth of Resource Efficiency Improvements*, London: Earthscan, (2008).
- 144. J.F. Randolph, Basic Real and Abstract Analysis, New York: Academic Press, (1968).
- 145. D. Ricardo, On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation, in P. Sraffa (ed.) The Works and Correspondence of David Ricardo, Vol. 1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, (1951).
- 146. E. Schrödinger, What is Life? With Mind and Matter and Autobiographical Sketches, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, (1967).
- 147. J.A. Schumpeter, *The Theory of Economic Development*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard Economic Press, (1951).
- 148. G.T. Seaborg, The Erehwon Machine: Possibilities for Reconciling Goals by Way of New Technology, in S.H. Schurr (ed.) Energy, Economic Growth, and the Environment, pp. 125-38. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, (1972).
- 149. M.R. Simmons, Twilight in the Desert: The Coming Saudi Oil Shock and the World Economy New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., (2005).
- 150. B.J. Skinner, Earth Resource (3rd edn), New Jersey: Prentice Hall, (1986).
- 151. V. Smil, *Global Catastrophes and Trends: The Next Fifty Years* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, (2008).
- 152. R. Solow, *Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function*, Review of Economics and Statistics 39: 312-20, (1957).
- 153. R. Solow, *The Economics of Resources or the Resources of Economics*, American Economic Review 64: 1-14, (1974).
- 154. R.E. Ulanowicz, *Growth and Development: Ecosystem Phenomenology* New York: Springer-Verlag, (1986).
- 155. US Geological Survey, Commodity Statistics and Information, (2005).
- 156. G.K. Zipf, National Unity and Disunity: The Nation as a Bio-social Organism. Bloomington, IN: Principia Press, (1941).

Chapter 2

EXTINCTION EVENTS AND FEEDBACK LOOPS

Introduction

Scientists warn that if the transition to renewable energy does not happen within very few decades, there is a danger that we will reach a tipping point beyond which feedback loops, such as the albedo effect and the methane hydrate feedback loop, will take over and produce an out-of-control and fatal increase in global temperature.

In 2012, the World Bank issued a report warning that without quick action to curb CO_2 emissions, global warming is likely to reach 4 °C during the 21st century. This is dangerously close to the temperature which initiated the Permian-Triassic extinction event: 6 °C above normal. During the Permian-Triassic extinction event, which occurred 252 million years ago, 96% of all marine species were wiped out, as well as 70% of all terrestrial vertebrates.¹



Figure 2.1: Monthly September ice extent for 1979 to 2012 shows a decline of 13.0% per decade. One can also see that the straight line does not really fit the data, which more nearly resemble a downward curve will that reach zero in the period 2016-2019. Source: National Snow and Ice Data Center. Wikimedia Commons



Figure 2.2: Loss of species caused by the Permian-Triassic extinction event. Unless quick steps are taken to lower our greenhouse gas emissions, we may cause a similar extinction event, which will threaten the survival of our own species. Source: Australian Frontiers of Science, www.sciencearchive.org.au

2.1 A warning from the World Bank

In 2012, the World Bank issued a report warning that without quick action to curb CO_2 emissions, global warming is likely to reach 4 °C during the 21st century. This is dangerously close to the temperature which initiated the Permian-Triassic extinction event: 6 °C above normal. During the Permian-Triassic extinction event, which occurred 252 million years ago, 96% of all marine species were wiped out, as well as 70% of all terrestrial vertebrates.²

The 4°C scenarios are devastating: the inundation of coastal cities; increasing risks for food production potentially leading to higher malnutrition rates; many dry regions becoming dryer, wet regions wetter; unprecedented heat waves in many regions, especially in the tropics; substantially exacerbated water scarcity in many regions; increased frequency of high-intensity tropical cyclones; and irreversible loss of biodiversity, including coral reef systems.

And most importantly, a 4°C world is so different from the current one that it comes with high uncertainty and new risks that threaten our ability to anticipate and plan for future adaptation needs. The lack of action on climate change not only risks putting prosperity out of reach of millions of people in the developing world, it threatens to roll back decades of sustainable development. It is clear that we already know a great deal about the threat before us. The science is unequivocal that humans are the cause of global warming, and major changes are already being observed: global mean warming is 0.8°C above pre industrial levels; oceans have warmed by 0.09°C since the 1950s and are acidifying; sea levels rose by about 20 cm since pre-industrial times and are now rising at 3.2 cm per decade; an exceptional number of extreme heat waves occurred in the last decade; major food crop growing areas are increasingly affected by drought.

Despite the global community's best intentions to keep global warming below a 2°C increase above pre-industrial climate, higher levels of warming are increasingly likely. Scientists agree that countries' cur- rent United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change emission pledges and commitments would most likely result in 3.5 to 4°C warming. And the longer those pledges remain unmet, the more likely a 4°C world becomes.

Data and evidence drive the work of the World Bank Group. Science reports, including those produced by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, informed our decision to ramp up work on these issues, leading to, a World Development Report on climate change designed to improve our understanding of the implications of a warming planet; a Strategic Framework on Development and Climate Change, and a report on Inclusive Green Growth. The World Bank is a leading advocate for ambitious action on climate change, not only because it is a moral imperative, but because it makes good economic sense.

But what if we fail to ramp up efforts on mitigation? What are the implications of a 4°C world? We commissioned this report from the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact

²http://science.nationalgeographic.com/science/prehistoric-world/permian-extinction/

http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2012/11/18/Climate-change-report-warns-dramatically-warmer-world-this-century

2.2. PERMIAN-TRIASSIC EXTINCTION EVENT

Research and Climate Analytics to help us understand the state of the science and the potential impact on development in such a world.

It would be so dramatically different from today's world that it is hard to describe accurately; much relies on complex projections and interpretations. We are well aware of the uncertainty that surrounds these scenarios and we know that different scholars and studies sometimes disagree on the degree of risk. But the fact that such scenarios cannot be discarded is sufficient to justify strengthening current climate change policies. Finding ways to avoid that scenario is vital for the health and welfare of communities around the world. While every region of the world will be affected, the poor and most vulnerable would be hit hardest. A 4°C world can, and must, be avoided.

The World Bank Group will continue to be a strong advocate for international and regional agreements and increasing climate financing. We will redouble our efforts to support fast growing national initiatives to mitigate carbon emissions and build adaptive capacity as well as support inclusive green growth and climate smart development. Our work on inclusive green growth has shown that, through more efficiency and smarter use of energy and natural resources, many opportunities exist to drastically reduce the climate impact of development, without slowing down poverty alleviation and economic growth.

This report is a stark reminder that climate change affects everything. The solutions don't lie only in climate finance or climate projects. The solutions lie in effective risk management and ensuring all our work, all our thinking, is designed with the threat of a 4°C degree world in mind. The World Bank Group will step up to the challenge.

2.2 Permian-Triassic extinction event

The geological record shows five major extinction events.

- Ordovician-Silurian Extinction. around 439 million years ago.
- Late Devonian Extinction. 375-360 million years ago.
- Permian-Triassic extinction. 352 million years ago.
- Triassic-Jurassic extinction, 201 million years ago.
- Cretaceous-Paleogene extinction, 66 million years ago.

The most devastating of these was the Permian-Triassic extinction, which occurred 252 million years ago.³ In the Permian-Triassic extinction, 96% of all marine species and 76% of all terrestrial vertebrates disappeared forever. The cause of this extremely severe

The Last Hours of Humanity: Warming the World To Extinction (book), by Thom Hartmann https://www.amazon.com/Last-Hours-Humanity-Warming-Extinction/dp/1629213640

³ https://www.thomhartmann.com/bigpicture/last-hours-climate-change

http://www.mediaite.com/online/leonardo-dicaprio-boosts-thom-hartmann-apocalyptic-global-warming-film-last-hours/

event is disputed, but according to one of the most plausible theories it was triggered by a massive volcanic eruption in Siberia, which released enormous amounts of CO_2 into the earth's atmosphere.

The region where massive volcanic eruptions are known to have occurred 252 million years ago called the "Siberian Traps". (The "Traps" part of the name comes from the fact that many of the volcanic rock formations in the region resemble staircases. The Swedish word for staircase is "trappe".) The eruptions continued for about a million years.

Today the area covered is about 2 million square kilometers, roughly equal to western Europe in land area. Estimates of the original coverage are as high as 7 million square kilometers. The original volume of lava is estimated to range from 1 to 4 million cubic kilometers.

The CO_2 released by the Siberian Traps eruption is believed to have caused a global temperature increase of 6°C, and this was enough to trigger the methane-hydrate feedback loop, which will be discussed below. The earth's temperature is thought to have continued to rise for 85,000 years, finally reaching 15° above normal.

2.3 The Holocene (Anthropocene) extinction

We are now living in the midst of a sixth, human-caused, mass extinction. How severe it becomes is up to us.

Recently a group of scientists stated that the scope of human impact on planet Earth is so great that the *Anthropocene* warrants a formal place in the Geological Time Scale.

In a statement issued by University of Leicester Press Office on 2 October 2017, professor Jan Zalasiewicz from the University of Leicester's School of Geography, Geology, and the Environment said: "Our findings suggest that the Anthropocene should follow on from the Holocene Epoch that has seen 11.7 thousand years of relative environmental stability, since the retreat of the last Ice Age, as we enter a more unstable and rapidly evolving phase of our planet's history,"⁴

"We conclude that human impact has now grown to the point that it has changed the course of Earth history by at least many millennia, in terms of the anticipated long-term climate effects (e.g. postponement of the next glacial maximum: see Ganopolski et al., 2016; Clark et al., 2016), and in terms of the extensive and ongoing transformation of the biota, including a geologically unprecedented phase of human-mediated species invasions, and by species extinctions which are accelerating (Williams et al., 2015, 2016)."

The report stated that defining characteristics of the period include "marked acceleration of rates of erosion and sedimentation; large-scale chemical perturbations to the cycles of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and other elements; the inception of significant change in global climate and sea level; and biotic changes including unprecedented levels of species invasions across the Earth. Many of these changes are geologically long-lasting, and some are effectively irreversible."

 $^{{}^{4}} http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/press/press-releases/2017/october/significant-scale-of-human-impact-on-planet-has-changed-course-of-earth2019s-history-scientists-suggest$

Loss of biodiversity

Tropical rain forests are the most biologically diverse places in the world. This is because they have not been affected by the periods of glaciation that have periodically destroyed the forests of temperate and boreal regions. The destruction of species-rich tropical rain forests is one of the mechanisms driving the present high rate of species loss.

According to a recent article published in *The Guardian*⁵ "Conservation experts have already signalled that the world is in the grip of the "sixth great extinction" of species, driven by the destruction of natural habitats, hunting, the spread of alien predators and disease, and climate change.

"The IUCN⁶ created shock waves with its major assessment of the world's biodiversity in 2004, which calculated that the rate of extinction had reached 100-1,000 times that suggested by the fossil records before humans.

"No formal calculations have been published since, but conservationists agree the rate of loss has increased since then, and Stuart said it was possible that the dramatic predictions of experts like the renowned Harvard biologist E O Wilson, that the rate of loss could reach 10,000 times the background rate in two decades, could be correct."

A recent article by Profs. Gerardo Ceballos, Paul R. Ehrlich and Rodolfo Dirzo in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* was entitles "Biological Annihilation via the Ongoing Sixth Mass Extinction Signaled by Vertebrate Population Losses and Declines".

The Abstract of the paper reads as follows: "The population extinction pulse we describe here shows, from a quantitative viewpoint, that Earth's sixth mass extinction is more severe than perceived when looking exclusively at species extinctions. Therefore, humanity needs to address anthropogenic population extirpation and decimation immediately. That conclusion is based on analyses of the numbers and degrees of range contraction (indicative of population shrinkage and/or population extinctions according to the International Union for Conservation of Nature) using a sample of 27,600 vertebrate species, and on a more detailed analysis documenting the population extinctions between 1900 and 2015 in 177 mammal species. We find that the rate of population loss in terrestrial vertebrates is extremely high, even in 'species of low concern.' In our sample, comprising nearly half of known vertebrate species, 32% (8,851/27,600) are decreasing; that is, they have decreased in population size and range. In the 177 mammals for which we have detailed data, all have lost 30% or more of their geographic ranges and more than 40% of the species have experienced severe population declines (¿80% range shrinkage). Our data indicate that beyond global species extinctions Earth is experiencing a huge episode of population declines and extirpations, which will have negative cascading consequences on ecosystem functioning and services vital to sustaining civilization. We describe this as a 'biological annihilation' to highlight the current magnitude of Earth's ongoing sixth major extinction event."

⁵https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2010/mar/07/extinction-species-evolve ⁶International Union for the Conservation of Nature

2.4 Global warming and atmospheric water vapor

A feedback loop is a self-re-enforcing trend. One of the main positive feedback loops in global warming is the tendency of warming to increase the atmospheric saturation pressure for water vapor, and hence amount of water vapor in the atmosphere, which in turn leads to further warming, since water vapor is a greenhouse gas.

Wikipedia's article on greenhouse gases states that, "Water vapor accounts for the largest percentage of the greenhouse effect, between 36% and 66% for clear sky conditions and between 66% and 85% when including clouds."

2.5 The albedo effect

Albedo is defined to be the fraction of solar energy (shortwave radiation) reflected from the Earth back into space. It is a measure of the reflectivity of the earth's surface. Ice, especially with snow on top of it, has a high albedo: most sunlight hitting the surface bounces back towards space.

Loss of sea ice

Especially in the Arctic and Antarctic regions, there exists a dangerous feedback loop involving the albedo of ice and snow. As is shown in Figure 4.1, Arctic sea ice is rapidly disappearing. It is predicted that during the summers, the ice covering arctic seas may disappear entirely during the summers. As a consequence, incoming sunlight will encounter dark light-absorbing water surfaces rather than light-reflecting ice and snow.

This effect is self-re-enforcing. In other words, it is a feedback loop. The rising temperatures caused by the absorption of more solar radiation cause the melting of more ice, and hence even more absorption of radiation rather than reflection, still higher temperatures, more melting, and so on.

The feedback loop is further strengthened by the fact that water vapor acts like a greenhouse gas. As polar oceans become exposed, more water vapor enters the atmosphere, where it contributes to the greenhouse effect and rising temperatures.

Darkened snow on Greenland's icecap

Greenland's icecap is melting, and as it melts, the surface becomes darker and less reflective because particles of soot previously trapped in the snow and ice become exposed. This darkened surface absorbs an increased amount of solar radiation, and the result is accelerated melting.



Figure 2.3: The worrying thing about the methane/hydrate feedback loop is the enormous amount of carbon in the form of hydrate crystals, 10,000 gigatons most of it on the continental shelves of oceans. This greater than the amount of carbon in all other forms that might potentially enter the earth's atmosphere.

OUR WAR ON NATURE



Figure 2.4: When ocean temperatures rise, methane hydrate crystals become unstable, and methane gas bubbles up to ocean surfaces.



Figure 2.5: This diagram shows two important feedback loops, one involving the albedo effect, and the other involving methane hydrates.
2.6 The methane hydrate feedback loop

If we look at the distant future, by far the most dangerous feedback loop involves methane hydrates or methane clathrates. When organic matter is carried into the oceans by rivers, it decays to form methane. The methane then combines with water to form hydrate crystals, which are stable at the temperatures and pressures which currently exist on ocean floors. However, if the temperature rises, the crystals become unstable, and methane gas bubbles up to the surface. Methane is a greenhouse gas which is 70 times as potent as CO_2 .

The worrying thing about the methane hydrate deposits on ocean floors is the enormous amount of carbon involved: roughly 10,000 gigatons. To put this huge amount into perspective, we can remember that the total amount of carbon in world CO2 emissions since 1751 has only been 337 gigatons.

A runaway, exponentially increasing, feedback loop involving methane hydrates could lead to one of the great geological extinction events that have periodically wiped out most of the animals and plants then living. This must be avoided at all costs.

2.7 A feedback loop from warming of soils

On October 6, 2017, the journal *Science* published an article entitled *Long-term pattern* and magnitude of soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world⁷. The lead author, Jerry Melillo, is an ecologist working at the Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole Massachusetts. In an interview with *Newsweek*, he said: "This self-reinforcing feedback is potentially a global phenomenon with soils, and once it starts it may be very difficult to turn off. It's that part of the problem that I think is sobering... We think that one of the things that may be happening is both a reorganization of the microbial community structure and its functional capacity,"

The study reported on three decades of observations of heated sections of a forest owned by Harvard University. The heated sections were 5° C warmer than control sections.

2.8 Drying of forests and forest fires

According to a recent article in *Nature*⁸, "Across the American west, the area burned each year has increased significantly over the past several decades, a trend that scientists attribute both to warming and drying and to a century of wildfire suppression and other human activities. Allen suggests that the intertwined forces of fire and climate change will take ecosystems into new territory, not only in the American west but also elsewhere around the world. In the Jemez, for example, it could transform much of the ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) forest into shrub land. 'We're losing forests as we've known them

⁷J.M. Melillo et al., Long-term pattern and magnitude of soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world, Science, Vol. 358, pp. 101-105, (2017).

⁸http://www.nature.com/news/forest-fires-burn-out-1.11424

for a very long time,' says Allen. 'We're on a different trajectory, and we're not yet sure where we're going.'

"All around the American west, scientists are seeing signs that fire and climate change are combining to create a 'new normal'. Ten years after Colorado's largest recorded fire burned 56,000 hectares southwest of Denver, the forest still has not rebounded in a 20,000hectare patch in the middle, which was devastated by an intense crown fire. Only a few thousand hectares, which the US Forest Service replanted, look anything like the ponderosa-pine stands that previously dominated the landscape."

2.9 Tipping points and feedback loops

A tipping point is usually defined as the threshold for an abrupt and irreversible change⁹. To illustrate this idea, we can think of a book lying on a table. If we gradually push the book towards the edge of the table, we will finally reach a point after which more than half of the weight of the book will not be not supported by the table. When this "tipping point" is passed the situation will suddenly become unstable, and the book will fall to the floor. Analogously, as the earth's climate gradually changes, we may reach tipping points. If we pass these points, sudden instabilities and abrupt climatic changes will occur.

Greenland ice cores supply a record of temperatures in the past, and through geological evidence we have evidence of sea levels in past epochs. These historical records show that abrupt climatic changes have occurred in the past.

Timothy Michael Lenton, FRS, Professor of Climate Change and Earth System Science at he University of Exeter, lists the following examples of climatic tipping points:

- Boreal forest dieback
- Amazon rainforest dieback
- Loss of Arctic and Antarctic sea ice (Polar ice packs) and melting of Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets
- Disruption to Indian and West African monsoon
- Formation of Atlantic deep water near the Arctic ocean, which is a component process of the thermohaline circulation.
- Loss of permafrost, leading to potential Arctic methane release and clathrate gun effect

It can be seen from this list that climate tipping points are associated with feedback loops. For example, the boreal forest dieback and the Amazon rainforest dieback tipping points are associated with the feedback loop involving the drying of forests and forest fires,

⁹Other definitions of tipping points are possible. A few authors define these as points beyond which change is inevitable, emphasizing that while inevitable, the change may be slow.

while the tipping point involving loss of Arctic and Antarctic sea ice is associated with the Albedo effect feedback loop. The tipping point involving loss of permafrost is associated with the methane hydrate feedback loop.

Once a positive feedback loop starts to operate in earnest, change may be abrupt.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. Ehrlich P-R (1995) The scale of the human enterprise and biodiversity loss, in Extinction Rates, eds Lawton JH, May RM (Oxford Univ Press, Oxford, UK), pp 214-226.
- 2. Dirzo R, et al. (2014) Defaunation in the Anthropocene. Science 345:401-406.
- 3. Young HS, McCauley DJ, Galleti M, Dirzo R (2016) Patterns, causes, and consequences of Anthropocene defaunation. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 47:433-458.
- 4. World Wide Fund for Nature (2016) Living Planet Report 2016. Risk and resilience in a new era. (WWF International, Gland, Switzerland), 2017.
- 5. Maxwell SL, Fuller RA, Brooks TM, Watson JEM (2016) *Biodiversity: The ravages* of guns, nets and bulldozers. Nature **536**:143-145.
- Laliberte AS, Ripple WJ (2004) Range contractions of North American carnivores and ungulates. BioScience 54:123-138.
- Worm B, Tittensor DP (2011) Range contraction in large pelagic predators. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:11942-11947.
- 8. Ripple WJ, et al. (2014) Status and ecological effects of the world's largest carnivores. Science **343**:1241484.
- Barnosky AD, et al. (2011) Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? Nature 471:51-57.
- Ceballos G, Garcia A, Ehrlich PR (2010) The sixth extinction crisis: Loss of animal populations and species. J. Cosmology 8:1821-1831.
- 11. Ceballos G, et al. (2015) Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. Sci Adv 1:e1400253.
- 12. Wake DB, Vredenburg VT (2008) Colloquium paper: Are we in the midst of the sixth mass extinction? A view from the world of amphibians. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA-105:11466-11473.
- McCallum ML (2015) Vertebrate biodiversity losses point to a sixth mass extinction. Biol Conserv 24:2497-2519.
- 14. Pimm SL, et al. (2014) The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. Science **344**:1246752.
- McCauley DJ, et al. (2015) Marine defaunation: Animal loss in the global ocean. Science 347:1255641.
- Collen B, Böhm M, Kemp R, Baillie J (2012) Spineless: Status and Trends of the World's Invertebrates (Zoological Society of London, London). Red List
- 17. Daily G (1997) Nature's Services: Societal Dependence on Natural Ecosystems. (Island Press, Covello, CA).
- 18. Naeem S, Duffy JE, Zavaleta E (2012) The functions of biological diversity in an age of extinction. Science **336**:1401-1406.

- 19. Estes JA, et al. (2011) Trophic downgrading of planet Earth. Science 333:301-306.
- Brosi BJ, Briggs HM (2013) Single pollinator species losses reduce floral fidelity and plant reproductive function. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110:13044-13048.
- 21. Briggs JC (2014) Global biodiversity gain is concurrent with decreasing population sizes. Biodiver J 5:447-452.
- Hooper DU, et al. (2012) A global synthesis reveals biRed Listodiversity loss as a major driver of ecosystem change. Nature 486:105-108. Red List
- 23. Ehrlich PR (2014) The case against de-extinction: It's a fascinating but dumb idea. Yale Environment 360 (Yale University, New Haven, CT). Available at bit.ly/1gAIuJF). Accessed JunStudiese 10, 2017.
- 24. Hobbs RJ, Mooney HA (1998) Broadening the extinction debate: Population deletions and additions in California and Western Australia. Conserv Biol **12**:271-283. Studies
- 25. Hughes JB, Daily GC, Ehrlich PR (1997) Population diversity: Its extent and extinction. Science 278:689-692.
- Ceballos G, Ehrlich PR (2002) Mammal population losses and the extinction crisis. Science 296:904-907.
- Gaston KJ, Fuller RA (2008) Commonness, population depletion and conservation biology. Trends Ecol Evol 23:14-19.
- International Union of Conservation of Nature (2015) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, Version 2015.2 (IUCN, 2015). Available at www.iucnredlist.org. Accessed February 10, 2016. Revised January 10, 2017.
- Durant SM, et al. (2017) The global decline of cheetah Acinonyx jubatus and what it means for conservation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114:528-533.
- Henschel P, et al. (2014) The lion in West Africa is critically endangered. PLoS One 9:e83500.
- Challender D, et al. (2016) On scaling up pangolin conservation. Traffic Bulletin 28: 19-21.
- Fennessy J, et al. (2016) Multi-locus analyses reveal four giraffe species instead of one. Curr Biol 26:2543-2549.
- 33. Butchart S, Dunn E (2003) Using the IUCN Red List criteria to assess species with de- clining populations. Conserv Biol 17:1200-1202.
- 34. Gaston K, Blackburn T (2008) Pattern and Process in Macroecology (Blackwell Publishing, Hoboken, NJ). Red List
- Thomas JA (2016) ECOLOGY. Butterfly communities under threat. Science 353:216-218.
- Régnier C, et al. (2015) Mass extinction in poorly known taxa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112:7761-7766.25.
- 37. Hughes JB, Daily GC, Ehrlich PR (1997) Population diversity: Its extent and extinction. Science **278**:689-692.
- Ceballos G, Ehrlich PR (2002) Mammal population losses and the extinction crisis. Science 296:904-907.
- 39. Cardinale BJ, et al. (2012) *Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity*. Nature **486**: 59-67.

2.9. TIPPING POINTS AND FEEDBACK LOOPS

- 40. Hurlbert AH, Jetz W (2007) Species richness, hotspots, and the scale dependence of range maps in ecology and conservation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **104**:13384-13389.
- 41. Peterson AT, Navarro-Sigüenza AG, Gordillo A (2016) Assumption-versus data-based approaches to summarizing species' ranges. Conserv Biol, 10.1111/cobi.12801.
- 42. MartAnez-Ramos M, OrtAz-RodrAguez I, Pinero D, Dirzo R, SarukhAjn J (2016) Humans disrupt ecological processes within tropical rainforest reserves. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **113**:5323-5328.
- 43. Camargo-Sanabria AA, Mendoza E, Guevara R, MartAnez-Ramos M, Dirzo R (2015) Experimental defaunation of terrestrial mammalian herbivores alters tropical rainforest understorey diversity. Proc Biol Sci 282:20142580.
- 44. Petipas RH, Brody AK (2014) Termites and ungulates affect arbuscular mycorrhizal richness and infectivity in a semiarid savanna. Botany **92**:233-240.
- 45. Wardle DA, et al. (2004) Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. Science **304**:1629-1633.
- 46. Ceballos G, Ehrlich AH, Ehrlich PR (2015) The Annihilation of Nature: Human Extinction of Birds and Mammals, (Johns Hopkins Univ Press, Baltimore).
- 47. Knoll AH (2015) Life on a Young Planet: The First Three Billion Years of Evolution on Earth, (Princeton Univ Press, Princeton, NJ).
- 48. Barnosky AD, et al. (2014) Introducing the scientific consensus on maintaining humanity's life support systems in the 21st century: Information for policy makers. The Anthropocene Review 1:78-109.
- 49. Ceballos G, Ehrlich PR, Soberón J, Salazar I, Fay JP (2005) Global mammal conservation: What must we manage? Science **309**:603-607.
- 50. Brown IL, Ehrlich PR (1980) Population biology of the checkerspot butterfly, Euphydryas chalcedona structure of the Jasper Ridge colony. Oecologia 47:239-251.
- 51. Environmental Systems Research Institute (2011) Release 10. Documentation Manual, (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA).
- 52. Balling, R. C. 1988. The climate impact of Sonoran vegetation discontinuity. Climate Change 13: 99-109.
- 53. Balling, R. C. 1991. Impact of desertification on regional and global warming. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society **72**: 232-234.
- 54. Barigozzi, C. (ed.). 1986. The Origin and Domestication of Cultivated Plants. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- 55. Botkin, D. B. 1989. Science and the global environment. In: D. B. Botkin et al., Global Change. New York: Academic Press, pp. 1-14.
- 56. Bryson, R. 1972. *Climate modification by air pollution*. In: N. Polunin (ed.), **The Environmental Future**. London: Macmillan, pp. 133-174.
- 57. Dregne, H. E., M. Kassas, and B. Rozanov. 1991. A new assessment of the world status of desertification. Desertification Control Bulletin, no. 20: 6-18.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). 1991. Protection of land resources: Deforestation UNCED Prepromm., 2nd session, Doc. A/CONF. 15/PC/27.
- Hare, F. K. and L. A. J. Ogallo. 1993. Climate Variation, Drought and Desertification. WMO-No. 653. Geneva: WMO.

- 60. Houghton, J. T., B. A. Callander, and S. K. Varney (eds.). 1992. *Climate Change* 1992. The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 61. Hulme, M. and M. Kelly. 1993. Exploring the links between desertification and climate change. Environment **35(6)**: 5-11, 39-45.
- Jackson, R. D. and S. B. Idso. 1975. Surface albedo and desertification. Science 189: 1012-1013.
- 63. Matthews, E. 1983. Global vegetation and land use: New high-resolution databases for climatic studies. Journal of Climate and Meteorology **22**: 474-487.
- Schlesinger, W. H., et al. 1990. Biological feedback in global desertification. Science 247: 1043-1048.
- Turner, B. L., et al. 1990. "Two types of global environmental changes: Definitional and special-scale issues in their human dimensions." Global Environmental Change 1: 14-22.
- 66. UNESCO. 1960. Medicinal plants of arid zones. Arid Zone Research 13.
- 67. Vavilov, N. I. 1949. The Origin, Variation, Immunity and Breeding of Cultivated Plants. Waltham, Mass.: Chronica Botanical

Chapter 3

AN INCONVENIENT TRUTH

3.1 An Inconvenient Truth

Albert Arnold Gore Jr. served as the 45th Vice President of the United States from January 1985 to January 1993. He then ran for the office of President, but was defeated by George W. Bush in a controversial election whose outcome was finally decided by the US Supreme Court¹.

Al Gore is the founder and current Chairman of the Alliance for Climate Protection. He was one of the first important political figures to call attention to the problem of steadily increasing CO_2 levels in the atmosphere and the threat of catastrophic climate change. He produced the highly influential documentary film An Inconvenient Truth². Because of his important efforts to save the global environment, Al Gore shared the 2007 Nobel Peace Prize with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Al Gore gave a lecture on the dangers of climate change an estimated 1,000 times. On one of these occasions, film producer Laurie David was present. She was so inspired that she decided to produce a documentary film about Al Gore's crusade to raise public awareness of the existential dangers of catastrophic climate change. The film was both a commercial and critical success, and it won two Academy Awards. Here is Wikipedia's description of *An Inconvenient Truth*:

"An Inconvenient Truth is a 2006 American concert/documentary film directed by Davis Guggenheim about former United States Vice President Al Gore's campaign to educate people about global warming. The film features a slide show that, by Gore's own estimate, he has presented over 1,000 times to audiences worldwide.

"The idea to document Gore's efforts came from producer Laurie David, who saw his presentation at a town hall meeting on global warming, which coincided with the opening of *The Day After Tomorrow*. Laurie David was so

¹Many people believe that Al Gore won the election.

²https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I-SV13UQXdk

inspired by his slide show that she, with producer Lawrence Bender, met with Guggenheim to adapt the presentation into a film. Premiering at the 2006 Sundance Film Festival and opening in New York City and Los Angeles on May 24, 2006, the documentary was a critical and commercial success, winning two Academy Awards for Best Documentary Feature and Best Original Song. The film grossed \$24 million in the U.S. and \$26 million at the international box office, becoming the 11th highest grossing documentary film to date in the United States.

"Since the film's release, An Inconvenient Truth has been credited for raising international public awareness of global warming and re-energizing the environmental movement. The documentary has also been included in science curricula in schools around the world, which has spurred some controversy. A sequel to the film, titled An Inconvenient Sequel: Truth to Power, was released on July 28, 2017."

3.1. AN INCONVENIENT TRUTH



Figure 3.1: Al Gore (born in 1948), 45th Vice President of the United States. He was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 2007, jointly with the IPCC, for his important work towards making the public aware of the danger of catastrophic climate change.



Figure 3.2: A poster advertising Al Gore's *An Inconvenient Truth*. It shows industrial smoke stacks whose emissions form a hurricane eyewall.

3.1. AN INCONVENIENT TRUTH



Figure 3.3: Gore gives a keynote address on sustainability at SapphireNow 2010 in May 2010.



Figure 3.4: EPICA and Vostok ice cores display the relationship between temperature and level of CO2 for the last 650,000 years. ("Current CO2 level" is as of 2006.)



Monthly mean CO2 concentration

Figure 3.5: Gore presents the Keeling curve, which shows a pattern of steadily increasing carbon dioxide in the atmosphere since 1958.

3.2 Excerpts from Al Gore's Nobel Lecture

...The distinguished scientists with whom it is the greatest honor of my life to share this award have laid before us a choice between two different futures - a choice that to my ears echoes the words of an ancient prophet: "Life or death, blessings or curses. Therefore, choose life, that both thou and thy seed may live."

We, the human species, are confronting a planetary emergency - a threat to the survival of our civilization that is gathering ominous and destructive potential even as we gather here. But there is hopeful news as well: we have the ability to solve this crisis and avoid the worst - though not all - of its consequences, if we act boldly, decisively and quickly.

However, despite a growing number of honorable exceptions, too many of the world's leaders are still best described in the words Winston Churchill applied to those who ignored Adolf Hitler's threat: "They go on in strange paradox, decided only to be undecided, resolved to be irresolute, adamant for drift, solid for fluidity, all powerful to be impotent."

So today, we dumped another 70 million tons of global-warming pollution into the thin shell of atmosphere surrounding our planet, as if it were an open sewer. And tomorrow, we will dump a slightly larger amount, with the cumulative concentrations now trapping more and more heat from the sun.

As a result, the earth has a fever. And the fever is rising. The experts have told us it is not a passing affliction that will heal by itself. We asked for a second opinion. And a third. And a fourth. And the consistent conclusion, restated with increasing alarm, is that something basic is wrong.

We are what is wrong, and we must make it right...

In the last few months, it has been harder and harder to misinterpret the signs that our world is spinning out of kilter. Major cities in North and South America, Asia and Australia are nearly out of water due to massive droughts and melting glaciers. Desperate farmers are losing their livelihoods. Peoples in the frozen Arctic and on low-lying Pacific islands are planning evacuations of places they have long called home. Unprecedented wildfires have forced a half million people from their homes in one country and caused a national emergency that almost brought down the government in another. Climate refugees have migrated into areas already inhabited by people with different cultures, religions, and traditions, increasing the potential for conflict. Stronger storms in the Pacific and Atlantic have threatened whole cities. Millions have been displaced by massive flooding in South Asia, Mexico, and 18 countries in Africa. As temperature extremes have increased, tens of thousands have lost their lives. We are recklessly burning and clearing our forests and driving more and more species into extinction. The very web of life on which we depend is being ripped and frayed.

We never intended to cause all this destruction, just as Alfred Nobel never

intended that dynamite be used for waging war. He had hoped his invention would promote human progress. We shared that same worthy goal when we began burning massive quantities of coal, then oil and methane.

Even in Nobel's time, there were a few warnings of the likely consequences. One of the very first winners of the Prize in chemistry worried that, "We are evaporating our coal mines into the air." After performing 10,000 equations by hand, Svante Arrhenius calculated that the earth's average temperature would increase by many degrees if we doubled the amount of CO2 in the atmosphere.

Seventy years later, my teacher, Roger Revelle, and his colleague, Dave Keeling, began to precisely document the increasing CO2 levels day by day.

But unlike most other forms of pollution, CO2 is invisible, tasteless, and odorless - which has helped keep the truth about what it is doing to our climate out of sight and out of mind. Moreover, the catastrophe now threatening us is unprecedented - and we often confuse the unprecedented with the improbable.

We also find it hard to imagine making the massive changes that are now necessary to solve the crisis. And when large truths are genuinely inconvenient, whole societies can, at least for a time, ignore them. Yet as George Orwell reminds us: "Sooner or later a false belief bumps up against solid reality, usually on a battlefield."...

We must quickly mobilize our civilization with the urgency and resolve that has previously been seen only when nations mobilized for war. These prior struggles for survival were won when leaders found words at the 11th hour that released a mighty surge of courage, hope and readiness to sacrifice for a protracted and mortal challenge.

These were not comforting and misleading assurances that the threat was not real or imminent; that it would affect others but not ourselves; that ordinary life might be lived even in the presence of extraordinary threat; that Providence could be trusted to do for us what we would not do for ourselves.

No, these were calls to come to the defense of the common future. They were calls upon the courage, generosity and strength of entire peoples, citizens of every class and condition who were ready to stand against the threat once asked to do so. Our enemies in those times calculated that free people would not rise to the challenge; they were, of course, catastrophically wrong.

Now comes the threat of climate crisis - a threat that is real, rising, imminent, and universal. Once again, it is the 11th hour. The penalties for ignoring this challenge are immense and growing, and at some near point would be unsustainable and unrecoverable. For now we still have the power to choose our fate, and the remaining question is only this: Have we the will to act vigorously and in time, or will we remain imprisoned by a dangerous illusion?

Al Gore's TED talk: The Case for Optimism on Climate Change

In 2016, Al Gore gave an important talk to a TED audience³. in which he pointed out the an economic tipping point has just been passed. Solar energy and wind energy are now cheaper than energy form fossil fuels. This means that economic forces alone can drive a rapid transition to 100% renewable energy. Investors will realize that renewables represent an unparalleled investment opportunity.

3.3 Preventing an ecological apocalypse

Here are some excerpts from an article entitled Only Rebellion will prevent an ecological apocalypse by George Monbiot, which was published on April 15 2019 in The Guardian⁴:

No one is coming to save us. Mass civil disobedience is essential to force a political response.

Had we put as much effort into preventing environmental catastrophe as we've spent on making excuses for inaction, we would have solved it by now. Everywhere I look, I see people engaged in furious attempts to fend off the moral challenge it presents...

As the environmental crisis accelerates, and as protest movements like YouthStrike4Climate and Extinction Rebellion make it harder not to see what we face, people discover more inventive means of shutting their eyes and shedding responsibility. Underlying these excuses is a deep-rooted belief that if we really are in trouble, someone somewhere will come to our rescue: "they" won't let it happen. But there is no they, just us.

The political class, as anyone who has followed its progress over the past three years can surely now see, is chaotic, unwilling and, in isolation, strategically incapable of addressing even short-term crises, let alone a vast existential predicament. Yet a widespread and wilful naivety prevails: the belief that voting is the only political action required to change a system. Unless it is accompanied by the concentrated power of protest - articulating precise demands and creating space in which new political factions can grow - voting, while essential, remains a blunt and feeble instrument.

The media, with a few exceptions, is actively hostile. Even when broadcasters cover these issues, they carefully avoid any mention of power, talking about environmental collapse as if it is driven by mysterious, passive forces, and proposing microscopic fixes for vast structural problems. The BBC's Blue Planet Live series exemplified this tendency.

Those who govern the nation and shape public discourse cannot be trusted

³https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I-SV13UQXdk

 $^{{}^{4}} https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/apr/15/rebellion-prevent-ecological-apocalypse-civil-disobedience$

with the preservation of life on Earth. There is no benign authority preserving us from harm. No one is coming to save us. None of us can justifiably avoid the call to come together to save ourselves...

Predatory delay

Here are some excerpts from a May 3 2019 article by Bill Henderson entitled *Neoliberalism*, Solution Aversion, Implicatory Denial and Predatory Delay⁵:

Looking back at the history, that it's not really a failure of human beings and human nature that's the problem here. It's a hijacking of our political and economic system by the fossil fuel industry and a small number of like-minded people. It was our bad luck that this idea that markets solve all problems and that government should be left to wither away crested just at the moment when it could do the most damage.

Despite the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions globally if we are to lower the risks of catastrophic climate change, wealthy industrialized nations persist with a widespread public silence on the issue and fail to address climate change. This is despite there being ever more conclusive evidence of its severity. Why is there an undercurrent of inaction, despite the challenge of climate change being ever more daunting? One element is denial.

George Marshall discovered that there has not been a single proposal, debate or even position paper on limiting fossil fuel production put forward during international climate negotiations. From the very outset fossil fuel production lay outside the frame of the discussions and, as with other forms of socially constructed silence, the social norms among the negotiators and policy specialists kept it that way.

Global climate leadership is being redefined. There is a growing recognition that you cannot be a climate leader if you continue to enable new fossil fuel production, which is inconsistent with climate limits. If no major producers step up to stop the expansion of extraction and begin phasing out existing fields and mines, the Paris goals will become increasingly difficult to achieve. Wealthy fossil fuel producers have a responsibility to lead, and this must include planning for a just and equitable managed decline of existing production.

The (emissions reduction) curve we've been forced onto bends so steeply, that the pace of victory is part of victory itself. Winning slowly is basically the same thing as losing outright. We cannot afford to pursue past strategies, aimed at limited gains towards distant goals. In the face of both triumphant denialism and predatory delay, trying to achieve climate action by doing the same things, the same old ways, means defeat. It guarantees defeat.

 $^{^{5} \}rm https://countercurrents.org/2019/05/03/neoliberalism-solution-aversion-implicatory-denial-and-predatory-delay-bill-henderson/$

A fast, emergency-scale transition to a post-fossil fuel world is absolutely necessary to address climate change. But this is excluded from consideration by policymakers because it is considered to be too disruptive. The orthodoxy is that there is time for an orderly economic transition within the current shorttermist political paradigm. Discussion of what would be safe - less warming that we presently experience - is non-existent. And so we have a policy failure of epic proportions. Policymakers, in their magical thinking, imagine a mitigation path of gradual change, to be constructed over many decades in a growing, prosperous world...

3.4 Excerpts from the IPCC's Nobel Lecture

The lecture was delivered by R. K. Pachauri, Chairman of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Oslo, 10 December 2007.

The IPCC produces key scientific material that is of the highest relevance to policymaking, and is agreed word-by-word by all governments, from the most skeptical to the most confident. This difficult process is made possible by the tremendous strength of the underlying scientific and technical material included in the IPCC reports.

The Panel was established in 1988 through a resolution of the UN General Assembly. One of its clauses was significant in having stated, "Noting with concern that the emerging evidence indicates that continued growth in atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases could produce global warming with an eventual rise in sea levels, the effects of which could be disastrous for mankind if timely steps are not taken at all levels". This means that almost two decades ago the UN was acutely conscious of the possibility of disaster consequent on climate change through increases in sea levels. Today we know much more, which provides greater substance to that concern.

This award being given to the IPCC, we believe goes fundamentally beyond a concern for the impacts of climate change on peace. Mr Berge Furre expressed eloquently during the Nobel Banquet on 10 December 2004 an important tenet when he said "We honour the earth; for bringing forth flowers and food - and trees... The Norwegian Nobel Committee is committed to the protection of the earth. This commitment is our vision - deeply felt and connected to human rights and peace". Honouring the IPCC through the grant of the Nobel Peace Prize in 2007 in essence can be seen as a clarion call for the protection of the earth as it faces the widespread impacts of climate change. The choice of the Panel for this signal honour is, in our view, an acknowledgement of three important realities, which can be summed up as:

1. The power and promise of collective scientific endeavour, which, as demonstrated by the IPCC, can reach across national boundaries and political

3.4. EXCERPTS FROM THE IPCC'S NOBEL LECTURE

differences in the pursuit of objectives defining the larger good of human society.

- 2. The importance of the role of knowledge in shaping public policy and guiding global affairs for the sustainable development of human society.
- 3. An acknowledgement of the threats to stability and human security inherent in the impacts of a changing climate and, therefore, the need for developing an effective rationale for timely and adequate action to avoid such threats in the future.

These three realities encircle an important truth that must guide global action involving the entire human race in the future. Coming as I do from India, a land which gave birth to civilization in ancient times and where much of the earlier tradition and wisdom guides actions even in modern times, the philosophy of "Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam", which means the whole universe is one family, must dominate global efforts to protect the global commons. This principle is crucial to the maintenance of peace and order today as it would be increasingly in the years ahead, and as the well-known columnist and author Thomas Friedman has highlighted in his book "The World is Flat".

Neglect in protecting our heritage of natural resources could prove extremely harmful for the human race and for all species that share common space on planet earth. Indeed, there are many lessons in human history which provide adequate warning about the chaos and destruction that could take place if we remain guilty of myopic indifference to the progressive erosion and decline of nature's s resources. Much has been written, for instance, about the Maya civilization, which flourished during 250-950 AD, but collapsed largely as a result of serious and prolonged drought. Even earlier, some 4000 years ago a number of well-known Bronze Age cultures also crumbled extending from the Mediterranean to the Indus Valley, including the civilizations, which had blossomed in Mesopotamia. More recent examples of societies that collapsed or faced chaos on account of depletion or degradation of natural resources include the Khmer Empire in South East Asia, Eastern Island, and several others. Changes in climate have historically determined periods of peace as well as conflict. The recent work of David Zhang has, in fact, highlighted the link between temperature fluctuations, reduced agricultural production, and the frequency of warfare in Eastern China over the last millennium. Further, in recent years several groups have studied the link between climate and security. These have raised the threat of dramatic population migration, conflict, and war over water and other resources as well as a realignment of power among nations. Some also highlight the possibility of rising tensions between rich and poor nations, health problems caused particularly by water shortages, and crop failures as well as concerns over nuclear proliferation.

One of the most significant aspects of the impacts of climate change, which has unfortunately not received adequate attention from scholars in the social sciences, relates to the equity implications of changes that are occurring and are likely to occur in the future. In general, the impacts of climate change on some of the poorest and the most vulnerable communities in the world could prove extremely unsettling. And, given the inadequacy of capacity, economic strength, and institutional capabilities characterizing some of these communities, they would remain extremely vulnerable to the impacts of climate change and may, therefore, actually see a decline in their economic condition, with a loss of livelihoods and opportunities to maintain even subsistence levels of existence. Since the IPCC by its very nature is an organization that does not provide assessments, which are policy prescriptive, it has not provided any directions on how conflicts inherent in the social implications of the impacts of climate change could be avoided or contained. Nevertheless, the Fourth Assessment Report provides scientific findings that other scholars can study and arrive at some conclusions on in relation to peace and security. Several parts of our reports have much information and knowledge that would be of considerable value for individual researchers and think tanks dealing with security issues as well as governments that necessarily are concerned with some of these matters. It would be particularly relevant to conduct in-depth analysis of risks to security among the most vulnerable sectors and communities impacted by climate change across the globe.

Peace can be defined as security and the secure access to resources that are essential for living. A disruption in such access could prove disruptive of peace. In this regard, climate change will have several implications, as numerous adverse impacts are expected for some populations in terms of:

- access to clean water,
- access to sufficient food,
- stable health conditions,
- ecosystem resources,
- security of settlements.

Climate change is expected to exacerbate current stresses on water resources. On a regional scale, mountain snowpack, glaciers, and small ice caps play a crucial role in fresh water availability. Widespread mass losses from glaciers and reductions in snow cover over recent decades are projected to accelerate throughout the 21st century, reducing water availability, hydropower potential, and the changing seasonality of flows in regions supplied by meltwater from major mountain ranges (e.g. Hindu-Kush, Himalaya, Andes), where more than one-sixth of the world's population currently lives. There is also high confidence that many semi-arid areas (e.g. the Mediterranean Basin, western United States, southern Africa, and northeastern Brazil) will suffer a decrease in water resources due to climate change. In Africa by 2020, between 75 and 250 million people are projected to be exposed to increased water stress due to climate change.

Climate change could further adversely affect food security and exacerbate malnutrition at low latitudes, especially in seasonally dry and tropical regions, where crop productivity is projected to decrease for even small local temperature increases (1-2 degrees C). By 2020, in some African countries, yields from rain-fed agriculture could be reduced by up to 50%. Agricultural production, including access to food, in many African countries is projected to be severely compromised.

The health status of millions of people is projected to be affected through, for example, increases in malnutrition; increased deaths, diseases, and injury due to extreme weather events; increased burden of diarrhoeal diseases; increased frequency of cardio-respiratory diseases due to higher concentrations of ground-level ozone in urban areas related to climate change; and the altered spatial distribution of some infectious diseases.

Climate change is likely to lead to some irreversible impacts on biodiversity. There is medium confidence that approximately 20%-30% of species assessed so far are likely to be at increased risk of extinction if increases in global average warming exceed 1.5-2.5 ŰC, relative to 1980-99. As global average temperature exceeds about 3.5 degrees C, model projections suggest significant extinctions (40%-70% of species assessed) around the globe. These changes, if they were to occur would have serious effects on the sustainability of several ecosystems and the services they provide to human society.

As far as security of human settlements is concerned, vulnerabilities to climate change are generally greater in certain high-risk locations, particularly coastal and riverine areas, and areas whose economies are closely linked with climate-sensitive resources. Where extreme weather events become more intense or more frequent with climate change, the economic and social costs of those events will increase.

Some regions are likely to be especially affected by climate change.

- The Arctic, because of the impacts of high rates of projected warming on natural systems and human communities,
- Africa, because of low adaptive capacity and projected climate change impacts,
- Small islands, where there is high exposure of population and infrastructure to projected climate change impacts

• Asian and African megadeltas, due to large populations and high exposure to sea level rise, storm surges, and river flooding.

The IPCC Fourth Assessment Report concludes that non-climate stresses can increase vulnerability to climate change by reducing resilience and can also reduce adaptive capacity because of resource deployment towards competing needs. Vulnerable regions face multiple stresses that affect their exposure and sensitivity to various impacts as well as their capacity to adapt. These stresses arise from, for example, current climate hazards, poverty, and unequal access to resources, food insecurity, trends in economic globalization, conflict, and incidence of diseases such as HIV/AIDS.

Within other areas, even those with high incomes, some people (such as the poor, young children, and the elderly) can be particularly at risk.

Migration and movement of people is a particularly critical source of potential conflict. Migration, usually temporary and often from rural to urban areas, is a common response to calamities such as floods and famines. But as in the case of vulnerability to the impacts of climate change, where multiple stresses could be at work on account of a diversity of causes and conditions, so also in the case of migration, individuals may have multiple motivations and they could be displaced by multiple factors.

Another issue of extreme concern is the finding that anthropogenic factors could lead to some impacts that are abrupt or irreversible, depending on the rate and magnitude of climate change. For instance, partial loss of ice sheets on polar land could imply metres of sea level rise, major changes in coastlines, and inundation of low-lying areas, with greatest effects in river deltas and low-lying islands.

Global average warming above about 4.5 degrees C relative to 1980-99 (about 5 degrees C above pre-industrial) would imply:

- Projected decreases of precipitation by up to 20% in many dry tropical and subtropical areas.
- Expected mass loss of Greenland's ice if sustained over many centuries (based on all current global climate system models assessed) leading to sea level rise up to 4 metres and flooding of shorelines on every continent.

The implications of these changes, if they were to occur would be grave and disastrous. However, it is within the reach of human society to meet these threats. The impacts of climate change can be limited by suitable adaptation measures and stringent mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions.

Societies have a long record of adapting to the impacts of weather and climate. But climate change poses novel risks often outside the range of experience, such as impacts related to drought, heat waves, accelerated glacier retreat, and hurricane intensity. These impacts will require adaptive responses such as investments in storm protection and water supply infrastructure, as well as community health services. Adaptation measures essential to reduce such vulnerability, are seldom undertaken in response to climate change alone but can be integrated within, for example, water resource management, coastal defence, and risk-reduction strategies. The global community needs to coordinate a far more proactive effort towards implementing adaptation measures in the most vulnerable communities and systems in the world.

Adaptation is essential to address the impacts resulting from the warming which is already unavoidable due to past emissions. But, adaptation alone is not expected to cope with all the projected effects of climate change, and especially not in the long run as most impacts increase in magnitude.

There is substantial potential for the mitigation of global greenhouse gas emissions over the coming decades that could offset the projected growth of global emissions or reduce emissions below current levels. There are multiple drivers for actions that reduce emissions of greenhouse gases, and they can produce multiple benefits at the local level in terms of economic development and poverty alleviation, employment, energy security, and local environmental protection.

The Fourth Assessment Report has assessed the costs of mitigation in the coming decades for a number of scenarios of stabilisation of the concentration of these gases and associated average global temperature increases at equilibrium. A stabilisation level of 445-590 ppm of CO2 equivalent, which corresponds to a global average temperature increase above pre-industrial at equilibrium (using best estimate climate sensitivity) of around 2.0-2.4 ŰC would lead to a reduction in average annual GDP growth rate of less than 0.12% up to 2030 and beyond up to 2050. Essentially, the range of global GDP reduction with the least-cost trajectory assessed for this level of stabilisation would be less than 3% in 2030 and less than 5.5% in 2050. Some important characteristics of this stabilisation scenario need careful consideration:

- For a CO2-equivalent concentration at stabilization of 445-490 ppm, CO2 emissions would need to peak during the period 2000-15 and decline thereafter. We, therefore, have a short window of time to bring about a reduction in global emissions if we wish to limit temperature increase to around 2 oC at equilibrium.
- Even with this ambitious level of stabilisation the global average sea level rise above pre-industrial at equilibrium from thermal expansion only would lie between 0.4-1.4 metres. This would have serious implications for several regions and locations in the world.

A rational approach to management of risk would require that human society evaluates the impacts of climate change inherent in a business-as-usual scenario and the quantifiable costs as well as unquantifiable damages associated with it, against the cost of action. With such an approach the overwhelming result would be in favour of major efforts at mitigation. The impacts of climate change even with current levels of concentration of greenhouse gases would be serious enough to justify stringent mitigation efforts. If the concentration of all greenhouse gases and aerosols had been kept constant at year 2000 levels, a further warming of about 0.1 $\hat{A}^{o}C$ per decade would be expected. Subsequent temperature projections depend on specific emission scenarios. Those systems and communities, which are vulnerable, may suffer considerably with even small changes in the climate at the margin.

Science tells us not only that the climate system is changing, but also that further warming and sea level rise is in store even if greenhouse gases were to be stabilized today. That is a consequence of the basic physics of the system. Social factors also contribute to our future, including the 'lock-in' due, for example, to today's power plants, transportation systems, and buildings, and their likely continuing emissions even as cleaner future infrastructure comes on line. So the challenge before us is not only a large one, it is also one in which every year of delay implies a commitment to greater climate change in the future.

It would be relevant to recall the words of President Gayoom of the Maldives at the Forty Second Session of the UN General Assembly on the 19 October 1987: "As for my own country, the Maldives, a mean sea level rise of 2 metres would suffice to virtually submerge the entire country of 1,190 small islands, most of which barely rise 2 metres above mean sea level. That would be the death of a nation. With a mere 1 metre rise also, a storm surge would be catastrophic, and possibly fatal to the nation."

On 22 September 1997, at the opening of the thirteenth session of the IPCC at Male, the capital of the Maldives, President Gayoom reminded us of the threat to his country when he said, "Ten years ago, in April 1987, this very spot where we are gathered now, was under two feet of water, as unusually high waves inundated one third of Male, as well as the Male International Airport and several other islands of our archipelago." Hazards from the impacts of climate change are, therefore, a reality today in some parts of the world, and we cannot hide under global averages and the ability of affluent societies to deal with climate-related threats as opposed to the condition of vulnerable communities in poor regions of the globe.

The successive assessment reports published by the IPCC since 1990 demonstrate the progress of scientific knowledge about climate change and its consequences. This progress has been made possible by the combined strength of growing evidence of the observations of changes in climate, dedicated work from the scientific community, and improved efforts in communication of science. We have now more scientific evidence of the reality of climate change and its human contribution. As stated in the Fourth Assessment Report, "warming of the climate system is unequivocal", and "most of the global average warming over the past 50 years is very likely due to anthropogenic greenhouse gases increases".

Further progress in scientific assessment needs however to be achieved in order to support strong and adequate responses to the threats of climate change, including adaptation and mitigation policies.

There is also notable lack of geographic data and literature on observed changes, with marked scarcity in developing countries. Future changes in the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheet mass are another major source of uncertainty that could increase sea level rise projections. The need for further scientific input calls for continued trust and cooperation from policymakers and society at large to support the work needed for scientific progress.

How climate change will affect peace is for others to determine, but we have provided scientific assessment of what could become a basis for conflict. When Mr. Willy Brandt spoke at the acceptance of the Nobel Peace Prize in 1971, he said, "... we shall have to know more about the origins of conflicts. ... As I see it, next to reasonable politics, learning is in our world the true credible alternative to force."

The thirteenth Conference of the Parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change is being held in Bali right now. The world's attention is riveted on that meeting and hopes are alive that unlike the sterile outcome of previous sessions in recent years, this one will provide some positive results. The work of the IPCC has helped the world to learn more on all aspects of climate change, and the Nobel Peace Prize Committee has acknowledged this fact. The question is whether the participants in Bali will support what Willy Brandt referred to as "reasonable politics". Will those responsible for decisions in the field of climate change at the global level listen to the voice of science and knowledge, which is now loud and clear? If they do so at Bali and beyond then all my colleagues in the IPCC and those thousands toiling for the cause of science would feel doubly honoured at the privilege I am receiving today on their behalf.

Thank you!

3.5 An existential risk to human civilization

According to a recent United Nations report, extreme weather events displaced 2 million people during 2018. While no single event can be unambiguously attributed to anthropogenic climate change, scientists believe the the increasing frequency of extreme weather events is definitely linked to global warming. The same is true of their increasing severity.

The report states that during 2018, extreme weather events impacted roughly 62 million people, of whom 2 million were displaced from their homes. In the words of the WMO report, "The physical signs and socio-economic impacts of climate change are accelerating,



Figure 3.6: "Ensuring a livable planet for future generations means getting serious about phasing out coal, oil, and gas," said Christiana Figueres, former executive secretary of the UNFCCC, "Countries such as Costa Rica, Spain, and New Zealand are already showing the way forward, with policies to constrain exploration and extraction and ensure a just transition away from fossil fuels. Others must now follow their lead."

as record greenhouse gas concentrations drive global temperatures towards increasingly dangerous levels."

UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres, speaking at the launching of the WMO report, used the occasion to remind global leaders of the urgency of the climate emergency. Guterres has convened a climate summit meeting scheduled for September 23, 2019, and referring to the meeting, he said: "Don't come with a speech, come with a plan. This is what science says is needed. It is what young people around the globe are rightfully demanding." Two weeks previously, on March 15, one and a half million students from more that 130 countries had skipped school to participate in the largest climate demonstration in history, demanding action to save the future from the threat of catastrophic climate change.

"And yes, we do need hope. Of course, we do. But the one thing we need more than hope is action. Once we start to act, hope is everywhere. So instead of looking for hope, look for action. Then and only then, hope will come today." Greta Thunberg



Figure 3.7: Today the beautiful city of Venice is flooded. Tomorrow unless urgent climate action is taken, all coastal cities will be under water.



Figure 3.8: On Friday, November 15, 2019, in a speech at the Vatican, Pope Francis issued a warning against the rise of fascist forces worldwide that remind him of the Nazis of the 20th Century as he also railed against corporate crimes and announced consideration of adding "sins against ecology" to the church's official teachings. "The principle of profit maximization, isolated from any other consideration, leads to a model of exclusion which violently attacks those who now suffer its social and economic costs, while future generations are condemned to pay the environmental costs", he said. In his speech, Francis condemned global corporations that are responsible for "countries' over-indebtedness and the plunder of our planet's natural resources." He said that their activities have the "gravity of crimes against humanity," especially when they lead to hunger, poverty and the eradication of indigenous peoples.



Figure 3.9: A new report indicates that half of all insects may have been lost since 1970 as a result of the destruction of nature and heavy use of pesticides. The report said 40% of the 1million known species of insect are facing extinction. Unless steps are taken to correct the excessive use of pesticides and loss of habitat, there will be profound consequences for humans and all life on Earth. "We can't be sure, but in terms of numbers, we may have lost 50% or more of our insects since 1970 - it could be much more," said Prof Dave Goulson, at the University of Sussex, UK, who wrote the report for the Wildlife Trusts. Since most crops depend on insect pollination, the insect apocalypse will make it difficult to feed the Earth's growing population unless urgent corrective steps are taken.



Figure 3.10: Swedish teen environmental activist Greta Thunberg speaks at a climate change rally in Charlotte, North Carolina, on 8 November, 2019. Returning to Europe by boat to attend climate talks in Spain, Greta said "My message to the Americans is the same as to everyone - that is to unite behind the science and to act on the science. We must realize this is a crisis, and we must do what we can now to spread awareness about this and to put pressure on the people in power. And especially, the US has an election coming up soon, and it's very important that for everyone who can vote, vote. Even if the politics needed doesn't exist today, we still need to use our voices to make sure that the people in power are focused on the right things. Because this is a democracy, and in a democracy, people are the ones who run the country. I know it doesn't seem that way, but if enough people were to decide they have had enough, then that could change everything. So don't underestimate that power."



Figure 3.11: Senator Bernie Sanders and Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez field questions from audience members at the Climate Crisis Summit at Drake University on November 9, 2019, in Des Moines, Iowa. "Faced with the global crisis of climate change, the United States must lead the world in transforming our energy system away from fossil fuel to sustainable energy. The Green New Deal is not just about climate change," Sanders said, "It is an economic plan to create millions of good-paying jobs, strengthen our infrastructure, and invest in our country's frontline and vulnerable communities." The Green New Deal, which is strongly advocated by Sanders and Ocasio-Cortez in the United States, and also currently debated in many other countries, is inspired by the set of programs that Franklin D. Roosevelt used to end the Great Depression. It aims at maintaining full employment by substituting jobs in creating renewable energy infrastructure for jobs lost in the fossil fuel sector.



Figure 3.12: The World Scientists' Warning of a Climate Emergency was published in Bioscience on 5 November, 2019. The article states that "Scientists have a moral obligation to clearly warn humanity of any catastrophic threat and to 'tell it like it is.' On the basis of this obligation and the graphical indicators presented below, we declare, with more than 11,000 scientist signatories from around the world, clearly and unequivocally that planet Earth is facing a climate emergency...Despite 40 years of global climate negotiations... we have generally conducted business as usual and have largely failed to address this predicament."



Figure 3.13: Bush fires in Australia are threatening Sydney and have caused the Australian government to declare a state of emergency. But Australia's politicians continue the policies that have made their nation a climate change criminal, exporting vast quantities of coal and beef. The Deputy Prime Minister Michael McCormack said, of the fire victems: "They don't need the ravings of some pure enlightened and woke capital city greenies at this time when they are trying to save their homes." In other words, let's not talk about climate change.



Figure 3.14: A Peoples' Climate March in Amsterdam, calling for an ambitious climate policy. The *World Scientists' Warning of a Climate Emergency* called attention to a number of indicators: "The basic scientific data of these changes is presented simply and with great clarity: a 5 percent rise every 10 years in carbon emissions; a 3.65 percent rise of another powerful greenhouse gas, methane, every 10 years; a global surface temperature rise of .183 degrees Celsius every 10 years; a decline of Arctic sea ice at a rate of 11.7 percent every 10 years; significant drops in the ice mass of Greenland, Antarctica and world glaciers; an increase in ocean acidity and temperatures; an increase of 44 percent in the amount of area burned by wildfires in the U.S. every 10 years; and an 88 percent rise in extreme weather events per 10 years."



Figure 3.15: The graphs showing increase in global temperatures and carbon dioxide follow each other closely. In an article published in Countercurrents on November 6, 2019, Dr. Andrew Glickson wrote: "As the concentration of atmospheric CO_2 has risen to 408 ppm and the total greenhouse gas level, including methane and nitrous oxide, combine to near 500 parts per million CO_2 -equivalent, the stability threshold of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, currently melting at an accelerated rate, has been exceeded. The consequent expansion of tropics and the shift of climate zones toward the shrinking poles lead to increasingly warm and dry conditions under which fire storms, currently engulfing large parts of South America, California, Alaska, Siberia, Sweden, Spain, Portugal, Greece, Angola, Australia and elsewhere have become a dominant factor in the destruction of terrestrial habitats."



Figure 3.16: The Royal Society of the United Kingdom documented ExxonMobil's funding of 39 organizations that promoted "inaccurate and misleading" views of climate science. In an article published by TomDispatch on November 11. 2019, Professor Naomi Oreskes of Harvard University wrote: "Much focus has been put on ExxonMobil's history of disseminating disinformation, partly because of the documented discrepancies between what that company said in public about climate change and what its officials said (and funded) in private. Recently, a trial began in New York City accusing the company of misleading its investors, while Massachusetts is prosecuting ExxonMobil for misleading consumers as well. If only it had just been that one company, but for more than 30 years, the fossil-fuel industry and its allies have denied the truth about anthropogenic global warming. They have systematically misled the American people and so purposely contributed to endless delays in dealing with the issue by, among other things, discounting and disparaging climate science, mispresenting scientific findings, and attempting to discredit climate scientists. These activities are documented in great detail in How Americans Were Deliberately Misled about Climate Change, a report I recently co-authored, as well as in my 2010 book and 2014 film, Merchants of Doubt."


Figure 3.17: We can repair the Earth's ruptured carbon cycle by recarbonizing it with the living carbon of biodiversity. In an article published in the 11 November, 2019. edition if TMS Weekly Digest, Professor Vandana Shiva wrote: "All the coal, petroleum and natural gas we are burning and extracting to run our contemporary oil-based economy was formed over 600 million years. We are burning up millions of years of nature's work annually. This is why the carbon cycle is broken. A few centuries of fossil fuel-based civilization have brought our very survival under threat by rupturing the Earth's carbon cycle, disrupting key climate systems and self-regulatory capacity, and pushing diverse species to extinction at 1000 times the normal rate. The connection between biodiversity and climate change is intimate. Extinction is a certainty if we continue a little longer on the fossil fuel path. A shift to a biodiversity-based civilization is now a survival imperative."



Figure 3.18: A fire burns a tract of the Amazon jungle in Agua Boa, Mato Grosso state, Brazil September 4, 2019. According to a report published by teleSUR on 7 November, 2019, "Deforestation in Brazil's Amazon region increased by 80 percent in September compared to the same month last year, according to a private study released on Wednesday stating that 802 square kilometers of forest was lost in the zone... Environmental and human rights organizations have confirmed that criminal networks are behind the indiscriminate cutting of trees in the region, and that after the illegal lumbering, those deforested zones are burned to make the land suitable for livestock raising and agriculture. In August, fires in the Brazilian Amazon were the worst in a decade, a situation that was denounced worldwide, especially the anti-ecological policies of President Jair Bolsonaro and his poor response to stop the fires."



Figure 3.19: In her testimony to the US Congress, Greta Thunberg did not prepare a statement for submission to the record. Instead, she submitted the most recent scientific report, issued by the IPCC three weeks earlier. She said simply, "I am submitting this report as my testimony because I don't want you to listen to me, I want you to listen to the scientists, and I want you to unite behind the science. And then I want you to take real action. Thank you." Here is what the scientists recommend: "Excessive extraction of materials and overexploitation of ecosystems, driven by economic growth, must be quickly curtailed to maintain the long-term sustainability of the biosphere. We need a carbon-free economy that explicitly addresses human dependence on the biosphere and policies that guide economic decisions accordingly. Our goals need to shift from GDP growth and the pursuit of affluence toward sustaining ecosystems and improving human well-being by prioritizing basic needs and reducing inequality."



Figure 3.20: According to an article in the September, 2019 issue of The National Geographic, "Across 9 million square miles at the top of the planet, climate change is writing a new chapter. Arctic permafrost isn't thawing gradually, as scientists once predicted. Geologically speaking, it's thawing almost overnight." World leadership is sacrificing their constituencies on the altar of fossil fuel profits and a brand of capitalism that recklessly consumes everything in sight. Therefore the public must become aware of the consequences. Alaska's North Slope has seen temperatures spike 11° F in 30 years as temperatures hit 90° F 240 miles above the Arctic Circle, temperatures that remind us of Florida's balmy weather. Arctic sea ice is also melting rapidly, and there is a danger that a powerful albedo feedback loop will be initiated, since ice strongly reflects sunlight, but dark seawater absorbs much more energy, further increasing Arctic temperatures.



Figure 3.21: In an article published in Countercurrents on November 8, 2019, Sydney Ghazarian wrote: "We can leverage our power as workers through highimpact, disruptive labor strikes that halt the economy's gears until politicians can no longer ignore us, and are forced to cede to demands that will save the world." He had in mind the Global Climate Strikes of September, 2019, in which 7 million people participated. Swedish climate activist Greta Thunberg summarized the need for such action in a speech at the World Economic Forum in Davos in January, 2019. "Some say that we should not engage in activism, instead we should leave everything to our politicians and just vote for change instead," she said. "But what do we do when there is no political will? What do we do when the politics needed are nowhere in sight?"

3.6 Only 12 years left to limit climate change catastrophe

The world's leading scientists met at the Forty-Eighth Session of the IPCC and First Joint Session of Working Groups I, II, and III, 1-5 October 2018 in Inchon, Republic of Korea and openly declared that civilization is on track for collapse because of reckless use of fossil fuels, unless immediate action is taken to drastically cut the extraction and use of fossil fuels.

The report finds that limiting global warming to 1.5°C would require "rapid and farreaching" transitions in land, energy, industry, buildings, transport, and cities. Global net human-caused emissions of carbon dioxide would need to fall by about 45 percent from 2010 levels by 2030, reaching 'net zero' around 2050.

"It's a line in the sand and what it says to our species is that this is the moment and we must act now," said Debra Roberts, a co-chair of the working group on impacts. "This is the largest clarion bell from the science community and I hope it mobilizes people and dents the mood of complacency."

"We have presented governments with pretty hard choices. We have pointed out the enormous benefits of keeping to 1.5C, and also the unprecedented shift in energy systems and transport that would be needed to achieve that," said Jim Skea, a co-chair of the working group on mitigation. "We show it can be done within laws of physics and chemistry. Then the final tick box is political will. We cannot answer that. Only our audience can and that is the governments that receive it."

Bob Ward, of the Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change, said the final document was "incredibly conservative" because it did not mention the likely rise in climatedriven refugees or the danger of tipping points that could push the world on to an irreversible path of extreme warming.

Policymakers commissioned the report at the Paris climate talks in 2016, but since then the gap between science and politics has widened. Donald Trump has promised to withdraw the US - the world's biggest source of historical emissions - from the accord. Brazil's president. Jair Bolsonaro, threatens to do the same and also open the Amazon rainforest to agribusiness.

In 2016, the World Economic Forum survey of the most impactful risks for the years ahead elevated the failure of climate change mitigation and adaptation to the top of the list, ahead of weapons of mass destruction, ranking second, and water crises, ranking third. By 2018, following a year characterized by high-impact hurricanes and extreme temperatures, extreme-weather events were seen as the single most prominent risk. As the survey noted: "We have been pushing our planet to the brink and the damage is becoming increasingly clear."

Climate change is an existential risk to human civilization: that is, an adverse outcome that would either annihilate intelligent life or permanently and drastically curtail its potential.

Temperature rises that are now in prospect, after the Paris Agreement, are in the range



Figure 3.22: A firefighter battles fire in California. The world is currently 1 degree Centigrade warmer than preindustrial levels.

of 3-5 °C. At present, the Paris Agreement voluntary emission reduction commitments, if implemented, would result in planetary warming of 3.4 °C by 2100, without taking into account "long-term" carbon- cycle feedbacks. With a higher climate sensitivity figure of 4.5 °C, for example, which would account for such feedbacks, the Paris path would result in around 5 °C of warming, according to a MIT study.

A study by Schroeder Investment Management published in June 2017 found - after taking into account indicators across a wide range of the political, financial, energy and regulatory sectors - the average temperature increase implied for the Paris Agreement across all sectors was 4.1 o C.

Yet 3 °C of warming already constitutes an existential risk. A 2007 study by two US national security think-tanks concluded that 3 °C of warming and a 0.5 meter sea-level rise would likely lead to "outright chaos" and "nuclear war is possible", emphasizing how "massive non-linear events in the global environment give rise to massive nonlinear societal event".

Our responsibility to future generations and to the biosphere

All of the technology needed for the replacement of fossil fuels by renewable energy is already in place. Although renewable sources currently supply only 19 percent of the world's energy requirements, they are growing rapidly. For example, wind energy is growing at the rate of 30 percent per year. Because of the remarkable properties of exponential growth, this will mean that wind will soon become a major supplier of the world's energy requirements, despite bitter opposition from the fossil fuel industry.

Both wind and solar energy can now compete economically with fossil fuels, and this situation will become even more pronounced if more countries put a tax on carbon emissions, as Finland, the Netherlands, Norway, Costa Rica, the United Kingdom and Ireland already have done.⁶

Much research and thought have also been devoted to the concept of a steady-state economy. The only thing that is lacking is political will. It is up to the people of the world to make their collective will felt. 7

History has given to our generation an enormous responsibility towards future generations. We must achieve a new kind of economy, a steady-state economy. We must stabilize global population. We must replace fossil fuels by renewable energy. We must abolish nuclear weapons. We must end the institution of war. We must reclaim democracy in our own countries when it has been lost. We must replace nationalism by a just system of international law. We must prevent degradation of the earth's environment. We must act with dedication and fearlessness to save the future of the earth for human civilization and for the plants and animals with which we share the gift of life.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. A. Gore, An Inconvenient Truth: The Planetary Emergency of Global Warming and What We Can Do About It, Rodale Books, New York, (2006).
- 2. A. Gore, Earth in the Balance: Forging a New Common Purpose, Earthscan, (1992).
- 3. A.H. Ehrlich and P.R. Ehrlich, *Earth*, Thames and Methuen, (1987).
- 4. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *The Population Explosion*, Simon and Schuster, (1990).
- 5. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Healing the Planet: Strategies for Resolving the Environmental Crisis*, Addison-Wesley, (1991).
- 6. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Betrayal of Science and Reason: How Anti-Environmental Rhetoric Threatens our Future*, Island Press, (1998).
- P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, One With Nineveh: Politics, Consumption and the Human Future, Island Press, (2004).
- 8. D.H. Meadows, D.L. Meadows, J. Randers, and W.W. Behrens III, *The Limits to Growth: A Report for the Club of Rome's Project on the Predicament of Mankind*, Universe Books, New York, (1972).
- 9. D.H. Meadows et al., Beyond the Limits. Confronting Global Collapse and Envisioning a Sustainable Future, Chelsea Green Publishing, Post Mills, Vermont, (1992).
- 10. D.H. Meadows, J. Randers and D.L. Meadows, *Limits to Growth: the 30-Year Update*, Chelsea Green Publishing, White River Jct., VT 05001, (2004).
- 11. A. Peccei and D. Ikeda, *Before it is Too Late*, Kodansha International, Tokyo, (1984).
- V.K. Smith, ed., Scarcity and Growth Reconsidered, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, (1979).
- 13. British Petroleum, BP Statistical Review of World Energy, (published yearly).
- 14. R. Costannza, ed., *Ecological Economics: The Science and Management of Sustainability*, Colombia University Press, New York, (1991).

⁶http://eruditio.worldacademy.org/issue-5/article/urgent-need-renewable-energy ⁷http://steadystate.org/category/herman-daly/

- 15. J. Darmstadter, A Global Energy Perspective, Sustainable Development Issue Backgrounder, Resources for the Future, (2002).
- D.C. Hall and J.V. Hall, Concepts and Measures of Natural Resource Scarcity, Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 11, 363-379, (1984).
- M.K. Hubbert, Energy Resources, in Resources and Man: A Study and Recommendations, Committee on Resources and Man, National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1969).
- 18. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*, IPCC, (2001).
- J.A. Krautkraemer, Nonrenewable Resource Scarcity, Journal of Economic Literature, 36, 2065-2107, (1998).
- 20. N. Stern et al., *The Stern Review*, www.sternreview.org.uk, (2006).
- T.M. Swanson, ed., The Economics and Ecology of Biodiversity Decline: The Forces Driving Global Change, Cambridge University Press, (1995).
- P.M. Vitousek, H.A. Mooney, J. Lubchenco and J.M. Melillo, Human Domination of Earth's Ecosystems, Science, 277, 494-499, (1997).
- 23. World Resources Institute, World Resources 200-2001: People and Ecosystems: The Fraying Web of Life, WRI, Washington D.C., (2000).
- 24. A. Sampson, The Seven Sisters: The Great Oil Companies of the World and How They Were Made, Hodder and Staughton, London, (1988).
- 25. D. Yergin, *The Prize*, Simon and Schuster, New York, (1991).
- M.B. Stoff, Oil, War and American Security: The Search for a National Policy on Oil, 1941-1947, Yale University Press, New Haven, (1980).
- 27. J. Stork, Middle East Oil and the Energy Crisis, Monthly Review, New York, (1976).
- 28. F. Benn, Oil Diplomacy in the Twentieth Century, St. Martin's Press, New York, (1986).
- 29. K. Roosevelt, *Countercoup: The Struggle for the Control of Iran*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1979).
- 30. E. Abrahamian, *Iran Between Two Revolutions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1982).
- 31. J.M. Blair, *The Control of Oil*, Random House, New York, (1976).
- 32. M.T. Klare, *Resource Wars: The New Landscape of Global Conflict*, Owl Books reprint edition, New York, (2002).
- 33. H. Mejcher, Imperial Quest for Oil: Iraq, 1910-1928, Ithaca Books, London, (1976).
- 34. P. Sluglett, Britain in Iraq, 1914-1932, Ithaca Press, London, (1976).
- D.E. Omissi, British Air Power and Colonial Control in Iraq, 1920-1925, Manchester University Press, Manchester, (1990).
- 36. V.G. Kiernan, Colonial Empires and Armies, 1815-1960, Sutton, Stroud, (1998).
- 37. R. Solh, Britain's 2 Wars With Iraq, Ithaca Press, Reading, (1996).
- 38. D. Morgan and D.B. Ottaway, In Iraqi War Scenario, Oil is Key Issue as U.S. Drillers Eye Huge petroleum Pool, Washington Post, September 15, (2002).

- C.J. Cleveland, Physical and Economic Aspects of Natural Resource Scarcity: The Cost of Oil Supply in the Lower 48 United States 1936-1987, Resources and Energy 13, 163-188, (1991).
- C.J. Cleveland, Yield Per Effort for Additions to Crude Oil Reserves in the Lower 48 States, 1946-1989, American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 76, 948-958, (1992).
- 41. M.K. Hubbert, *Technique of Prediction as Applied to the Production of Oil and Gas*, in *NBS Special Publication 631*, US Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, (1982).
- L.F. Ivanhoe, Oil Discovery Indices and Projected Discoveries, Oil and Gas Journal, 11, 19, (1984).
- 43. L.F. Ivanhoe, *Future Crude Oil Supplies and Prices*, Oil and Gas Journal, July 25, 111-112, (1988).
- 44. L.F. Ivanhoe, Updated Hubbert Curves Analyze World Oil Supply, World Oil, November, 91-94, (1996).
- 45. L.F. Ivanhoe, *Get Ready for Another Oil Shock!*, The Futurist, January-February, 20-23, (1997).
- 46. Energy Information Administration, *International Energy Outlook, 2001*, US Department of Energy, (2001).
- 47. Energy Information Administration, *Caspian Sea Region*, US Department of Energy, (2001).
- 48. National Energy Policy Development Group, *National Energy Policy*, The White House, (http://www.whitehouse.gov/energy/), (2004).
- 49. M. Klare, Bush-Cheney Energy Strategy: Procuring the Rest of the World's Oil, Foreign Policy in Focus, (Interhemispheric Resource Center/Institute for Policy Studies/SEEN), Washington DC and Silver City NM, January, (2004).
- 50. IEA, CO2 from Fuel Combustion Fact-Sheet, International Energy Agency, (2005).
- 51. H. Youguo, China's Coal Demand Outlook for 2020 and Analysis of Coal Supply Capacity, International Energy Agency, (2003).
- R.H. Williams, Advanced Energy Supply Technologies, in World Energy Assessment: Energy and the Challenge of Sustainability, UNDP, (2000).
- 53. H. Lehmann, *Energy Rich Japan*, Institute for Sustainable Solutions and Innovations, Achen, (2003).
- 54. D. King, Climate Change Science: Adapt, Mitigate or Ignore, Science, **303** (5655), pp. 176-177, (2004).
- 55. S. Connor, *Global Warming Past Point of No Return*, The Independent, (116 September, 2005).
- 56. D. Rind, Drying Out the Tropics, New Scientist (6 May, 1995).
- 57. J. Patz et al., Impact of Regional Climate Change on Human Health, Nature, (17 November, 2005).
- 58. M. McCarthy, *China Crisis: Threat to the Global Environment*, The Independent, (19 October, 2005).
- 59. L.R. Brown, The Twenty-Ninth Day, W.W. Norton, New York, (1978).

- 60. W.V. Chandler, *Materials Recycling: The Virtue of Necessity*, Worldwatch Paper 56, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C, (1983).
- 61. W.C. Clark and others, *Managing Planet Earth*, Special Issue, *Scientific American*, September, (1989).
- 62. B. Commoner, *The Closing Circle: Nature, Man and Technology*, Bantam Books, New York, (1972).
- 63. C. Flavin, *Slowing Global Warming: A Worldwide Strategy*, Worldwatch Paper 91, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1989).
- 64. J.R. Frisch, *Energy 2000-2020: World Prospects and Regional Stresses*, World Energy Conference, Graham and Trotman, (1983).
- 65. J. Gever, R. Kaufmann, D. Skole and C. Vorosmarty, *Beyond Oil: The Threat to Food and Fuel in the Coming Decades*, Ballinger, Cambridge MA, (1986).
- 66. J. Holdren and P. Herrera, *Energy*, Sierra Club Books, New York, (1971).
- 67. N. Myers, The Sinking Ark, Pergamon, New York, (1972).
- 68. National Academy of Sciences, *Energy and Climate*, NAS, Washington D.C., (1977).
- 69. W. Ophuls, *Ecology and the Politics of Scarcity*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1977).
- 70. A. Peccei, *The Human Quality*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1977).
- 71. A. Peccei, One Hundred Pages for the Future, Pergamon Press, New York, (1977).
- 72. E. Pestel, *Beyond the Limits to Growth*, Universe Books, New York, (1989).
- C. Pollock, Mining Urban Wastes: The Potential for Recycling, Worldwatch Paper 76, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1987).
- 74. S.H. Schneider, *The Genesis Strategy: Climate and Global Survival*, Plenum Press, (1976).
- 75. P.B. Smith, J.D. Schilling and A.P. Haines, Introduction and Summary, in Draft Report of the Pugwash Study Group: The World at the Crossroads, Berlin, (1992).
- 76. World Resources Institute, *World Resources*, Oxford University Press, New York, (published annually).
- 77. J.E. Young, John E., *Mining the Earth*, Worldwatch Paper 109, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1992).
- 78. J.R. Craig, D.J. Vaughan and B.J. Skinner, *Resources of the Earth: Origin, Use and Environmental Impact, Third Edition*, Prentice Hall, (2001).
- 79. W. Youngquist, Geodestinies: The Inevitable Control of Earth Resources Over Nations and Individuals, National Book Company, Portland Oregon, (1997).
- 80. M. Tanzer, *The Race for Resources. Continuing Struggles Over Minerals and Fuels*, Monthly Review Press, New York, (1980).
- C.B. Reed, Fuels, Minerals and Human Survival, Ann Arbor Science Publishers Inc., Ann Arbor Michigan, (1975).
- A.A. Bartlett, Forgotten Fundamentals of the Energy Crisis, American Journal of Physics, 46, 876-888, (1978).
- 83. N. Gall, We are Living Off Our Capital, Forbes, September, (1986).
- 84. M. Anklin et al., Climate instability during the last interglacial period recorded in the GRIP ice core. Nature **364**, 15 July: 203-207, (1993).

- 85. O. J. Blanchard and S. Fischer, *Lectures on Macroeconomics*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press. (1989).
- 86. Abarbanel A, McClusky T (1950) *Is the world getting warmer?* Saturday Evening Post, 1 Jul, p22
- 87. Bagdikian BH (2004) The New Media Monopoly. Boston, MA, USA: Beacon
- 88. Bennett WL (2002) News: The Politics of Illusion, 5th edition. New York, NY, USA: Longman
- Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM (2004) Balance as bias: global warming and the US prestige press. Glob Environ Change 14: 125-136
- 90. Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM (2007) Climate change and journalistic norms: A case study of U.S. mass-media coverage. Geoforum (in press)
- Carey JW (1989) Communication as Culture: Essays on Media and Society. Boston, MA, USA: Unwin Hyman
- 92. Carvalho A (2005) Representing the politics of the greenhouse effect: Discursive strategies in the British media. Critical Discourse Studies 2: 1-29
- 93. CEI (2006) We Call it Life. Washington, DC, USA: Competitive Enterprise Institute
- 94. Cowen RC (1957) Are men changing the earth's weather? Christian Science Monitor, 4 Dec, p13
- 95. Cushman JH (1998) Industrial group plans to battle climate treaty. New York Times, 26 Apr, p1
- 96. Doyle G (2002) Media Ownership: The Economics and Politics of Convergence and Concentration in the UK and European Media. London, UK: Sage Publications
- 97. Dunwoody S, Peters HP (1992) Mass media coverage of technological and environmental risks: A survey of research in the United States and Germany. Public Underst Sci 1: 199-230
- 98. Entman RM (1993) Framing: toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. J Commun 43: 51-58
- 99. Fleming JR (1998) *Historical Perspectives on Climate Change*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press
- 100. Gelbspan R (1998) The Heat Is On. Cambridge, MA, USA: Perseus Books
- 101. Grove RH (2003) Green Imperialism. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- 102. Leggett J (2001) The Carbon War. New York, NY, USA: Routledge
- 103. McChesney RW (1999) Rich Media, Poor Democracy: Communication Politics in Dubious Times. Urbana, IL, USA: University of Illinois Press
- 104. McComas K, Shanahan J (1999) Telling stories about global climate change: Measuring the impact of narratives on issue cycles. Communic Res 26: 30-57
- 105. McCright AM (2007) Dealing with climate change contrarians. In Moser SC, Dilling L (eds) Creating a Climate for Change: Communicating Climate Change and Facilitating Social Change, pp 200-212. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- 106. McCright AM, Dunlap RE (2000) Challenging global warming as a social problem: An analysis of the conservative movement's counter-claims. Soc Probl 47: 499-522
- 107. McCright AM, Dunlap RE (2003) Defeating Kyoto: The conservative movement's impact on U.S. climate change policy. Soc Probl **50**: 348-373

- 108. Mooney C (2004) Blinded by science. Columbia Journalism Review 6(Nov/Dec), www.cjr.org
- 109. NSF (2004) Science and Engineering Indicators 2004. Washington, DC, USA: National Science Foundation Project for Excellence in Journalism (2006) The State of the News Media 2006. Washington, DC, USA:
- 110. Project for Excellence in Journalism. www.stateofthenewsmedia.org Rajan SR (2006) Modernizing Nature. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press
- 111. Sandell C, Blakemore B (2006) ABC News reporting cited as evidence in congressional hearing on global warming. ABC News, 27 Jul, http://abcnews.go.com
- 112. Shabecoff P (1988) Global warming has begun, expert tells senate. New York Times, 24 Jun, pA1
- 113. Shrader-Frechette KS (1993) *Burying Uncertainty*. Berkeley, CA, USA: University of California Press
- 114. Starr P (2004) The Creation of the Media: Political Origins of Modern Communications. New York, NY, USA: Basic Books
- 115. Ungar S (1992) The rise and (relative) decline of global warming as a social problem. Sociol Q 33: 483-501
- 116. Weart SR (2003) The Discovery of Global Warming. Cambridge, MA, USA: Harvard University Press
- 117. Weingart P, Engels A, Pansegrau P (2000) Risks of communication: Discourses on climate change in science, politics, and the mass media. Public Underst Sci 9: 261-283
- 118. Wilkins L (1993) Between the facts and values: Print media coverage of the greenhouse effect, 1987-1990. Public Underst Sci 2: 71-84
- Wilson KM (1995) Mass media as sources of global warming knowledge. Mass Communication Review 22: 75-89
- 120. Wilson KM (2000) Communicating climate change through the media: Predictions, politics, and perceptions of risks. In Allan S, Adam B, Carter C (eds) Environmental Risks and the Media, pp 201-217. New York, NY, USA: Routledge
- 121. Zehr SC (2000) Public representations of scientific uncertainty about global climate change. Public Underst Sci 9: 85-103
- 122. O.N. Larsen, ed., Violence and the Mass Media, Harper and Row, (1968).
- 123. R.M.. Liebert et al., *The Early Window: The Effects of Television on Children and Youth*, Pergamon, Elmsford, NY, (1982).
- 124. G. Noble, *Children in Front of the Small Screen*, Constable, London, (1975).
- 125. H.J. Schneider, Das Geschäft mit dem Verbrechen. Massenmedien und Kriminalität, Kinddler, Munich, (1980).
- 126. W. Schramm, ed., Grundfragen der Kommunikationsforschung, Mu- nich, (1973).
- 127. J.L. Singer and D.G. Singer, *Television, Imagination and Aggression: A Study of Preschoolers*, Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NY, (1981).
- 128. O.N. Larsen, ed., Violence and the Mass Media, Harper and Row, (1968).
- 129. H.J. Skornia, *Television and Society*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1965).

- D.L. Bridgeman, ed., The Nature of Prosocial Behavior, New York, Academic Press, (1983).
- 131. N. Esenberg, ed., *The Development of Prosocial Behavior*, New York, Academic Press, (1982).
- 132. W.H. Goodenough, Cooperation and Change: An Anthropological Approach to Community Development, New York, Russell Sage Founda- tion, (1963).
- 133. J.R. Macauley and L. Berkowitz, Altruism and Helping Behavior, Aca- demic Press, New York, (1970).
- 134. P. Mussen and N. Eislen-Berg, *Roots of Caring, Sharing and Helping*, Freeman, San Francisco, (1977).
- 135. J.P. Rushdon and R.M. Sorentino, eds., *Altruism and Helping Behavior*, Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, (1981).
- 136. L. Wispé, ed, Altruism, Sympathy and Helping, Academic Press, New York, (1978).
- 137. J.-C. Guedon, La Planéte Cyber, Internet et Cyberspace, Gallimard, (1996).
- 138. J. Segal, Théorie de l'information: sciences, techniques et société, de la seconde guerre mondaile ' l'aube du XXI siécle, Thése de Doctorat, Université Lumi're Lyon II, (1998), (http://www.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/staff/segal/thesis/)
- 139. H. von Foerster, editor, Cybernetics circular, causal and feed-back mechanisms in biological and social systems. Transactions of sixth- tenth conferences, Josiah J. Macy Jr. Foundation, New York, (1950-1954).
- 140. G. Bateson, Communication, the Social Matrix of Psychiatry, Norton, (1951).
- 141. G. Bateson, Steps to an Ecology of Mind, Chandler, San Francisco, (1972).
- 142. G. Bateson, Communication et Societé, Seuil, Paris, (1988).
- 143. R.M.. Liebert et al., *The Early Window: The Effects of Television on Children and Youth*, Pergamon, Elmsford, NY, (1982).
- 144. G. Noble, Children in Front of the Small Screen, Constable, London, (1975).
- 145. J.L. Singer and D.G. Singer, *Television, Imagination and Aggression: A Study of Preschoolers*, Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NY, (1981).

Chapter 4 GRETA THUNBERG

4.1 Greta Thunberg's TED talk

Greta Thunberg was born in Sweden in 2003. Her father, Svante Thunberg, is related to Svante Arrhenius, one of the important pioneers of climate science, and is named after him. Greta's mother was a successful opera singer. Greta Thunberg's strong belief in the urgency of action to prevent catastrophic climate change converted her parents, so that they made changes in their lives. For example, Greta's mother gave up her career as an opera singer because it involved air travel.

In November, 2018, Greta Thunberg gave an impressively clear TEDx talk in Stockholm, the video of which was recently released.¹. Here is a transcript of the talk.

When I was about 8 years old, I first heard about something called 'climate change' or 'global warming'. Apparently, that was something humans had created by our way of living. I was told to turn off the lights to save energy and to recycle paper to save resources. I remember thinking that it was very strange that humans, who are an animal species among others, could be capable of changing the Earth's climate. Because, if we were, and if it was really happening, we wouldn't be talking about anything else. As soon as you turn on the TV, everything would be about that. Headlines, radio, newspapers: You would never read or hear about anything else. As if there was a world war going on, but no one ever talked about it. If burning fossil fuels was so bad that it threatened our very existence, how could we just continue like before? Why were there no restrictions? Why wasn't it made illegal?

To me, that did not add up. It was too unreal.

So, when I was 11, I became ill, I fell into depression, I stopped talking, and I stopped eating. In two months, I lost about 10 kilos of weight. Later on, I was diagnosed with Asperger's syndrome, OCD and selective mutism. This

¹https://www.dailykos.com/stories/2018/12/16/1819508/-A-Call-to-Action-on-Climate-Change-by-15-year-Old-Greta-Thunberg

basically means, I only speak, when I think it is necessary.

Now is one of those moments.

For those of us, who are on the spectrum, almost everything is black or white. We aren't very good at lying and we usually don't enjoy participating in the social games that the rest of you seem so fond of. I think, in many ways, that we autistic are the normal ones and the rest of the people are pretty strange. Especially when it comes to the sustainability crisis: Where everyone keeps saying that climate change is an existential threat and the most important issue of all. And yet, they just carry on like before.

I don't understand that. Because if the emissions have to stop, then we must stop the emissions. To me, that is black or white. There are no gray areas when it comes to survival. Either we go on as a civilization or we don't.

We have to change.

Rich countries like Sweden need to start reducing emissions by at least 15% every year. And that is so that we can stay below a 2 degrees warming target. Yet, as the IPCC has recently demonstrated, aiming instead for 1.5 degrees Celsius would significantly reduce the climate impacts. But we can only imagine what that means for reducing emissions.

You would think the media and every one of our leaders would be talking about nothing else. But they never even mention it.

Nor does anyone ever mentioned the greenhouse gases already locked in the system. Nor that air pollution is hiding some warming; so that, when we stop burning fossil fuels, we already have an extra level of warming - perhaps as high as 0.5 to 1.1 degrees Celsius.

Furthermore, does hardly anyone speak about the fact that we are in the midst of the sixth mass extinction: With up to 200 species going extinct every single day. That the extinction rate is today between 1000 and 10,000 times higher than what is seen as normal.

Nor does hardly anyone ever speak about the aspect of equity or climate justice, clearly stated everywhere in the Paris agreement, which is absolutely necessary to make it work on a global scale. That means that rich countries need to get down to zero emissions within 6 to 12 years with today's emission speed. And that is so that people in poorer countries can have a chance to heighten their standard of living by building some of the infrastructures that we have already built, such as roads, schools, hospitals, clean drinking water, electricity, and so on. Because, how can we expect countries like India or Nigeria to care about the climate crisis if we, who already have everything, don't care even a second about it or our actual commitments to the Paris agreement?

So why are we not reducing our emissions? Why are they in fact still increasing? Are we knowingly causing a mass extinction? Are we evil?

No, of course, not. People keep doing what they do because the vast majority doesn't have a clue about the actual consequences for their everyday life. And they don't know that rapid change is required.

We all think we know and we all think everybody knows. But we don't.

Because, how could we? If there really was a crisis, and if this crisis was caused by our emissions, you would at least see some signs. Not just flooded cities. Tens of thousands of dead people and whole nations leveled to piles of torn down buildings. You would see some restrictions.

But no. And no one talks about it. There are no emergency meetings, no headlines, no breaking news. No one is acting as if we were in a crisis.

Even most climate scientists or green politicians keep on flying around the world, eating meat and dairy.

If I live to be 100, I will be alive in the year 2103. When you think about the future today, you don't think beyond the year 2050. By then I will, in the best case, not even have lived half of my life. What happens next? In the year 2078, I will celebrate my 75th birthday. If I have children or grandchildren, maybe they will spend that day with me. Maybe they will ask me about you, the people who were around back in 2018. Maybe they will ask why you didn't do anything while there still was time to act. What we do or don't do right now, will affect my entire life and the lives of my children and grandchildren. What we do or don't do right now, me and my generation can't undo in the future.

So, when school started in August of this year, I decided that this was enough. I set myself down on the ground outside the Swedish parliament. I school-striked for the climate.

Some people say that I should be in school instead. Some people say that I should study, to become a climate scientist so that I can solve the climate crisis.

But the climate crisis has already been solved. We already have all the facts and solutions. All we have to do is to wake up and change.

And why should I be studying for a future that soon will be no more, when no one is doing anything whatsoever to save that future? And what is the point of learning facts in the school system, when the most important facts given by the finest science of that same school system clearly means nothing to our politicians and our society?

Some people say that Sweden is just a small country and that it doesn't matter what we do. But I think that if a few children can get headlines all over the world just by not coming to school for a few weeks, imagine what we could all do together if we wanted to?

Now we're almost at the end of my talk and this is where people usually people usually start talking about hope. Solar panels, wind power, circular economy, and so on. But I'm not going to do that. We've had 30 years of pep talking and selling positive ideas. And I'm sorry but it doesn't work because if it would have, the emissions would have gone down by now. They haven't.

And yes, we do need hope. Of course, we do. But the one thing we need

more than hope is action. Once we start to act, hope is everywhere. So instead of looking for hope, look for action. Then and only then, hope will come today.

Today we use 100 million barrels of oil every single day. There are no politics to change that. There are no rules to keep that oil in the ground. So, we can't save the world by playing by the rules, because the rules have to be changed.

Everything needs to change and it has to start today.

Thank you.

4.2 Only immediate climate action can save the future

Immediate action to halt the extraction of fossil fuels and greatly reduce the emission of CO_2 and other greenhouse gasses is needed to save the long-term future of human civilization and the biosphere.

At the opening ceremony of United Nations-sponsored climate talks in Katowice, Poland, Sir David Attenborough said "Right now, we are facing a man-made disaster of global scale. Our greatest threat in thousands of years. Climate change. If we don't take action, the collapse of our civilizations and the extinction of much of the natural world is on the horizon. The world's people have spoken. Their message is clear. Time is running out. They want you, the decision-makers, to act now."

Antonio Guterres, UN Secretary-General, said climate change was already "a matter of life and death" for many countries. He added that the world is "nowhere near where it needs to be" on the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Swedish student Greta Thunberg, is a 16-year-old who has launched a climate protest movement in her country. She said, in a short but very clear speech after that of UN leader Antonio Guterres: "Some people say that I should be in school instead. Some people say that I should study to become a climate scientist so that I can 'solve the climate crisis'. But the climate crisis has already been solved. We already have all the facts and solutions."

She added: "Why should I be studying for a future that soon may be no more, when no one is doing anything to save that future? And what is the point of learning facts when the most important facts clearly mean nothing to our society?"

Thunberg continued: "Today we use 100 million barrels of oil every single day. There are no politics to change that. There are no rules to keep that oil in the ground. So we can't save the world by playing by the rules. Because the rules have to be changed."

She concluded by saying that "since our leaders are behaving like children, we will have to take the responsibility they should have taken long ago."

Appearing among billionaires, corporate CEO's and heads of state at the Davos Economic Forum in Switzerland, like a new Joan of Arc, 16-year-old Swedish climate activist Greta Thunberg called on decision-makers to fulfil their responsibilities towards future generations. Here are some excerpts from her speech:



Greta's speech at Davos

Our house is on fire. I am here to say, our house is on fire. According to the IPCC, we are less than 12 years away from not being able to undo our mistakes. In that time, unprecedented changes in all aspects of society need to have taken place, including a reduction of our CO_2 emissions by at least 50%...

Here in Davos - just like everywhere else - everyone is talking about money. It seems money and growth are our only main concerns.

And since the climate crisis has never once been treated as a crisis, people are simply not aware of the full consequences on our everyday life. People are not aware that there is such a thing as a carbon budget, and just how incredibly small that remaining carbon budget is. That needs to change today.

No other current challenge can match the importance of establishing a wide, public awareness and understanding of our rapidly disappearing carbon budget, that should and must become our new global currency and the very heart of our future and present economics.

We are at a time in history where everyone with any insight of the climate crisis that threatens our civilization - and the entire biosphere - must speak out in clear language, no matter how uncomfortable and unprofitable that may be.

We must change almost everything in our current societies. The bigger your carbon footprint, the bigger your moral duty. The bigger your platform, the bigger your responsibility.



Figure 4.1: Greta Thunberg on the cover of Time Magazine, The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, in their October 2018 report, used strong enough language to wake up at least part of the public: the children whose future is at stake. Here is an excerpt from a speech which 16-year-old Swedish climate activist Greta Thunberg made at the Davos Economic Forum in January, 2019: "Our house is on fire. I am here to say, our house is on fire. According to the IPCC, we are less than 12 years away from not being able to undo our mistakes. In that time, unprecedented changes in all aspects of society need to have taken place, including a reduction of our CO2 emissions by at least 50%..."

4.3 Worldwide school strike, 15 March, 2019

Over 1.4 million young students across all continents took to the streets on Friday March 15th for the first ever global climate strike. Messages in more than 40 languages were loud and clear: world leaders must act now to address the climate crisis and save our future. The school strike was the largest climate action in history. Nevertheless it went almost unmentioned in the media,

Here are some of the statements by the students explaining why they took part in the strikes:

In India, no one talks about climate change. You don't see it on the news or in the papers or hear about it from government. We want global leaders to declare a climate emergency. If we don't act today, then we will have no tomorrow. - Vidit Baya, 17, Udaipur, India.

We face heartbreaking loss due to increasingly extreme weather events. We urge the Taiwanese government to implement mitigation measures and face up to the vulnerability of indigenous people, halt construction projects in the indigenous traditional realm, and recognize the legal status of Plains Indigenous People, in order to implement environmental protection as a bottom-up approach - Kaisanan Ahuan, Puli City, Taiwan.

We have reached a point in history when we have the technical capacities to solve poverty, malnutrition, inequality and of course global warming. The deciding factors for whether we take advantage of our potential will be our activism, our international unity and our ability to develop the art of making the impossible possible. Whether we succeed or not depends on our political will - Eyal Weintraub, 18, and Bruno Rodriguez, 18, Argentina.

The damage done by multinationals is enormous: the lack of transparency, dubious contracts, the weakening of the soil, the destruction of flora and fauna, the lack of respect for mining codes, the contamination of groundwater. In Mali, the state exercises insufficient control over the practices of the multinationals, and it is us, the citizens, who suffer the consequences. The climate alarm has sounded, and the time has come for us all to realize that there is still time to act locally, in our homes, our villages, our cities - Mone Fousseny, 22, Mali.

2

 $^{^{2}} https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/apr/03/parents-around-the-world-mobilise-behind-youth-climate-strikes$









Figure 4.2: Eve White and her children join climate protesters in Tasmania. According to an article in The Guardian, parents and grandparents around the world are mobilizing in support of the youth climate movement that has swept the globe.

Concerns of young protesters are justified

In an article in the journal *Science* dated 12 April, 2019, ³ 20 prominent climate scientists stated that the concerns of student protesters around the world are fully justified. Here are some quotations from the article:

The world's youth have begun to persistently demonstrate for the protection of the climate and other foundations of human well-being. As scientists and scholars who have recently initiated similar letters of support in our countries, we call for our colleagues across all disciplines and from the entire world to support these young climate protesters. We declare: Their concerns are justified and supported by the best available science. The current measures for protecting the climate and biosphere are deeply inadequate.

Nearly every country has signed and ratified the Paris Agreement of 2015, committing under international law to hold global warming well below 2° C above preindustrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5° C. The scientific community has clearly concluded that a global warming of 2° C instead of 1.5° C would substantially increase climate-related impacts and the risk of some becoming irreversible. Moreover, given the uneven distribution of most impacts, 2° C of warming would further exacerbate existing global inequalities.

It is critical to immediately begin a rapid reduction in CO_2 and other greenhouse gas emissions. The degree of climate crisis that humanity will experience in the future will be determined by our cumulative emissions; rapid reduction now will limit the damage. For example, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has recently assessed that halving CO_2 emissions by 2030 (relative to 2010 levels) and globally achieving net-zero CO_22 emissions by 2050 (as well as strong reductions in other greenhouse gases) would allow a 50% chance of staying below 1.5°C of warming. Considering that industrialized countries produced more of and benefited more from previous emissions, they have an ethical responsibility to achieve this transition more quickly than the world as a whole.

Many social, technological, and nature-based solutions already exist. The young protesters rightfully demand that these solutions be used to achieve a sustainable society. Without bold and focused action, their future is in critical danger. There is no time to wait until they are in power...

The enormous grassroots mobilization of the youth climate movement including Fridays for Future, School (or Youth) Strike 4 Climate, Youth for (or 4) Climate, and Youth Climate Strike - shows that young people understand the situation. We approve and support their demand for rapid and forceful action. We see it as our social, ethical, and scholarly responsibility to state in no uncertain terms: Only if humanity acts quickly and resolutely can we limit

³https://science.sciencemag.org/content/364/6436/139.2



global warming, halt the ongoing mass extinction of animal and plant species, and preserve the natural basis for the food supply and well-being of present and future generations. This is what the young people want to achieve. They deserve our respect and full support.



Figure 4.3: Greta Thunberg addressing a meeting of the European Parliament in April, 2019. She complained that Brexit was treated as an emergency by the European Union, but climate change, which is a far greater emergency has been almost neglected. The 16-year-old, who is due to meet the Pope on Wednesday, said, "We face an end to civilization as we know it unless permanent changes take place in our society...European elections are coming soon and many like me who are affected most by this crisis, are not allowed to vote. That is why millions of children are taking to the street to draw attention to the climate crisis... It is not too late to act but it will take far-reaching vision and fierce determination... My plea is: Please wake up and do the seemingly impossible."

4.4 The World Meteorological Organization's report

According to a recent United Nations report, extreme weather events displaced 2 million people during 2018. While no single event can be unambiguously attributed to anthropogenic climate change, scientists believe the the increasing frequency of extreme weather events is definitely linked to global warming. The same is true of their increasing severity.

The report states that during 2018, extreme weather events impacted roughly 62 million people, of whom 2 million were displaced from their homes. In the words of the WMO report, "The physical signs and socio-economic impacts of climate change are accelerating, as record greenhouse gas concentrations drive global temperatures towards increasingly dangerous levels."

UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres, speaking at the launching of the WMO report, used the occasion to remind global leaders of the urgency of the climate emergency. Guterres has convened a climate summit meeting scheduled for September 23, 2019, and referring to the meeting, he said: "Don't come with a speech, come with a plan. This is what science says is needed. It is what young people around the globe are rightfully demanding." Two weeks previously, on March 15, one and a half million students from more that 130 countries had skipped school to participate in the largest climate demonstration in history, demanding action to save the future from the threat of catastrophic climate change.

4.5 Only 12 years left to limit climate change catastrophe

The world's leading scientists met at the Forty-Eighth Session of the IPCC and First Joint Session of Working Groups I, II, and III, 1-5 October 2018 in Inchon, Republic of Korea and openly declared that civilization is on track for collapse because of reckless use of fossil fuels, unless immediate action is taken to drastically cut the extraction and use of fossil fuels.

The report finds that limiting global warming to 1.5°C would require "rapid and farreaching" transitions in land, energy, industry, buildings, transport, and cities. Global net human-caused emissions of carbon dioxide would need to fall by about 45 percent from 2010 levels by 2030, reaching 'net zero' around 2050.

"It's a line in the sand and what it says to our species is that this is the moment and we must act now," said Debra Roberts, a co-chair of the working group on impacts. "This is the largest clarion bell from the science community and I hope it mobilizes people and dents the mood of complacency."

"We have presented governments with pretty hard choices. We have pointed out the enormous benefits of keeping to 1.5C, and also the unprecedented shift in energy systems and transport that would be needed to achieve that," said Jim Skea, a co-chair of the working group on mitigation. "We show it can be done within laws of physics and chemistry.

OUR ATTACK ON NATURE



Figure 4.4: A firefighter battles fire in California. The world is currently 1 degree Centigrade warmer than preindustrial levels.

Then the final tick box is political will. We cannot answer that. Only our audience can and that is the governments that receive it."

Bob Ward, of the Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change, said the final document was "incredibly conservative" because it did not mention the likely rise in climatedriven refugees or the danger of tipping points that could push the world on to an irreversible path of extreme warming.

Policymakers commissioned the report at the Paris climate talks in 2016, but since then the gap between science and politics has widened. Donald Trump has promised to withdraw the US - the world's biggest source of historical emissions - from the accord. Brazil's president. Jair Bolsonaro, threatens to do the same and also open the Amazon rainforest to agribusiness.

4.6 COP24, the climate summit in Poland

The UN Secretary General's address to the opening session

Welcome to COP 24.

I thank President Duda, Minister Kowalczyk and COP President Designate Mijal Kurtyka for their warm welcome.

We are in trouble. We are in deep trouble with climate change.

Climate change is running faster than we are and we must catch up sooner rather than later before it is too late.

For many, people, regions even countries this is already a matter of life and death.

This meeting is the most important gathering on climate change since the Paris Agreement was signed.



Figure 4.5: UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres: "It is hard to overstate the urgency of our situation. Even as we witness devastating climate impacts causing havoc across the world, we are still not doing enough, nor moving fast enough, to prevent irreversible and catastrophic climate disruption. Nor are we doing enough to capitalize on the enormous social, economic and environmental opportunities of climate action."

It is hard to overstate the urgency of our situation.

Even as we witness devastating climate impacts causing havoc across the world, we are still not doing enough, nor moving fast enough, to prevent irreversible and catastrophic climate disruption.

Nor are we doing enough to capitalize on the enormous social, economic and environmental opportunities of climate action.

And so, I want to deliver four simple messages.

First: science demands a significantly more ambitious response.

Second: the Paris Agreement provides the framework for action, so we must operationalize it.

Third: we have a collective responsibility to invest in averting global climate chaos, to consolidate the financial commitments made in Paris and to assist the most vulnerable communities and nations.

Fourth: climate action offers a compelling path to transform our world for the better.

Let me turn first to science.

According to the World Meteorological Organization, the 20 warmest years on record have been in the past 22 years, with the top four in the past four years.

The concentration of carbon dioxide is the highest it has been in 3 million years.

Emissions are now growing again.

The recent special report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change finds that warming could reach 1.5 degrees as soon as 2030, with devastating impacts.

The latest UN Environment Programme Emissions Gap Report tells us that the current Nationally Determined Contributions under the Paris Agreement will lead to global warming of about 3 degrees by the end of the century.

Furthermore, the majority of countries most responsible for greenhouse gas emissions are behind in their efforts to meet their Paris pledges.

So, it is plain we are way off course.

We need more action and more ambition.

We absolutely have to close this emissions gap.

If we fail, the Arctic and Antarctic will continue to melt, corals will bleach and then die, the oceans will rise, more people will die from air pollution, water scarcity will plague a significant proportion of humanity, and the cost of disasters will skyrocket.

Last year I visited Barbuda and Dominica, which were devastated by hurricanes. The destruction and suffering I saw was heart-breaking. That story is repeated almost daily somewhere in the world.

These emergencies are preventable.

Emissions must decline by 45 per cent from 2010 levels by 2030 and be net zero by 2050.

Renewable energy will need to supply half to two-thirds of the world's primary energy by 2050 with a corresponding reduction in fossil fuels.

In short, we need a complete transformation of our global energy economy, as well as how we manage land and forest resources.

We need to embrace low-carbon, climate-resilient sustainable development.

I am hopeful that the Talanoa Dialogue will provide a very strong impulse for increased ambition in the commitments for climate action.

Excellencies,

This brings me to my second point.

The Paris Agreement provides a framework for the transformation we need. It is our job here in Katowice is to finalize the Paris Agreement Work Programme – the rule book for implementation.

I remind all Parties that this is a deadline you set for yourselves and it is vital you meet it.

We need a unifying implementation vision that sets out clear rules, inspires action and promotes raised ambition, based on the principle of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities, in light of different national circumstances.

We have no time for limitless negotiations.

A completed Work Programme will unleash the potential of the Paris Agreement. It will build trust and make clear that countries are serious about addressing climate change.

Dear Friends,

This brings me to my third point: the central importance of finance.

We need concerted resource mobilization and investment to successfully combat climate change.

We need transformative climate action in five key economic areas - energy, cities, land use, water and industry.

Some 75 per cent of the infrastructure needed by 2050 still remains to be built.

How this is done will either lock us in to a high-emissions future or steer us towards truly sustainable low-emissions development.

Governments and investors need to bet on the green economy, not the grey.

That means embracing carbon pricing, eliminating harmful fossil fuel subsidies and investing in clean technologies.

It also means providing a fair transition for those workers in traditional sectors that face disruption, including through retraining and social safety nets.

We also have a collective responsibility to assist the most vulnerable communities and countries - such as small island nations and the least developed countries - by supporting adaptation and resilience.

Making clear progress to mobilize the pledged \$100 billion dollars a year will provide a much-needed positive political signal.

I have appointed the President of France and Prime Minister of Jamaica to lead the mobilization of the international community, both public and private, to reach that target in the context of preparation of the Climate Summit I have convened in September of next year.

I also urge Member States to swiftly implement the replenishment of the Green Climate Fund.

It is an investment in a safer, less costly future.

Dear Friends,

All too often, climate action is seen as a burden. My fourth point is this: decisive climate action today is our chance to right our ship and set a course for a better future for all.

We have the knowledge.

Many technological solutions are already viable and affordable.

Cities, regions, civil society and the business community around the world are moving ahead.

What we need is political more will and more far-sighted leadership.

This is the challenge on which this generation's leaders will be judged.

Climate action is not just the right thing to do - it makes social and economic sense.

Meeting the goals of the Paris Agreement would reduce air pollution - saving more than a million lives each year by 2030, according to the World Health Organization.

According to the recent New Climate Economy report, ambitious climate action could yield 65 million jobs and a direct economic gain of \$26 trillion US dollars compared to business as usual over the next 12 years.

We are seeing early signs of this economic transformation, but we are nowhere near where we need to be.

The transition to a low-carbon economy needs political impetus from the highest levels.

And it requires inclusivity, because everyone is affected by climate change. That is the message of the Talanoa Dialogue.

We need a full-scale mobilization of young people.

And we need a global commitment to gender equality, because women's leadership is central to durable climate solutions.

A successful conference here in Katowice can provide the catalyst.

There is now significant global momentum for climate action.

It has galvanized private business and investors around the world, while cities and regional governments are also showing that ambitious climate action is possible and desirable.

Let us build on this momentum.

I am convening a Climate Summit in September next year to raise ambition and mobilize the necessary resources.

But that ambition needs to begin here, right now, in Katowice, driven by governments and leaders who understand that their legacies and the well-being of future generations are at stake.

We cannot afford to fail in Katowice.

Some might say that it will be a difficult negotiation. I know it is not easy. It requires a firm political will for compromise. But, for me, what is really difficult is to be a fisherman in Kiribati seeing his country in risk of disappearing or a farmer or herder in the Sahel losing livelihoods and losing peace. Or being a woman in Dominica or any other Caribbean nation enduring hurricane after hurricane destroying everything in its path.

Ladies and gentlemen,

Climate change is the single most important issue we face.

It affects all our plans for sustainable development and a safe, secure and prosperous world.

So, it is hard to comprehend why we are collectively still moving too slowly - and even in the wrong direction.

The IPCC's Special Report tells us that we still have time to limit temperature rise.

But that time is running out.

We achieved success in Paris because negotiators were working towards a common goal.



Figure 4.6: Greta: "Many people say that Sweden is just a small country, and it doesn't matter what we do. But I've learned that you are never too small to make a difference. And if a few children can get headlines all over the world just by not going to school, then imagine what we could all do together if we really wanted to."

I implore you to maintain the same spirit of urgent collaboration here in Katowice with a dynamic Polish leadership in the negotiations.

Katowice must ensure that the bonds of trust established in Paris will endure.

Incredible opportunity exists if we embrace a low-carbon future and unleash the power of the Paris Agreement.

But we must start today building the tomorrow we want.

Let us rise to the challenge and finish the work the world demands of us. Thank you.

Greta Thunberg's address to the opening session

Greta Thunberg (born 3 January 2003) is a Swedish climate activist. She is known for protesting outside the Swedish parliament building to raise climate change activism.

On 20 August 2018, Thunberg, then in 9th grade, decided to not attend school until the 2018 Sweden general election on 9 September after heat waves and wildfires in Sweden. Her demands were that the Sweden government reduce carbon emissions as per the Paris Agreement, and she protested via sitting outside the Riksdag every day during school hours with the sign "Skolstrejk för klimatet" (school strike for the climate). After the general elections, she continued to strike only on Fridays. The strike is now in its 17th week. The



Figure 4.7: Greta: "You only talk about moving forward with the same bad ideas that got us into this mess, even when the only sensible thing to do is pull the emergency brake. You are not mature enough to tell it like it is. Even that burden you leave to us children."



Figure 4.8: Greta: "Until you start focusing on what needs to be done, rather than what is politically possible, there is no hope. We cannot solve a crisis without treating it as a crisis. We need to keep the fossil fuels in the ground, and we need to focus on equity. And if solutions within the system are so impossible to find, then maybe we should change the system itself."
transcript of her address to the opening session of $COP24^{45}$ 6 7 is given below,

My name is Greta Thunberg. I am 15 years old, and I'm from Sweden. I speak on behalf of Climate Justice Now!

Many people say that Sweden is just a small country, and it doesn't matter what we do. But I've learned that you are never too small to make a difference. And if a few children can get headlines all over the world just by not going to school, then imagine what we could all do together if we really wanted to.

But to do that, we have to speak clearly, no matter how uncomfortable that may be. You only speak of green eternal economic growth because you are too scared of being unpopular. You only talk about moving forward with the same bad ideas that got us into this mess, even when the only sensible thing to do is pull the emergency brake. You are not mature enough to tell it like it is. Even that burden you leave to us children.

But I don't care about being popular. I care about climate justice and the living planet. Our civilization is being sacrificed for the opportunity of a very small number of people to continue making enormous amounts of money. Our biosphere is being sacrificed so that rich people in countries like mine can live in luxury. It is the sufferings of the many which pay for the luxuries of the few.

The year 2078, I will celebrate my 75th birthday. If I have children, maybe they will spend that day with me. Maybe they will ask me about you. Maybe they will ask why you didn't do anything while there still was time to act. You say you love your children above all else, and yet you are stealing their future in front of their very eyes.

Until you start focusing on what needs to be done, rather than what is politically possible, there is no hope. We cannot solve a crisis without treating it as a crisis. We need to keep the fossil fuels in the ground, and we need to focus on equity. And if solutions within the system are so impossible to find, then maybe we should change the system itself.

We have not come here to beg world leaders to care. You have ignored us in the past, and you will ignore us again. We have run out of excuses, and we are running out of time. We have come here to let you know that change is coming, whether you like it or not. The real power belongs to the people. Thank you.

⁴https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VFkQSGyeCWg

⁵https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0TYyBtb1PH4

⁶https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DdAOgNTxxt0

⁷https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pJ1HRGA8g10



Figure 4.9: Greta Thunberg addresses the National Assembly In Paris on July 23, 2019 in Paris, France.



Figure 4.10: Greta Thunberg crossing the Atlantic on a small emission-free boat.

4.7 The UK declares a climate emergency

Introducing the motion in the House of Commons, Labour leader Jeremy Corbyn said: "We have no time to waste. We are living in a climate crisis that will spiral dangerously out of control unless we take rapid and dramatic action now. This is no longer about a distant future. We're talking about nothing less than the irreversible destruction of the environment within our lifetimes of members of this house."

Here are some excerpts from an article by Amy Goodman and Nermeen Shaikh of Democracy now published in Truthout on May 2, 2019.⁸:

On Wednesday, the House of Commons became the first parliament in the world to declare a climate emergency. The resolution came on the heels of the recent Extinction Rebellion mass uprising that shut down Central London last month in a series of direct actions. Activists closed bridges, occupied public landmarks and even superglued themselves to buildings, sidewalks and trains to demand urgent action to combat climate change. Police arrested more than 1,000 protesters. Labour Party Leader Jeremy Corbyn told Parliament, "We are witnessing an unprecedented upsurge of climate activism, with groups like Extinction Rebellion forcing the politicians in this building to listen. For all the dismissive and defensive column inches the processes have provoked, they are a massive and, I believe, very necessary wake-up call. Today we have the opportunity to say, 'We hear you." We speak with George Monbiot, British journalist, author and columnist with The Guardian. His recent piece for The Guardian is headlined "Only rebellion will prevent an ecological apocalypse." Monbiot says capitalism "is like a gun pointed at the heart of the planet. It will essentially, necessarily destroy our life-support systems. Among those characteristics is the drive for perpetual economic growth on a finite planet."

⁸https://truthout.org/video/george-monbiot-on-the-uk-climate-emergency/



4.8 Understatement of existential climate risk

Here are some excerpts from a 44-page report entitled *What Lies Beneath: The Under*standing of Existential Climate Risk, by David Spratt and Ian Dunlop⁹:

Three decades ago, when serious debate on human-induced climate change began at the global level, a great deal of statesmanship was on display. There was a preparedness to recognize that this was an issue transcending nation states, ideologies and political parties which had to be addressed pro-actively in the long-term interests of humanity as a whole. This was the case even though the existential nature of the risk it posed was far less clear cut than it is today.

As global institutions, such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) which was established at the Rio Earth Summit in 1992, were developed to take up this challenge, and the extent of change this would demand of the fossil-fuel-dominated world order became clearer, the forces of resistance began to mobilize. Today, as a consequence, and despite the diplomatic triumph of the 2015 Paris Agreement, the debate around climate change policy has never been more dysfunctional, indeed Orwellian.

In his book 1984, George Orwell describes a double-think totalitarian state where most of the population accepts "the most flagrant violations of reality, because they never fully grasped the enormity of what was demanded of them, and were not sufficiently interested in public events to notice what was

⁹https://www.breakthroughonline.org.au/

happening. By lack of understanding they remained sane."

Orwell could have been writing about climate change and policymaking. International agreements talk of limiting global warming to 1.5-2 degrees Celsius (°C), but in reality they set the world on a path of $3-5^{\circ}$ C of warming. Goals are reaffirmed, only to be abandoned. Coal is "clean". Just 1°C of warming is already dangerous, but this cannot be admitted. The planetary future is hostage to myopic national self-interest. Action is delayed on the assumption that as yet unproven technologies will save the day, decades hence. The risks are existential, but it is "alarmist" to say so.

A one-in-two or one-in-three chance of missing a goal is normalized as reasonable. Moral hazard permeates official thinking, in that there is an incentive to ignore the risks in the interests of political expediency.

Climate policymaking for years has been cognitively dissonant, "a flagrant violation of reality". So it is unsurprising that there is a lack of understanding amongst the public and elites of the full measure of the climate challenge. Yet most Australians sense where we are heading: three-quarters of Australians see climate change as catastrophic risk, and half see our way of life ending within the next 100 years.

Politics and policymaking have norms: rules and practices, assumptions and boundaries, that constrain and shape them. In recent years, the previous norms of statesmanship and long-term thinking have disappeared, replaced by an obsession with short-term political and commercial advantage. Climate policymaking is no exception. Since 1992, short-term economic interest has trumped environmental and future human needs.

The world today emits 50% more carbon dioxide (CO_2) from the consumption of energy than it did 25 years ago, and the global economy has more than doubled in size. The UNFCCC strives "to enable economic development to proceed in a sustainable manner", but every year humanity's ecological footprint becomes larger and less sustainable. Humanity now requires the biophysical capacity of 1.7 Earths annually as it rapidly chews up natural capital.

A fast, emergency-scale transition to a post-fossil fuel world is absolutely necessary to address climate change. But this is excluded from consideration by policymakers because it is considered to be too disruptive. The orthodoxy is that there is time for an orderly economic transition within the current shorttermist political paradigm. Discussion of what would be safe - less warming than we presently experience - is non-existent. And so we have a policy failure of epic proportions.

Policymakers, in their magical thinking, imagine a mitigation path of gradual change to be constructed over many decades in a growing, prosperous world. The world not imagined is the one that now exists: of looming financial instability; of a global crisis of political legitimacy and "fake news"; of a sustainability crisis that extends far beyond climate change to include all the fundamentals of human existence and most significant planetary boundaries (soils, potable water, oceans, the atmosphere, biodiversity, and so on); and of severe global energy-sector dislocation.

In anticipation of the upheaval that climate change would impose upon the global order, the IPCC was established by the United Nations (UN) in 1988, charged with regularly assessing the global consensus on climate science as a basis for policymaking. The IPCC Assessment Reports (AR), produced every five-to-eight years, play a large part in the public framing of the climate narrative: new reports are a global media event.

AR5 was produced in 2013-14, with AR6 due in 2022. The IPCC has done critical, indispensable work of the highest standard in pulling together a periodic consensus of what must be the most exhaustive scientific investigation in world history.

It does not carry out its own research, but reviews and collates peerreviewed material from across the spectrum of this incredibly complex area, identifying key issues and trends for policymaker consideration. However, the IPCC process suffers from all the dangers of consensus-building in such a wideranging and complex arena. For example, IPCC reports, of necessity, do not always contain the latest available information. Consensus-building can lead to "least drama", lowest-common-denominator outcomes, which overlook critical issues. This is particularly the case with the "fat-tails" of probability distributions, that is, the high-impact but lower-probability events where scientific knowledge is more limited.

Vested-interest pressure is acute in all directions; climate denialists accuse the IPCC of alarmism, whereas many climate action proponents consider the IPCC to be far too conservative. To cap it all, the IPCC conclusions are subject to intense political oversight before being released, which historically has had the effect of substantially watering-down sound scientific findings.

These limitations are understandable, and arguably were not of overriding importance in the early period of the IPCC. However, as time has progressed, it is now clear that the risks posed by climate change are far greater than previously anticipated. We have moved out of the twilight period of much talk, but relatively limited climate impacts, into the harsh light of physicallyevident existential threats. Climate change is now turning nasty, as we have witnessed recently in the North America, East and South Asia, the Middle East and Europe, with record-breaking heatwaves and wildfires, more intense flooding and more damaging hurricanes.

The distinction between climate science and risk is the critical issue, for the two are not the same. Scientific reticence - a reluctance to spell out the full risk implications of climate science in the absence of perfect information - has become a major problem. Whilst this is understandable, particularly when scientists are continually criticized by denialists and political apparatchiks for speaking out, it is extremely dangerous given the fat-tail risks of climate change. Waiting for perfect information, as we are continually urged to do by political and economic elites, means it will be too late to act. Time is not on our side. Sensible risk management addresses risk in time to prevent it happening, and that time is now.

Irreversible, adverse climate change on the global scale now occurring is an existential risk to human civilization. Many of the world's top climate scientists - Kevin Anderson, James Hansen, Michael E. Mann, Michael Oppenheimer, Naomi Oreskes, Stefan Rahmstorf, Eric Rignot, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, Kevin Trenberth and others - who are quoted in this report well understand these implications and are forthright about their findings, where we are heading, and the limitations of IPCC reports.

This report seeks to alert the wider community and business and political leaders to these limitations and urges changes to the IPCC approach, to the wider UNFCCC negotiations, and to national policymaking. It is clear that existing processes will not deliver the transformation to a carbon-negative world in the limited time now available. We urgently require a re-framing of scientific research within an existential risk-management framework. This requires special precautions that go well beyond conventional risk management. Like an iceberg, there is great danger in "what lies beneath".

Existential Risk to Human Civilization

In 2016, the World Economic Forum survey of the most impactful risks for the years ahead elevated the failure of climate change mitigation and adaptation to the top of the list, ahead of weapons of mass destruction, ranking second, and water crises, ranking third. By 2018, following a year characterized by high-impact hurricanes and extreme temperatures, extreme-weather events were seen as the single most prominent risk. As the survey noted: "We have been pushing our planet to the brink and the damage is becoming increasingly clear."

Climate change is an existential risk to human civilization: that is, an adverse outcome that would either annihilate intelligent life or permanently and drastically curtail its potential.

Temperature rises that are now in prospect, after the Paris Agreement, are in the range of 3-5 °C. At present, the Paris Agreement voluntary emission reduction commitments, if implemented, would result in planetary warming of 3.4 °C by 2100, without taking into account "long-term" carbon- cycle feedbacks. With a higher climate sensitivity figure of 4.5 °C, for example, which would account for such feedbacks, the Paris path would result in around 5 °C of warming, according to a MIT study.

A study by Schroeder Investment Management published in June 2017 found - after taking into account indicators across a wide range of the political, financial, energy and regulatory sectors - the average temperature increase implied for the Paris Agreement across all sectors was 4.1 °C.

Yet 3 °C of warming already constitutes an existential risk. A 2007 study

by two US national security think-tanks concluded that 3 °C of warming and a 0.5 meter sea-level rise would likely lead to "outright chaos" and "nuclear war is possible", emphasizing how "massive non-linear events in the global environment give rise to massive nonlinear societal event".

The Global Challenges Foundation (GCF) explains what could happen: "If climate change was to reach 3 $^{\circ}$ C, most of Bangladesh and Florida would drown, while major coastal cities - Shanghai, Lagos, Mumbai - would be swamped, likely creating large flows of climate refugees. Most regions in the world would see a significant drop in food production and increasing numbers of extreme weather events, whether heat waves, floods or storms. This likely scenario for a 3 $^{\circ}$ C rise does not take into account the considerable risk that self-reinforcing feedback loops set in when a certain threshold is reached, leading to an ever increasing rise in temperature. Potential thresholds include the melting of the Arctic permafrost releasing methane into the atmosphere, forest die-back releasing the carbon currently stored in the Amazon and boreal forests, or the melting of polar ice caps that would no longer reflect away light and heat from the sun."

Warming of 4 °C or more could reduce the global human population by 80% or 90%, and the World Bank reports "there is no certainty that adaptation to a 4 °C world is possible."

Prof. Kevin Anderson says a 4 °C future "is incompatible with an organized global community, is likely to be beyond 'adaptation', is devastating to the majority of ecosystems, and has a high probability of not being stable".

This is a commonly-held sentiment amongst climate scientists. A recent study by the European Commission's Joint Research Centre found that if the global temperature rose 4 °C, then extreme heatwaves with "apparent temperatures" peaking at over 55 °C will begin to regularly affect many densely populated parts of the world, forcing much activity in the modern industrial world to stop. ("Apparent temperatures" refers to the Heat Index, which quantifies the combined effect of heat and humidity to provide people with a means of avoiding dangerous conditions.)

In 2017, one of the first research papers to focus explicitly on existential climate risks proposed that "mitigation goals be set in terms of climate risk category instead of a temperature threshold", and established a "dangerous" risk category of warming greater than 1.5 °C, and a "catastrophic" category for warming of 3 °C or more. The authors focussed on the impacts on the world's poorest three billion people, on health and heat stress, and the impacts of climate extremes on such people with limited adaptation resources. They found that a 2 °C warming "would double the land area subject to deadly heat and expose 48% of the population (to deadly heat). A 4 °C warming by 2100 would subject 47% of the land area and almost 74% of the world population to deadly heat, which could pose existential risks to humans and mammals alike unless massive adaptation measures are implemented."

A 2017 survey of global catastrophic risks by the Global Challenges Foundation found that: "In high-end [climate] scenarios, the scale of destruction is beyond our capacity to model, with a high likelihood of human civilization coming to an end."

84% of 8000 people in eight countries surveyed for the Foundation considered climate change a "global catastrophic risk".

Existential risk may arise from a fast rate of system change, since the capacity to adapt, in both the natural and human worlds, is inversely proportional to the pace of change, amongst other factors. In 2004, researchers reported on the rate of warming as a driver of extinction...

At 4 °C of warming "the limits for adaptation for natural systems would largely be exceeded throughout the world".

Ecological breakdown of this scale would ensure an existential human crisis. By slow degrees, these existential risks are being recognized. In May 2018, an inquiry by the Australian Senate into national security and global warming recognized "climate change as a current and existential national security risk... defined as 'one that threatens the premature extinction of Earth-originating intelligent life or the permanent and drastic destruction of its potential for desirable future development".

In April 2018, the Intelligence on European Pensions and Institutional Investment think-tank warned business leaders that "climate change is an existential risk whose elimination must become a corporate objective".

However the most recent IPCC Assessment Report did not consider the issue. Whilst the term "risk management" appears in the 2014 IPCC Synthesis Report fourteen times, the terms "existential" and "catastrophic" do not appear...

4.9 The 2018 IPCC report

Excerpts from an article summarizing the report

Here are excerpts from an article entitled **UN Experts Warn of 'Climate Catastrophe'** by 2040 by Jesica Corbett. The article was published in Common Dreams on Monday, October 8, 2018.¹⁰:

"The climate crisis is here and already impacting the most vulnerable," notes 350.org's program director. "Staying under 1.5° C is now a matter of political will."

Underscoring the need for "rapid, far-reaching, and unprecedented" changes to life as we know it to combat the global climate crisis, a new report from

 $^{^{10} \}rm https://www.commondreams.org/news/2018/10/08/un-experts-warn-climate-catastrophe-2040-without-rapid-and-unprecedented-global$

the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) - the United Nations' leading body for climate science - details what the world could look like if the global temperature rises to 1.5° C versus 2° C (2.7° F versus 3.6° F) above preindustrial levels, and outlines pathways to reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the context of sustainable development and efforts to eradicate poverty.

"Climate change represents an urgent and potentially irreversible threat to human societies and the planet," the report reads. "Human-induced warming has already reached about 1° C (1.8° F) above pre-industrial levels at the time of writing of this Special Report... If the current warming rate continues, the world would reach human-induced global warming of 1.5° C around 2040."

Approved by the IPCC in South Korea on Saturday ahead of COP24 in Poland in December, Global Warming of 1.5°C was produced by 91 authors and reviewers from 40 countries. Its release has elicited calls to action from climate campaigners and policymakers the world over.

"This is a climate emergency. The IPCC 1.5 report starkly illustrates the difference between temperature rises of 1.5° C and 2° C - for many around the world this is a matter of life and death," declared Karin Nansen, chair of Friends of the Earth International (FOEI). "It is crucial to keep temperature rise well below 1.5 degrees ... but the evidence presented by the IPCC shows that there is a narrow and shrinking window in which to do so."

The report was requested when the international community came together in December of 2015 for the Paris agreement, which aims to keep global warming within this century "well below" 2° C, with an ultimate target of 1.5° C. President Donald Trump's predecessor supported the accord, but Trump has vowed to withdraw the United States, even as every other nation on the planet has pledged their support for it. In many cases, however, sworn support hasn't led to effective policy.

"It's a fresh reminder, if one was needed, that current emissions reduction pledges are not enough to meet the long-term goals of the Paris agreement. Indeed, they are not enough for any appropriately ambitious temperature target, given what we know about dangerous climate impacts already unfolding even at lower temperature thresholds," Rachel Cleetus, lead economist and climate policy manager for the Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS), wrote ahead of its release.

"The policy implications of the report are obvious: We need to implement a suite of policies to sharply limit carbon emissions and build climate resilience, and we must do all this is in a way that prioritizes equitable outcomes particularly for the world's poor and marginalized communities," Cleetus added.

"We want a just transition to a clean energy system that benefits people not corporations," Nansen emphasized. "Only with a radical transformation of our energy, food and economic systems, embracing environmental, social, gender and economic justice, can we prevent climate catastrophe and temperature rises exceeding 1.5° C."

Only immediate climate action can save the future

Immediate action to halt the extraction of fossil fuels and greatly reduce the emission of CO_2 and other greenhouse gasses is needed to save the long-term future of human civilization and the biosphere.

At the opening ceremony of United Nations-sponsored climate talks in Katowice, Poland, Sir David Attenborough said "Right now, we are facing a man-made disaster of global scale. Our greatest threat in thousands of years. Climate change. If we don't take action, the collapse of our civilizations and the extinction of much of the natural world is on the horizon. The world's people have spoken. Their message is clear. Time is running out. They want you, the decision-makers, to act now."

Antonio Guterres, UN Secretary-General, said climate change was already "a matter of life and death" for many countries. He added that the world is "nowhere near where it needs to be" on the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Swedish student Greta Thunberg, is a 16-year-old who has launched a climate protest movement in her country. She said, in a short but very clear speech after that of UN leader Antonio Guterres: "Some people say that I should be in school instead. Some people say that I should study to become a climate scientist so that I can 'solve the climate crisis'. But the climate crisis has already been solved. We already have all the facts and solutions."

She added: "Why should I be studying for a future that soon may be no more, when no one is doing anything to save that future? And what is the point of learning facts when the most important facts clearly mean nothing to our society?"

Thunberg continued: "Today we use 100 million barrels of oil every single day. There are no politics to change that. There are no rules to keep that oil in the ground. So we can't save the world by playing by the rules. Because the rules have to be changed."

She concluded by saying that "since our leaders are behaving like children, we will have to take the responsibility they should have taken long ago."

Institutional inertia

Our collective failure to respond adequately to the current crisis is very largely due to institutional inertia. Our financial system is deeply embedded and resistant to change. Our entire industrial infrastructure is based on fossil fuels; but if the future is to be saved, the use of fossil fuels must stop. International relations are still based based on the concept of absolutely sovereign nation states, even though this concept has become a dangerous anachronism in an era of instantaneous global communication and economic interdependence. Within nations, systems of law and education change very slowly, although present dangers demand rapid revolutions in outlook and lifestyle.

The failure of the recent climate conferences to produce strong final documents can be attributed to the fact that the nations attending the conferences felt themselves to be in competition with each other, when in fact they ought to have cooperated in response to a common danger. The heavy hand of the fossil fuel industry also made itself felt at the conferences. Until the development of coal-driven steam engines in the 19th century humans lived more or less in harmony with their environment. Then, fossil fuels, representing many millions of years of stored sunlight, were extracted and burned in two centuries, driving a frenzy of growth of population and industry that has lasted until the present. But today, the party is over. Coal, oil and gas are nearly exhausted, and what remains of them must be left in the ground to avoid existential threats to humans and the biosphere. Big coal and oil corporations base the value of their stocks on ownership of the remaining resources that are still buried, and they can be counted on to use every trick, fair or unfair, to turn those resources into money.

In general corporations represent a strong force resisting change. By law, the directors of corporations are obliged to put the profits of stockholders above every other consideration. No room whatever is left for an ecological or social conscience. Increasingly, corporations have taken control of our mass media and our political system. They intervene in such a way as to make themselves richer, and thus to increase their control of the system.

Polite conversation and cultural inertia

Each day, the conventions of polite conversation contribute to our sense that everything is as it always was. Politeness requires that we do not talk about issues that might be contrary to another person's beliefs. Thus polite conversation is dominated by trivia, entertainment, sports, the weather, gossip, food, and so on, Worries about the the distant future , the danger of nuclear war, the danger of uncontrollable climate change, or the danger of widespread famine seldom appear in conversations at the dinner table, over coffee or at the pub. In conversations between polite people, we obtain the false impression that all is well with the world. But in fact, all is not well. We have to act promptly and adequately to save the future.

The situation is exactly the same in the mass media. The programs and articles are dominated by trivia and entertainment. Serious discussions of the sudden crisis which civilization now faces are almost entirely absent, because the focus is on popularity and ratings. As Neil Postman remarked, we are entertaining ourselves to death.

Further growth implies future collapse

We have to face the fact that endless economic growth on a finite planet is a logical impossibility, and that we have reached or passed the the sustainable limits to growth.

In today's world, we are pressing against the absolute limits of the earth's carrying capacity, and further growth carries with it the danger of future collapse. In the long run, neither the growth of industry not that of population is sustainable; and we have now reached or exceeded the sustainable limits.

The size of the human economy is, of course, the product of two factors: the total number of humans, and the consumption per capita. Let us first consider the problem of reducing the per-capita consumption in the industrialized countries. The whole structure of western society seems designed to push its citizens in the opposite direction, towards ever-increasing levels of consumption. The mass media hold before us continually the ideal of a personal utopia, filled with material goods.

Every young man in a modern industrial society feels that he is a failure unless he fights his way to the "top"; and in recent years, women too have been drawn into the competition. Of course, not everyone can reach the top; there would not be room for everyone; but society urges us all to try, and we feel a sense of failure if we do not reach the goal. Thus, modern life has become a competition of all against all for power and possessions.

When possessions are used for the purpose of social competition, demand has no natural upper limit; it is then limited only by the size of the human ego, which, as we know, is boundless. This would be all to the good if unlimited industrial growth were desirable; but today, when further industrial growth implies future collapse, western society urgently needs to find new values to replace our worship of power, our restless chase after excitement, and our admiration of excessive consumption.

If you turn on your television set, the vast majority of the programs that you will be offered give no hint at all of the true state of the world or of the dangers which we will face in the future. Part of the reason for this willful blindness is that no one wants to damage consumer confidence. No one wants to bring on a recession. No one wants to shoot Santa Claus.

But sooner or later a severe recession will come, despite our unwillingness to recognize this fact. Perhaps we should prepare for it by reordering the world's economy and infrastructure to achieve long-term sustainability, i.e. steady-state economics, population stabilization, and renewable energy.

Our responsibility to future generations and to the biosphere

All of the technology needed for the replacement of fossil fuels by renewable energy is already in place. Although renewable sources currently supply only 19 percent of the world's energy requirements, they are growing rapidly. For example, wind energy is growing at the rate of 30 percent per year. Because of the remarkable properties of exponential growth, this will mean that wind will soon become a major supplier of the world's energy requirements, despite bitter opposition from the fossil fuel industry.

Both wind and solar energy can now compete economically with fossil fuels, and this situation will become even more pronounced if more countries put a tax on carbon emissions, as Finland, the Netherlands, Norway, Costa Rica, the United Kingdom and Ireland already have done.¹¹

Much research and thought have also been devoted to the concept of a steady-state economy. The only thing that is lacking is political will. It is up to the people of the world to make their collective will felt. ¹²

¹¹http://eruditio.worldacademy.org/issue-5/article/urgent-need-renewable-energy

¹²http://steadystate.org/category/herman-daly/



Figure 4.11: Our carbon budget. If global warming is to be limited to 1.5° C, CO₂ emissions must fall extremely rapidly. This means radical and fundamental changes for economies and lifestyles.

History has given to our generation an enormous responsibility towards future generations. We must achieve a new kind of economy, a steady-state economy. We must stabilize global population. We must replace fossil fuels by renewable energy. We must abolish nuclear weapons. We must end the institution of war. We must reclaim democracy in our own countries when it has been lost. We must replace nationalism by a just system of international law. We must prevent degradation of the earth's environment. We must act with dedication and fearlessness to save the future of the earth for human civilization and for the plants and animals with which we share the gift of life.

"And yes, we do need hope. Of course, we do. But the one thing we need more than hope is action. Once we start to act, hope is everywhere. So instead of looking for hope, look for action. Then and only then, hope will come today." Greta Thunberg

Why do we not respond to the crisis?

Today we are faced with multiple interrelated crises, for example the threat of catastrophic climate change or equally catastrophic thermonuclear war, and the threat of widespread

Effect of current pledges and policies

Global greenhouse gas emissions



Source: Climate Action Tracker

Figure 4.12: Predicted gigatons of carbon emitted during the present century under various policies. Under current policies, temperatures at the end of the century are predicted to be 3.1-3.7°C higher than normal, which would be disastrous. This implies that quick action must be taken to change current policies.

famine. These threats to human existence and to the biosphere demand a prompt and rational response; but because of institutional and cultural inertia, we are failing to take the steps that are necessary to avoid disaster.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. A. Gore, An Inconvenient Truth: The Planetary Emergency of Global Warming and What We Can Do About It, Rodale Books, New York, (2006).
- 2. A. Gore, Earth in the Balance: Forging a New Common Purpose, Earthscan, (1992).
- 3. A.H. Ehrlich and P.R. Ehrlich, *Earth*, Thames and Methuen, (1987).
- 4. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *The Population Explosion*, Simon and Schuster, (1990).
- 5. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Healing the Planet: Strategies for Resolving the Environmental Crisis*, Addison-Wesley, (1991).
- 6. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Betrayal of Science and Reason: How Anti-Environmental Rhetoric Threatens our Future*, Island Press, (1998).
- 7. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, One With Nineveh: Politics, Consumption and the Human Future, Island Press, (2004).
- 8. D.H. Meadows, D.L. Meadows, J. Randers, and W.W. Behrens III, *The Limits to Growth: A Report for the Club of Rome's Project on the Predicament of Mankind*, Universe Books, New York, (1972).
- 9. D.H. Meadows et al., Beyond the Limits. Confronting Global Collapse and Envisioning a Sustainable Future, Chelsea Green Publishing, Post Mills, Vermont, (1992).
- 10. D.H. Meadows, J. Randers and D.L. Meadows, *Limits to Growth: the 30-Year Update*, Chelsea Green Publishing, White River Jct., VT 05001, (2004).
- 11. A. Peccei and D. Ikeda, *Before it is Too Late*, Kodansha International, Tokyo, (1984).
- V.K. Smith, ed., Scarcity and Growth Reconsidered, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, (1979).
- 13. British Petroleum, BP Statistical Review of World Energy, (published yearly).
- 14. R. Costannza, ed., *Ecological Economics: The Science and Management of Sustainability*, Colombia University Press, New York, (1991).
- 15. J. Darmstadter, A Global Energy Perspective, Sustainable Development Issue Backgrounder, Resources for the Future, (2002).
- D.C. Hall and J.V. Hall, Concepts and Measures of Natural Resource Scarcity, Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 11, 363-379, (1984).
- M.K. Hubbert, Energy Resources, in Resources and Man: A Study and Recommendations, Committee on Resources and Man, National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1969).
- 18. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, IPCC, (2001).
- J.A. Krautkraemer, Nonrenewable Resource Scarcity, Journal of Economic Literature, 36, 2065-2107, (1998).
- 20. N. Stern et al., *The Stern Review*, www.sternreview.org.uk, (2006).

- 21. T.M. Swanson, ed., The Economics and Ecology of Biodiversity Decline: The Forces Driving Global Change, Cambridge University Press, (1995).
- P.M. Vitousek, H.A. Mooney, J. Lubchenco and J.M. Melillo, Human Domination of Earth's Ecosystems, Science, 277, 494-499, (1997).
- 23. World Resources Institute, World Resources 200-2001: People and Ecosystems: The Fraying Web of Life, WRI, Washington D.C., (2000).
- 24. A. Sampson, The Seven Sisters: The Great Oil Companies of the World and How They Were Made, Hodder and Staughton, London, (1988).
- 25. D. Yergin, The Prize, Simon and Schuster, New York, (1991).
- M.B. Stoff, Oil, War and American Security: The Search for a National Policy on Oil, 1941-1947, Yale University Press, New Haven, (1980).
- 27. J. Stork, Middle East Oil and the Energy Crisis, Monthly Review, New York, (1976).
- 28. F. Benn, Oil Diplomacy in the Twentieth Century, St. Martin's Press, New York, (1986).
- 29. K. Roosevelt, *Countercoup: The Struggle for the Control of Iran*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1979).
- E. Abrahamian, Iran Between Two Revolutions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1982).
- 31. J.M. Blair, The Control of Oil, Random House, New York, (1976).
- 32. M.T. Klare, *Resource Wars: The New Landscape of Global Conflict*, Owl Books reprint edition, New York, (2002).
- 33. H. Mejcher, Imperial Quest for Oil: Iraq, 1910-1928, Ithaca Books, London, (1976).
- 34. P. Sluglett, Britain in Iraq, 1914-1932, Ithaca Press, London, (1976).
- D.E. Omissi, British Air Power and Colonial Control in Iraq, 1920-1925, Manchester University Press, Manchester, (1990).
- 36. V.G. Kiernan, Colonial Empires and Armies, 1815-1960, Sutton, Stroud, (1998).
- 37. R. Solh, Britain's 2 Wars With Iraq, Ithaca Press, Reading, (1996).
- 38. D. Morgan and D.B. Ottaway, In Iraqi War Scenario, Oil is Key Issue as U.S. Drillers Eye Huge petroleum Pool, Washington Post, September 15, (2002).
- C.J. Cleveland, Physical and Economic Aspects of Natural Resource Scarcity: The Cost of Oil Supply in the Lower 48 United States 1936-1987, Resources and Energy 13, 163-188, (1991).
- C.J. Cleveland, Yield Per Effort for Additions to Crude Oil Reserves in the Lower 48 States, 1946-1989, American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 76, 948-958, (1992).
- 41. M.K. Hubbert, *Technique of Prediction as Applied to the Production of Oil and Gas*, in *NBS Special Publication 631*, US Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, (1982).
- L.F. Ivanhoe, Oil Discovery Indices and Projected Discoveries, Oil and Gas Journal, 11, 19, (1984).
- 43. L.F. Ivanhoe, *Future Crude Oil Supplies and Prices*, Oil and Gas Journal, July 25, 111-112, (1988).

- 44. L.F. Ivanhoe, Updated Hubbert Curves Analyze World Oil Supply, World Oil, November, 91-94, (1996).
- 45. L.F. Ivanhoe, *Get Ready for Another Oil Shock!*, The Futurist, January-February, 20-23, (1997).
- 46. Energy Information Administration, *International Energy Outlook, 2001*, US Department of Energy, (2001).
- 47. Energy Information Administration, *Caspian Sea Region*, US Department of Energy, (2001).
- 48. National Energy Policy Development Group, *National Energy Policy*, The White House, (http://www.whitehouse.gov/energy/), (2004).
- M. Klare, Bush-Cheney Energy Strategy: Procuring the Rest of the World's Oil, Foreign Policy in Focus, (Interhemispheric Resource Center/Institute for Policy Studies/SEEN), Washington DC and Silver City NM, January, (2004).
- 50. IEA, CO2 from Fuel Combustion Fact-Sheet, International Energy Agency, (2005).
- 51. H. Youguo, China's Coal Demand Outlook for 2020 and Analysis of Coal Supply Capacity, International Energy Agency, (2003).
- R.H. Williams, Advanced Energy Supply Technologies, in World Energy Assessment: Energy and the Challenge of Sustainability, UNDP, (2000).
- 53. H. Lehmann, *Energy Rich Japan*, Institute for Sustainable Solutions and Innovations, Achen, (2003).
- 54. D. King, Climate Change Science: Adapt, Mitigate or Ignore, Science, **303** (5655), pp. 176-177, (2004).
- 55. S. Connor, *Global Warming Past Point of No Return*, The Independent, (116 September, 2005).
- 56. D. Rind, Drying Out the Tropics, New Scientist (6 May, 1995).
- 57. J. Patz et al., Impact of Regional Climate Change on Human Health, Nature, (17 November, 2005).
- 58. M. McCarthy, *China Crisis: Threat to the Global Environment*, The Independent, (19 October, 2005).
- 59. L.R. Brown, The Twenty-Ninth Day, W.W. Norton, New York, (1978).
- 60. W.V. Chandler, *Materials Recycling: The Virtue of Necessity*, Worldwatch Paper 56, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C, (1983).
- 61. W.C. Clark and others, *Managing Planet Earth*, Special Issue, *Scientific American*, September, (1989).
- 62. B. Commoner, *The Closing Circle: Nature, Man and Technology*, Bantam Books, New York, (1972).
- 63. C. Flavin, *Slowing Global Warming: A Worldwide Strategy*, Worldwatch Paper 91, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1989).
- 64. J.R. Frisch, *Energy 2000-2020: World Prospects and Regional Stresses*, World Energy Conference, Graham and Trotman, (1983).
- 65. J. Gever, R. Kaufmann, D. Skole and C. Vorosmarty, *Beyond Oil: The Threat to Food and Fuel in the Coming Decades*, Ballinger, Cambridge MA, (1986).
- 66. J. Holdren and P. Herrera, *Energy*, Sierra Club Books, New York, (1971).

- 67. N. Myers, The Sinking Ark, Pergamon, New York, (1972).
- 68. National Academy of Sciences, Energy and Climate, NAS, Washington D.C., (1977).
- 69. W. Ophuls, *Ecology and the Politics of Scarcity*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1977).
- 70. A. Peccei, The Human Quality, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1977).
- 71. A. Peccei, One Hundred Pages for the Future, Pergamon Press, New York, (1977).
- 72. E. Pestel, Beyond the Limits to Growth, Universe Books, New York, (1989).
- C. Pollock, Mining Urban Wastes: The Potential for Recycling, Worldwatch Paper 76, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1987).
- 74. S.H. Schneider, *The Genesis Strategy: Climate and Global Survival*, Plenum Press, (1976).
- 75. P.B. Smith, J.D. Schilling and A.P. Haines, Introduction and Summary, in Draft Report of the Pugwash Study Group: The World at the Crossroads, Berlin, (1992).
- 76. World Resources Institute, *World Resources*, Oxford University Press, New York, (published annually).
- 77. J.E. Young, John E., *Mining the Earth*, Worldwatch Paper 109, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1992).
- 78. J.R. Craig, D.J. Vaughan and B.J. Skinner, *Resources of the Earth: Origin, Use and Environmental Impact, Third Edition*, Prentice Hall, (2001).
- 79. W. Youngquist, Geodestinies: The Inevitable Control of Earth Resources Over Nations and Individuals, National Book Company, Portland Oregon, (1997).
- 80. M. Tanzer, *The Race for Resources. Continuing Struggles Over Minerals and Fuels*, Monthly Review Press, New York, (1980).
- C.B. Reed, Fuels, Minerals and Human Survival, Ann Arbor Science Publishers Inc., Ann Arbor Michigan, (1975).
- A.A. Bartlett, Forgotten Fundamentals of the Energy Crisis, American Journal of Physics, 46, 876-888, (1978).
- 83. N. Gall, We are Living Off Our Capital, Forbes, September, (1986).
- 84. M. Anklin et al., Climate instability during the last interglacial period recorded in the GRIP ice core. Nature **364**, 15 July: 203-207, (1993).
- O. J. Blanchard and S. Fischer, *Lectures on Macroeconomics*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press. (1989).

Chapter 5 SIR DAVID ATTENBOROUGH

5.1 Family background and childhood

David Attenborough grew up in an academic environment. He lived with his family in College House on the campus of University College, Leicester, where his father, Frederick, was the Principal. The college developed into the University of Leicester, and Attenborough Tower, the tallest structure on its campus, commemorates the university's association with the Attenborough family.

Even as a very young boy, David Attenborough was strongly interested in natural history. He collected fossils, stones, and natural specimens of all kinds. When David was seven years old, Jacquetta Hawkes, who was then 23, admired his "museum" and encouraged him to continue collecting. Jacquetta, who was the daughter of the Nobel Laureate biochemist Sir Frederick Gowland Hopkins, later became a well-known archaeologist.

When he was 10 years old, David Attenborough heard a lecture by the Canadian ecologist and conservationist "Grey Owl". According to his brother Richard, who also attended the lecture, David was "bowled over by the man's determination to save the beaver, by his profound knowledge of the flora and fauna of the Canadian wilderness and by his warnings of ecological disaster should the delicate balance between them be destroyed. The idea that mankind was endangering nature by recklessly despoiling and plundering its riches was unheard of at the time, but it is one that has remained part of Dave's own credo to this day." Richard Attenborough later produced a documentary film on the life of "Grey Owl".

Between 1945 and 1947, David Attenborough studied zoology at the University of Cambridge. In 1947 he was called up for military service, and spent the next two years in the Royal Navy.

After leaving the navy, Attenborough worked for a period as an editor of children's books. However, this job did not satisfy him, and he applied to the BBC for a position as a radio broadcaster. Although he didn't get the job for which he originally applied, his resumé came to the attention of the BBC's newly-formed television division, which offered him the chance to take a course on television broadcasting.



Figure 5.1: The Attenborough Tower at the University of Leicester now houses the university's College of Social Sciences.

5.1. FAMILY BACKGROUND AND CHILDHOOD



Figure 5.2: The Canadian environmentalist, Archibald Belaney (1888-1938), who liked to call himself "Grey Owl". At the age of 10, David Attenborough heard him speak on the need to protect the natural world from excessive human development. This lecture made an extremely strong impression on the young David.



Figure 5.3: Lord Richard Attenborough (1923-2014), David Attenborough's elder brother, seen here together with his wife. In 1993 he was awarded a life peerage because of his contributions to cinema. He is especially remembered for his iconic film *Gandhi*.

5.2 Career at the BBC

Sir David Attenborough's films which have been broadcast by the BBC

- Life on Earth (1979)
- The Living Planet (1984)
- The Trials of Life (1990)
- Life in the Freezer (1993)
- The Private Life of Plants (1995)
- The Life of Birds (1998)
- The Life of Mammals (2002)
- Life in the Undergrowth (2005)
- Life in Cold Blood (2008)
- Zoo Quest (1954-63)
- The People of Paradise (1960)
- The World About Us (1967)
- The Miracle of Bali (1969)
- The Tribal Eye (1975)
- Wildlife on One (1977)
- The First Eden (1987)
- Lost Worlds, Vanished Lives (1989)
- BBC Wildlife Specials (1995-2008)
- The Lost Gods of Easter Island (2000)
- State of the Planet (2000)
- The Blue Planet (2001)
- Planet Earth (2006)
- Are We Changing Planet Earth? (2006)
- Charles Darwin and the Tree of Life (2009)
- Nature's Great Events (2009)
- Life (2009)
- First Life (2010)
- Madagascar (2011)
- Frozen Planet (2011)
- Attenborough: 60 Years in the Wild (2012)
- Africa (2013)
- David Attenborough's Natural Curiosities (episodes) (2013-)
- David Attenborough's Rise of Animals: Triumph of the Vertebrates (2013)
- When Björk Met Attenborough (2013)
- Life Story (2014) The Hunt (2015)
- Great Barrier Reef (2015)
- Planet Earth II (2016)
- Blue Planet II (2017)

- Dynasties (2018)
- Our Planet (2019)
- Climate Change The Facts (2019)
- Flying Monsters 3D (2010)
- The Penguin King (2011)
- Kingdom of Plants 3D (2012)
- Galapagos 3D (2013)
- David Attenborough's Natural History Museum Alive (2014)

Books by Sir David Attenborough

- Zoo Quest to Guyana (1956)
- Zoo Quest for a Dragon (1957) republished in 1959 to include an additional 85 pages titled Quest for the Paradise Birds
- Zoo Quest in Paraguay (1959)
- Quest in Paradise (1960)
- People of Paradise (1960)
- Zoo Quest to Madagascar (1961)
- Quest Under Capricorn (1963)
- Fabulous Animals (1975)
- The Tribal Eye (1976)
- Life on Earth (1979)
- Discovering Life on Earth (1981)
- The Living Planet (1984)
- The First Eden: The Mediterranean World and Man (1987)
- The Atlas of the Living World (1989)
- The Trials of Life (1990)
- The Private Life of Plants (1994)
- The Life of Birds (1998)
- The Life of Mammals (2002)
- Life on Air: Memoirs of a Broadcaster (2002) autobiography, revised in 2009
- Life in the Undergrowth (2005)
- Amazing Rare Things: The Art of Natural History in the Age of Discovery (2007) with Susan Owens, Martin Clayton and Rea Alexandratos
- Life in Cold Blood (2007)
- David Attenborough's Life Stories (2009)
- David Attenborough's New Life Stories (2011)
- Drawn From Paradise: The Discovery, Art and Natural History of the Birds of Paradise (2012) with Errol Fuller
- Adventures of a Young Naturalist: The Zoo Quest Expeditions (2017)
- Journeys to the Other Side of the World: Further Adventures of a Young Naturalist (2018)

134

5.2. CAREER AT THE BBC

• Dynasties: The Rise and Fall of Animal Families with Stephen Moss (BBC Books, 2018)



Figure 5.4: David Attenborough's highly entertaining autobiographical book about his career at the BBC.









5.3 Disaster!

In a 2011 interview in The Guardian, Sir David Attenborough was asked: "What will it take to wake people up about climate change?". He replied "Disaster. It's a terrible thing to say, isn't it? And even disaster doesn't always do it. I mean, goodness me, there have been disasters in North America, with hurricanes, and one thing and another, and floods; and still a lot of people would deny it, and say it's nothing to do with climate change. Well it visibly has to do with climate change!"

Sir David Attenborough's almost unbelievably enormous and impressive opus of television programs about the natural world have helped to raise public awareness of the importance of the natural environment. He also has made a number of television programs specifically related to questions such as saving threatened species, the dangers of exploding global human populations, and the destruction of forests for the sake of palm oil plantations.

Let us return to The Guardian's 2011 interview with Sir David. Had it been made in the autumn of 2017, the interview would certainly have included a discussion of recent hurricanes of unprecedented power and destructiveness, such as Harvey, Irma and Maria, as well as 2017's wildfires and Asian floods. It is possible that such events, which will certainly become more frequent and severe during the next few years, will provide the political will needed to silence climate change denial, to stop fossil fuel extraction, and to promote governmental policies favoring renewable energy.

Although the mass media almost have entirely neglected the link between climate change and recent disastrous hurricanes, floods droughts and wildfires, many individuals and organizations emphasized the cause and effect relationship. For example, UK airline billionaire Sir Richard Branson, whose Caribbean summer residence was destroyed by Hurricane Irma said:

"Look, you can never be 100 percent sure about links, But scientists have said the storms are going to get more and more and more intense and more and more often. We've had four storms within a month, all far greater than that have ever, ever, ever happened in history, Sadly, I think this is the start of things to come. Climate change is real. Ninety-nine percent of scientists know it's real. The whole world knows it's real except for maybe one person in the White House."

May Boeve, executive director of the NGO 350.org, said "With a few exceptions, the major TV networks completely failed to cover the scientifically proven ways that climate change is intensifying extreme weather events like hurricanes Harvey and Irma. That's not just disappointing, it's dangerous. We won't be able to turn this crisis around if our media is asleep at the wheel."

Commenting on the destruction of Puerto Rico by Hurricane Maria, historian Juan Cole wrote: "When you vote for denialist politicians, you are selecting people who make policy. The policy they make will be clueless and will actively endanger the public. Climate change is real. We are causing it by our emissions. If you don't believe that, you are not a responsible steward of our infrastructure and of our lives."

When interviewed by Amy Goodman of *Democracy Now*, musician Stevie Wonder said:

"... we should begin to love and value our planet, and anyone who believes that there is no such thing as global warming must be blind or unintelligent."

Another well-known musician, Byoncé, added: "The effects of climate change are playing out around the world every day. Just this past week, we've seen devastation from the monsoon in India...and multiple catastrophic hurricanes. Irma alone has left a trail of death and destruction from the Caribbean to Florida to Southern United States. We have to be prepared for what comes next..."

In her September 2017 publication *Season of Smoke*¹, prizewinning author Naomi Klein wrote:

"We hear about the record-setting amounts of water that Hurricane Harvey dumped on Houston and other Gulf cities and towns, mixing with petrochemicals to pollute and poison on an unfathomable scale. We hear too about the epic floods that have displaced hundreds of thousands of people from Bangladesh to Nigeria (though we don't hear enough). And we are witnessing, yet again, the fearsome force of water and wind as Hurricane Irma, one of the most powerful storms ever recorded, leaves devastation behind in the Caribbean, with Florida now in its sights.

"Yet for large parts of North America, Europe, and Africa, this summer has not been about water at all. In fact it has been about its absence; it's been about land so dry and heat so oppressive that forested mountains exploded into smoke like volcanoes. It's been about fires fierce enough to jump the Columbia River; fast enough to light up the outskirts of Los Angeles like an invading army; and pervasive enough to threaten natural treasures, like the tallest and most ancient sequoia trees and Glacier National Park.

"For millions of people from California to Greenland, Oregon to Portugal, British Columbia to Montana, Siberia to South Africa, the summer of 2017 has been the summer of fire. And more than anything else, it's been the summer of ubiquitous, inescapable smoke.

"For years, climate scientists have warned us that a warming world is an extreme world, in which humanity is buffeted by both brutalizing excesses and stifling absences of the core elements that have kept fragile life in equilibrium for millennia. At the end of the summer of 2017, with major cities submerged in water and others licked by flames, we are currently living through Exhibit A of this extreme world, one in which natural extremes come head-to-head with social, racial, and economic ones."

It seems likely that the climate-linked disasters of 2019 and 2020 will be even more severe than those that we have witnessed during 2017 and 2018. But will such disasters be enough to wake us up?

The BBC has recently announced that Sir David Attenborough is currently producing a new series, *Blue Planet II*, which will focus on environmental issues.²

"My hope is that the world is coming to its senses ... I'm so old I remember a time when ... we didn't talk about climate change, we talked about animals and species exter-

 $^{^{1} \}rm https://the$ intercept.com/2017/09/09/in-a-summer-of-wild fires-and-hurricanes-my-son-asks-why-is-everything-going-wrong/

²http://www.bbcearth.com/blueplanet2/

5.3. DISASTER!



Figure 5.5: Sir David Attenborough: "Disaster. It's a terrible thing to say, isn't it?"

mination," Sir David told Greenpeace in an interview, "For the first time I'm beginning to think there is actually a groundswell, there is a change in the public view. I feel many more people are concerned and more aware of what the problems are. Young people - people who've got 50 years of their life ahead of them - they are thinking they ought to be doing something about this. That's a huge change."



Figure 5.6: Speaking at the opening ceremony of COP24, the universally loved and respected naturalist Sir David Attenborough said: "If we don't take action, the collapse of our civilizations and the extinction of much of the natural world is on the horizon."

5.4 Climate Change, The Facts

Now Sir David Attenborough has completed a new one-hour BBC program on the danger of catastrophic climate change. Here are some excerpts from an April 18 2019 review of the program by Rebecca Nicholson in The Guardian:

The Facts is a rousing call to arms. It is an alarm clock set at a horrifying volume. The first 40 minutes are given over to what Attenborough calls, without hyperbole, "our greatest threat in thousands of years". Expert after expert explains the consequences of rising CO2 levels, on the ice caps, on coastal regions, on weather and wildlife and society itself. The most powerful moments are in footage shot not by expert crews who have spent years on location, but on shaky cameras, capturing the very moment at which the reality of our warming planet struck the person holding the phone. In Cairns, Australia, flying foxes are unable to survive the extreme temperatures; rescuers survey the terrible massacre, and we learn that while 350 were saved, 11,000 died. A man and his son talk through their escape from raging wildfires, over the film they took while attempting to drive through a cavern of blazing red trees. These are horror movies playing out in miniature. It is difficult to watch even five minutes of this and remain somehow neutral, or unconvinced. Yet as I kept on, scribbling down an increasingly grim list of statistics, most of which I knew, vaguely, though compiled like this they finally sound as dreadful as they truly are - 20 of the warmest years on record happened in the last 22 years; Greenland's ice sheet is melting five times faster than it was 25 years ago - I started to wonder about responsibility, and if and where it would be placed. This would be a toothless film, in the end, if it were hamstrung by political neutrality, and if its inevitable "it's not too late" message rested solely on individuals and what relatively little tweaks we might make as consumers. What about corporations? What about governments?

Then, at that exact moment, having played the despair through to its crescendo, the experts served up unvarnished honesty. They lined up to lay out the facts, plain and simple. Fossil fuel companies are the most profitable businesses man has ever known, and they engage in PR offensives, using the same consultants as tobacco companies, and the resulting uncertainty and denial, designed to safeguard profits, has narrowed our window for action. It is unforgivable. I find it hard to believe that anyone, regardless of political affiliation, can watch footage of Trump calling climate change "a hoax ... a money-making industry" and not be left winded by such staggering ignorance or astonishing deceit, though it is, more likely, more bleakly, a catastrophic combination of the two. At least Nigel Lawson only appears here in archive footage, and his argument sounds limp, to put it kindly.

Climate Change: The Facts should not have to change minds, but perhaps it will change them anyway, or at least make this seem as pressing as it needs to be. With the Extinction Rebellion protests across London this week, disrupting day-to-day business, and this, on primetime BBC One, maybe the message will filter through. At the very least, it should incite indignation that more was not done, sooner, and then urgency and a decision to both change and push for change at a much higher level. Because there is, for a brief moment, just possibly, still time.

5.5 Sir David testifies in Parliament

Referencing the rise of climate science denial in some countries while giving evidence to a committee of MPs in the UK, Attenborough said he was "sorry that there are people in power and internationally, notably the United States, but also in Australia".

Attenborough also said it would be "a very sad day" if President Donald Trump succeeded in withdrawing the US from the Paris Agreement, praising the UN process as an example of international cooperation.

He accused climate science deniers of cherry-picking their data, arguing it isn't proof to find a particular example of where glaciers had grown, rather than shrunk. "The proof is in the graphs, the proof is in the scientific records, the proof is in when you analyze bubbles from the sea ice and glacier ice to show you what has happened to the climate



Figure 5.7: Sir David Attenborough testifying at the British Parliament in July, 2019. The United Kingdom declared a climate emergency in May, 2019. As of January 1, 2021. 38 nations have declared a climate emergency. These include all of the nations belonging to the European Union.
over the years," he added.

Asked if flights would have to become more expensive, to the point that normal families could no longer afford an annual holiday in France or Spain, he replied: "I don't know how you would restrict air travel other than economically, so I am afraid that is the case, yes."

He told the Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy Committee: "There's a huge change in public perception. I suspect we are right now in the beginning of a big change."

Sir David credited young people for bringing about the change, saying the electorate of tomorrow already understand the changes that need to be made.

Some things that Sir David Attenborough has said

The future of life on earth depends on our ability to take action. Many individuals are doing what they can, but real success can only come if there's a change in our societies and our economics and in our politics. I've been lucky in my lifetime to see some of the greatest spectacles that the natural world has to offer. Surely we have a responsibility to leave for future generations a planet that is healthy, inhabitable by all species.

Three and a half million years separate the individual who left these footprints in the sands of Africa from the one who left them on the moon. A mere blink in the eye of evolution. Using his burgeoning intelligence, this most successful of all mammals has exploited the environment to produce food for an ever-increasing population. In spite of disasters when civilizations have over-reached themselves, that process has continued, indeed accelerated, even today. Now mankind is looking for food, not just on this planet but on others. Perhaps the time has now come to put that process into reverse. Instead of controlling the environment for the benefit of the population, perhaps it's time we control the population to allow the survival of the environment.

The growth in human numbers is frightening. I've seen wildlife under mounting human pressure all over the world, and it's not just from human economy or technology. Behind every threat is the frightening explosion in human numbers. I've never seen a problem that wouldn't be easier to solve with fewer people - or harder, and ultimately impossible, with more.

We cannot continue to deny the problem. People have pushed aside the question of population sustainability and not considered it because it is too awkward, embarrassing and difficult. But we have to talk about it.

We are a plague on the Earth. It's coming home to roost over the next 50 years or so. It's not just climate change; it's sheer space, places to grow food for this enormous horde. Either we limit our population growth or the

natural world will do it for us, and the natural world is doing it for us right now.

5.6 Extinction: The Facts

In his newest documentary, Sir David presents the stark facts about the current rate of extinction of species.

Here is a quotation from an article by Andrea D. Steffen entitled *Sir David Attenborough's Heartbreaking New Film On Extinction Is A Must See* and published on September 18, 2020³:

The now 94-year-old David Attenborough presents us all with a new film called *Extinction: The Facts*. And while Britain's favorite naturalist spent the last seven decades delivering programs about the world's national treasures, this time, it's a hard-hitting documentary warning about species extinction.

The new BBC film begins with heartbreaking footage of devastation with animals battling for survival because of the impact humans inflict on the natural world. It then goes on to explain how serious the state of nature is, why it matters, and what needs to change.

It links the rise of crises like the coronavirus pandemic, food shortage, poverty, and catastrophic weather events to mankind's encroachment on natural habitats and the destruction of biodiversity. It highlights how species extinction undermines human progress but also points out that this desperate situation can be turned around.

Another article reviewing *Extinction: The Facts*

And here is a quotation from an article by Sally Ho entitled *Sir David Attenborough Warns* Of Extinction Crisis In Latest BBC Documentary, published on September 18, 2020⁴:

"In his most recent return to television screens, Sir David Attenborough warns the world about the crisis our planet is in. Premiered on the BBC last weekend, the documentary saw the legendary naturalist deliver a stark message about mass biodiversity loss and the consequences that the world will face as a result.

"Unlike his usual productions that tracks the wonders and beauty of the natural world, Attenborough's latest documentary titled Extinction: The Facts has

³https://www.intelligentliving.co/david-attenboroughs-film-extinction/

 $^{{}^{4}} https://www.greenqueen.com.hk/david-attenborough-warning-extinction-crisis-latest-bbc-documentary/$



Figure 5.8: 94-year-old Sir David Attenborough issues a stark warning in Ex-tinction: The Facts.

a radically different tone. 'We are facing a crisis,' he says at the very start of the film. 'One that has consequences for us all.'

"Over the course of the one-hour programme, Attenborough takes viewers on a journey through scenes of destruction due to humankind's activities on Earth. In one scene, monkeys jump from trees into a river in order to make a hasty escape from a wildfire, while another sequence shows a koala struggling to find shelter as its natural habitat is ablaze.

"There are an estimated 8 million species inhabiting our planet, the film tells us, and almost one million are now already threatened with extinction. Since the 1970s, vertebrate animals have declined by at least 60%. That's within the past few decades.

"While species do naturally go extinct, Attenborough says that the current rate of extinction is speeding up at such a dramatic rate that it now exceeds the natural course by 100 times - and this figure is still on the rise. In a study published in June this year, scientists said that 500 land animal species are now on the verge of disappearing forever in just 20 years. "Over the course of my life I've encountered some of the world's most remarkable species of animals. Only now do I realise just how lucky I've been - many of these wonders seem set to disappear forever,' he remarks in the film.

"Biodiversity loss will not only mean that we will no longer be able to appreciate the different creatures, flora and fauna in nature, but will also impact our own survival too. The loss of nature's pollinators, such as wild bees, could threaten the crops that we depend on for food, or other plants that help regulate water flow and produce the oxygen we need.

"The coronavirus pandemic is another clear instance of the dangers that come with the rampant destruction of nature and wildlife, a warning many scientists and experts have raised alarm bells about in recent months.

"However, as with all Attenborough's films, Extinction: The Facts ended with a clear message that there is still hope as long as immediate action takes place. 'I may not be here to see it. But if we make the right decisions at this critical moment, we can safeguard our planet's ecosystems, its extraordinary biodiversity and all its inhabitants.'

"Ending with a powerful line, Attenborough said: 'What happens next is up to every one of us'."



5.7 A Life On Our Planet

Here is a quotation from an article by Sally Ho entitled *David Attenborough Urges People* To Ditch Meat In New Film, published on 2 September, 2020⁵:

In his upcoming documentary, the legendary Sir David Attenborough calls for a mass dietary shift to plant-based foods in order to re-wild the Earth and save the planet. Called *A Life On Our Planet*, 94-year-old stresses in the film that humans can no longer wait to take drastic action if we are to avoid complete climate and ecological breakdown, and that it has become increasingly clear that the planet simply 'can't support billions of meat-eaters.'

A Life On Our Planet is described as Attenborough's most personal exploration into his decades-long career documenting the destruction of wildlife and the environment and his 'witness statement' for the natural world. Set to premiere on Netflix later this year, the WWF and Silverback Films co-produced documentary comes with a bold message from Attenborough that humans must make dramatic changes to our diets in order to save the planet.

'I had the most extraordinary life. It's only now I appreciate how extraordinary. The living world is a unique and spectacular marvel,' he says in the movie trailer. 'Yet, the way we humans live on earth is sending it into a decline. Human needs have overrun the world.'

But Attenborough makes clear that this film is not meant to guilt viewers into changing their habits. It's a documentary aimed at showing how each and every one of us can act right now.

'If we act now we can yet put it right. Our planet is headed for disaster. We need to learn how to work with nature rather than against it and I'm going to tell you how,' the wildlife broadcaster, filmmaker and environmentalist said.

His advice to the world, which is revealed in the movie trailer, is to reduce meat consumption or make a full switch to a vegetarian or vegan diet. 'We must radically reduce the way we farm. We must change our diet. The planet can't support billions of meat-eaters.'

While Attenborough himself does not consider himself a 'doctrinaire' vegetarian or vegan, he revealed in a recent interview that he does not have the same appetite for animal meat anymore due to the state of the planet and the realisation of the enormous damage the meat industry has caused.

Suggestions for further reading

1. David Attenborough's First Life: A Journey Back in Time with Matt Kaplan Kindle Edition by Matt Kaplan

⁵https://www.greenqueen.com.hk/sir-david-attenborough-urges-people-to-ditch-meat-in-new-film/

5.7. A LIFE ON OUR PLANET

- 2. Life on Earth: A Natural History (Book Club Associates Edition) Hardcover 1979 by Sir David Attenborough
- 3. Discovering Life on Earth Hardcover 23 Nov 1981 by Sir David Attenborough
- 4. Life Stories (2009) Hardcover by Sir David Attenborough.
- 5. The Trials of Life: A Natural History of Animal Behaviour by David Attenborough (4-Oct-1990) Hardcover
- 6. By Sir David Attenborough *The Living Planet (New edition)* Paperback 25 Mar 1992

Chapter 6 JANE GOODALL

6.1 Growing up with a love of animals

Jane Goodall was born in 1934, in the London suburb of Chelsea. Both of her parents came from relatively wealthy families. Her father was an engineer, and later a racing car driver, while her mother was a writer.

When Jane was about a year old, she was given a toy chimpanzee called Jubilee, which had been made by the London Zoo to celebrate their first birth of a chimpanzee in captivity. Among her many later toys, Jubilee remained her favorite. Jane also had many pet animals, including racing snails, caterpillars, a lizard, guinea pigs, a hamster and a canary.

Fascination with Africa

At elementary school in Bournemouth, Jane became an avid reader. Her favorite books were *Doctor Doolittle*, *The Jungle Book*, and *Tarzan* - all three books involving people who were very close to animals and could communicate with them. Jane began to dream of one day going to Africa.

6.2 Africa, Leakey and the search for early human behavior

Jane's chance to visit Africa came in 1955, when a school friend invited her to visit her family's farm in Kenya. It was not until 1957 that Jane had saved enough money for the journey. She travelled by ship, and the journey took three weeks; but when she arrived, Africa was everything that she had dreamed of. To prolong her stay, Jane took an office job in Nairobi, where, by a stroke of luck, she met the paleontologist Louis Leakey.

Leakey was impressed by Jane's enthusiasm and by her extremely wide knowledge of natural history. He asked her to be his secretary, but what he really had in mind was to hire her to investigate the behavior of wild chimpanzees, the closes relatives of humans, hoping that it would cast light on the behavior of early humans.



Figure 6.1: Louis Leakey and Jane Goodall.



6.3 The Gombe research project

Searching for hominid fossils

Before starting secretarial work for Louis Leakey, Jane spent some time with the paleontologist and his wife Mary searching for fossil hominids in Tanzania. It was on this expedition that Leakey made his final decision that Jane would be his team's chimpanzee researcher in Gombe Park, Kenya.

Two women alone in the African bush

Following Leakey's advice, Jane returned to London in 1958 to consult with experts in the fields of primate anatomy and behavior. She was then 25 years old. By 1960, Leakey had raised enough money to fund her research, and she returned to Africa together with her mother, who stayed with her for the first few months. The two women were alone in the untamed wilderness. Gradually they became friends with the local fishermen and tribesmen. After her mother's departure, Jane (still more gradually) became accepted by Gombe Park's chimpanzee's, to whom she gave names, an unusual practice at the time.

Jane's key discoveries

- Use of tools: Jane discovered that chimpanzees make and use tools. For example, she observed a chimpanzee removing leaves from a twig in order to make an instrument for digging termites out of logs.
- Hunting other animals and eating them: Jane saw chimpanzees hunt and eat monkeys. Chimps had previously been thought to be vegetarians.
- Chimpanzee troops wage war with rival troops: Jane observed, for the first time, deadly territorial conflicts between chimpanzee troops. This observation casts troubling light on inherited human behavior.
- Maternal behavior is learned: Jane observed chimpanzee mothers teaching their daughters how to care for younger infants. She remarked, "We are not the only beings on the planet with personalities, thoughts, and most importantly feelings".
- Hugging, kissing and body language: Jane observed chimpanzees hugging and kissing each other, and using the same gestures that humans would use in similar situations. She states that "The nonverbal body language is the same for chimpanzees as it is for us. They use the same gestures and postures in the same context."



Figure 6.2: Jane Goodall with her husband, Baron Hugo van Lawick, a Dutch wildlife photographer sent to Gombe by The National Geographic.



Figure 6.3: Jane and Hugo with their son.









6.4 Roots and Shoots, and the Jane Goodall Institute

Sponsored by The National Geographic

The National Geographic Society began to sponsor Jane Goodall's work, as well as publishing her articles in their magazine. In 1963, they published her first article, *My Life Among Wild Chimpanzees*, This article was soon followed by her book, *My friends, The Wild Chimpanzees*. A little later, a highly successful television series, *Miss Goodall and the Wild Chimpanzees*, made her work known to a very wide audience.

A Ph.D. from Cambridge University

After she had made a number of important discoveries, Louis Leakey advised Jane Goodall that she ought to obtain an academic degree. This would make her ideas and observations more acceptable to the academic community. Following his advice, and with his help, she returned to England and enrolled as a Ph.D. student in ethology (the study of inherited behavior) at Cambridge University. She graduated in 1965 with a thesis entitled *Behavior of the Free-Ranging Chimpanzee*.

A professor at Stanford and Dar es Salaam

Between 1970 and 1975, Jane Goodall held a professorship at Stanford University; and in 1973 she was appointed as an honorary visiting professor of zoology at the University of Dar es Salaam in Tanzania.

The Shadow of Man

In 1971, Jane Goodall's important book, *The Shadow of Man* was published. Many other books followed, for example *The Chimpanzees of Gombe: Patterns of Behavior*, which was published in 1986, when Jane was 52 years old.

The Jane Goodall Institute

In 1977. Jane Goodall founded an institute dedicated to the continuation of research wok at Gombe and to the preservation of habitat for chimpanzees.

A global youth organization for ecology

In 1991, Jane Goodall founded Roots and Shoots, a global environmental organization dedicated to improving the environment, for for the sake of both people and animals. The organization has local chapters in over 140 countries, with over 8,000 local chapters worldwide.



Figure 6.4: Jane Goodall in Tanzania in 2018.



Figure 6.5: An award-winning documentary film about Jane Goodall's life and work makes use of beautiful footage filmed by her first husband, Baron Hugo van Lawick

A few of of Jane Goodall's many awards and honors

- Gold Medal of Conservation from the San Diego Zoological Society in 1974
- J. Paul Getty Wildlife Conservation Prize in 1984
- Albert Schweitzer Medal of the Animal Welfare Institute in 1987
- National Geographic Society Centennial Award in 1988
- Kyoto Prize in Basic Sciences in 1990
- Tyler Prize for Environmental Achievement in 1997
- Gandhi-King Award in 2001
- United Nations Messenger of Peace in 2002
- Benjamin Franklin Medal in 2003
- Dame of the British Empire in 2003
- French Legion of Honor in 2006
- Grand Officer of the Order of Merit of the Italian Republic in 2011

Books by Jane Goodall

- 1969 My Friends the Wild Chimpanzees Washington, DC: National Geographic Society
- 1971 Innocent Killers (with H. van Lawick). Boston: Houghton Mifflin; London: Collins.
- 1971 In the Shadow of Man Boston: Houghton Mifflin; London: Collins. Published in 48 languages.
- 1986 *The Chimpanzees of Gombe: Patterns of Behavior* Boston: Bellknap Press of the Harvard University Press. Published also in Japanese and Russian.
- 1990 Through a Window: 30 years observing the Gombe chimpanzees London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson; Boston: Houghton Mifflin. Translated into more than 15 languages. 1991 Penguin edition, UK.
- 1991 Visions of Caliban (co-authored with Dale Peterson, PhD). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- 1999 Brutal Kinship (with Michael Nichols). New York: Aperture Foundation.
- 1999 Reason For Hope; A Spiritual Journey (with Phillip Berman). New York: Warner Books, Inc. Translated into Japanese and Portuguese.
- 2000 40 Years At Gombe New York: Stewart, Tabori, and Chang.
- 2000 Africa In My Blood (edited by Dale Peterson). New York: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- 2002 The Ten Trusts: What We Must Do To Care for the Animals We Love (with Marc Bekoff). San Francisco: Harper San Francisco
- 2005 Harvest for Hope: A Guide to Mindful Eating New York: Warner Books, Inc.
- 2009 Hope for Animals and Their World: How Endangered Species Are Being Rescued from the Brink Grand Central Publishing
- 2013 Seeds of Hope: Wisdom and Wonder from the World of Plants (with Gail Hudson) Grand Central Publishing

162

Children's books by Jane Goodall

- 1972 Grub: The Bush Baby (with H. van Lawick). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- 1988 My Life with the Chimpanzees New York: Byron Preiss Visual Publications, Inc. Translated into French, Japanese and Chinese.
- 1989 *The Chimpanzee Family Book* Saxonville, MA: Picture Book Studio; Munich: Neugebauer Press; London: Picture Book Studio. Translated into more than 15 languages, including Japanese and Swahili.
- 1989 Jane Goodall's Animal World: Chimps New York: Macmillan.
- 1989 Animal Family Series: Chimpanzee Family; Lion Family; Elephant Family; Zebra Family; Giraffe Family; Baboon Family; Hyena Family; Wildebeest Family Toronto: Madison Marketing Ltd.
- 1994 With Love New York / London: North-South Books. Translated into German, French, Italian, and Japanese.
- 1999 Dr. White (illustrated by Julie Litty). New York: North-South Books.
- 2000 *The Eagle & the Wren* (illustrated by Alexander Reichstein). New York: North-South Books.
- 2001 Chimpanzees I Love: Saving Their World and Ours New York: Scholastic Press
- 2004 *Rickie and Henri: A True Story* (with Alan Marks) Penguin Young Readers Group

Films

- 1965 Miss Goodall and the Wild Chimpanzees National Geographic Society
- 1975 *Miss Goodall: The Hyena Story* The World of Animal Behavior Series 16mm 1979 version for DiscoVision, not released for LaserDisc
- 1984 Among the Wild Chimpanzees National Geographic Special
- 1988 *People of the Forest* with Hugo van Lawick
- 1990 *Chimpanzee Alert* in the Nature Watch Series, Central Television
- 1990 The Life and Legend of Jane Goodall National Geographic Society.
- 1990 The Gombe Chimpanzees Bavarian Television
- 1995 Fifi's Boys for the Natural World series for the BBC
- 1996 *Chimpanzee Diary* for BBC2 Animal Zone
- 1997 Animal Mindsfor BBC
- Goodall voiced herself in the animated TV series The Wild Thornberrys.
- 2000 Jane Goodall: Reason For Hope PBS special produced by KTCA
- 2001 Chimps R Us, on season 11, episode 8. Scientific American Frontiers. Chedd-Angier Production Company.
- 2002 Jane Goodall's Wild Chimpanzees (IMAX format), in collaboration with Science North
- 2005 Jane Goodall's Return to Gombe for Animal Planet
- 2006 Chimps, So Like Us HBO film nominated for 1990 Academy Award
- 2007 When Animals Talk We Should Listen, theatrical documentary feature coproduced by Animal Planet

- 2010 Jane's Journey. theatrical documentary feature co-produced by Animal Planet
- 2012 *Chimpanzee*, theatrical nature documentary feature co-produced by Disneynature
- 2017 Jane, biographical documentary film National Geographic Studios, in association with Public Road Productions. The film is directed and written by Brett Morgen, music by Philip Glass

6.5 Dr. Goodall's 2020 Hardtalk interview with Stephen Sackur

In a recent BBC interview with Stephen Sackur, Jane Goodall expressed her concern that chimpanzees and many other species of animals may become extinct because of loss of habitat to human farms. The interview is available in the following link:

https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/w3cszc21

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. Meg Greene Jane Goodall: A Biography Greenwood Publishing Group, 2005
- 2. Dale Peterson Jane Goodall: The Woman who Redefined Man Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2006
- 3. Lynn Margulis, Eduardo Punset Mind, Life and Universe: Conversations with Great Scientists of Our Time Chelsea Green Publishing, 2007
- 4. Goodall, Jane; Peterson, Dale. Beyond Innocence: An Autobiography in Letters: The Later Years. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, (25 September 2002)

Chapter 7

POPE FRANCIS I

7.1 From Argentina to Rome

Early life in Argentina

His Holiness Pope Francis I was born in Buenes Aires, Argentina, in 1936. His original name was Jorge Mario Bergoglio, and both of his parents had emigrated from Italy to Argentina in order to escape from Mussolini's fascism. He was to become the first Pope from outside Europe since the Syrian Gregory II, who reigned in the 8th century.

Ordained as a priest

Jorge Bergoglio was ordained a Catholic priest in 1969. From 1973 to 1979 was Argentina's provincial superior of the Society of Jesus (Jesuits). He became the Archbishop of Buenes Aires in 1998. He made numerous journeys to Europe to study philosophy and languages. In 2001, Bergoglio was created a cardinal by Pope John Paul II.

A life of humility and simplicity

Throughout his career in the church, Bergoglio worked for the betterment of the poor, and chose for himself a life of humility and simplicity. In Argentina, he was known as the "slum archbishop" because of his work with poor slum-dwellers. When he was ordained Pope in 2013, he chose Francis as his papal name because of his admiration for the life and work of St. Francis of Assisi. In Rome, he does not live in the Papal Palace, but in a simple apartment, and he often travels to work by public transport.

7.2 Pope Francis addresses the climate emergency

In June, 2015, His Holiness Pope Francis I addressed the climate crisis in an encyclical entitled "Laudato Si'"¹. Here are a few excerpts from this enormously important encyclical, which is addressed not only to the world's 1.2 billion Catholics, but also to concerned people of all faiths. After reviewing the contributions of his predecessors. Pope Francis makes the following points:

23. The climate is a common good, belonging to all and meant for all. At the global level, it is a complex system linked to many of the essential conditions for human life. A very solid scientific consensus indicates that we are presently witnessing a disturbing warming of the climatic system. In recent decades this warming has been accompanied by a constant rise in the sea level and, it would appear, by an increase of extreme weather events, even if a scientifically determinable cause cannot be assigned to each particular phenomenon. Humanity is called to recognize the need for changes of lifestyle, production and consumption, in order to combat this warming or at least the human causes which produce or aggravate it. It is true that there are other factors (such as volcanic activity, variations in the earth's orbit and axis, the solar cycle), yet a number of scientific studies indicate that most global warming in recent decades is due to the great concentration of greenhouse gases (carbon dioxide, methane, nitrogen oxides and others) released mainly as a result of human activity. As these gases build up in the atmosphere, they hamper the escape of heat produced by sunlight at the earth's surface. The problem is aggravated by a model of development based on the intensive use of fossil fuels, which is at the heart of the worldwide energy system. Another determining factor has been an increase in changed uses of the soil, principally deforestation for agricultural purposes.

24. Warming has effects on the carbon cycle. It creates a vicious circle which aggravates the situation even more, affecting the availability of essential resources like drinking water, energy and agricultural production in warmer regions, and leading to the extinction of part of the planet's biodiversity. The melting in the polar ice caps and in high altitude plains can lead to the dangerous release of methane gas, while the decomposition of frozen organic material can further increase the emission of carbon dioxide. Things are made worse by the loss of tropical forests which would otherwise help to mitigate climate change. Carbon dioxide pollution increases the acidification of the oceans and compromises the marine food chain. If present trends continue, this century may well witness extraordinary climate change and an unprecedented destruction of ecosystems, with serious consequences for all of us. A rise in the sea

¹https://unfccc.int/news/pope-francis-releases-encyclical-on-climate-and-environment



Figure 7.1: His Holiness Pope Francis I has delivered an extremely important encyclical addressing the urgent problem of climate change.

LIVES IN ECOLOGY



Figure 7.2: Pope Francis among the people at St. Peter's Square, 12 May, 2013 - "Papa Rock Star".



Figure 7.3: On April 16, 2019, Pope Francis met with teenage climate activist Greta Thunberg, and encouraged her to continue with he important work.

level, for example, can create extremely serious situations, if we consider that a quarter of the world's population lives on the coast or nearby, and that the majority of our megacities are situated in coastal areas.

25. Climate change is a global problem with grave implications: environmental, social, economic, political and for the distribution of goods. It represents one of the principal challenges facing humanity in our day. Its worst impact will probably be felt by developing countries in coming decades. Many of the poor live in areas particularly affected by phenomena related to warming, and their means of subsistence are largely dependent on natural reserves and ecosystemic services such as agriculture, fishing and forestry. They have no other financial activities or resources which can enable them to adapt to climate change or to face natural disasters, and their access to social services and protection is very limited. For example, changes in climate, to which animals and plants cannot adapt, lead them to migrate; this in turn affects the livelihood of the poor, who are then forced to leave their homes, with great uncertainty for their future and that of their children. There has been a tragic rise in the number of migrants seeking to flee from the growing poverty caused by environmental degradation. They are not recognized by international conventions as refugees; they bear the loss of the lives they have left behind, without enjoying any legal protection whatsoever. Sadly, there is widespread indifference to such suffering, which is even now taking place throughout our world. Our lack of response to these tragedies involving our brothers and sisters points to the loss of that sense of responsibility for our fellow men and women upon which all civil society is founded.

26. Many of those who possess more resources and economic or political power seem mostly to be concerned with masking the problems or concealing their symptoms, simply making efforts to reduce some of the negative impacts of climate change. However, many of these symptoms indicate that such effects will continue to worsen if we continue with current models of production and consumption. There is an urgent need to develop policies so that, in the next few years, the emission of carbon dioxide and other highly polluting gases can be drastically reduced, for example, substituting for fossil fuels and developing sources of renewable energy. Worldwide there is minimal access to clean and renewable energy. There is still a need to develop adequate storage technologies. Some countries have made considerable progress, although it is far from constituting a significant proportion. Investments have also been made in means of production and transportation which consume less energy and require fewer raw materials, as well as in methods of construction and renovating buildings which improve their energy efficiency. But these good practices are still far from widespread.

II: THE ISSUE OF WATER

27. Other indicators of the present situation have to do with the depletion of natural resources. We all know that it is not possible to sustain the present level of consumption in developed countries and wealthier sectors of society, where the habit of wasting and discarding has reached unprecedented levels. The exploitation of the planet has already exceeded acceptable limits and we still have not solved the problem of poverty.

28. Fresh drinking water is an issue of primary importance, since it is indispensable for human life and for supporting terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Sources of fresh water are necessary for health care, agriculture and industry. Water supplies used to be relatively constant, but now in many places demand exceeds the sustainable supply, with dramatic consequences in the short and long term. Large cities dependent on significant supplies of water have experienced periods of shortage, and at critical moments these have not always been administered with sufficient oversight and impartiality. Water poverty especially affects Africa where large sectors of the population have no access to safe drinking water or experience droughts which impede agricultural production. Some countries have areas rich in water while others endure drastic scarcity.

29. One particularly serious problem is the quality of water available to the poor. Every day, unsafe water results in many deaths and the spread of water-related diseases, including those caused by microorganisms and chemical sub-stances. Dysentery and cholera, linked to inadequate hygiene and water supplies, are a significant cause of suffering and of infant mortality. Underground water sources in many places are threatened by the pollution produced in certain mining, farming and industrial activities, especially in countries lacking adequate regulation or controls. It is not only a question of industrial waste. Detergents and chemical products, commonly used in many places of the world, continue to pour into our rivers, lakes and seas.

30. Even as the quality of available water is constantly diminishing, in some places there is a growing tendency, despite its scarcity, to privatize this resource, turning it into a commodity subject to the laws of the market. Yet access to safe drinkable water is a basic and universal human right, since it is essential to human survival and, as such, is a condition for the exercise of other human rights. Our world has a grave social debt towards the poor who lack access to drinking water, because they are denied the right to a life consistent with their inalienable dignity. This debt can be paid partly by an increase in funding to provide clean water and sanitary services among the poor. But water continues to be wasted, not only in the developed world but also in developing countries which possess it in abundance. This shows that the problem of water is partly an educational and cultural issue, since there is little awareness of the seriousness of such behaviour within a context of great inequality.

31. Greater scarcity of water will lead to an increase in the cost of food and the various products which depend on its use. Some studies warn that an acute water shortage may occur within a few decades unless urgent action is taken. The environmental repercussions could affect billions of people; it is also conceivable that the control of water by large multinational businesses may become a major source of conflict in this century.

III: LOSS OF BIODIVERSITY

32. The earth's resources are also being plundered because of short-sighted approaches to the economy, commerce and production. The loss of forests and woodlands entails the loss of species which may constitute extremely important resources in the future, not only for food but also for curing disease and other uses. Different species contain genes which could be key resources in years ahead for meeting human needs and regulating environmental problems.

33. It is not enough, however, to think of different species merely as potential "resources" to be exploited, while overlooking the fact that they have value in themselves. Each year sees the disappearance of thousands of plant and animal species which we will never know, which our children will never see, because they have been lost for ever. The great majority become extinct for reasons related to human activity. Because of us, thousands of species will no longer give glory to God by their very existence, nor convey their message to us. We have no such right.

34. It may well disturb us to learn of the extinction of mammals or birds, since they are more visible. But the good functioning of ecosystems also requires fungi, algae, worms, insects, reptiles and an innumerable variety of microorganisms. Some less numerous species, although generally unseen, nonetheless play a critical role in maintaining the equilibrium of a particular place. Human beings must intervene when a geosystem reaches a critical state. But nowadays, such intervention in nature has become more and more frequent. As a consequence, serious problems arise, leading to further interventions; human activity becomes ubiquitous, with all the risks which this entails. Often a vicious circle results, as human intervention to resolve a problem further aggravates the situation. For example, many birds and insects which disappear due to synthetic agrotoxins are helpful for agriculture: their disappearance will have to be compensated for by yet other techniques which may well prove harmful. We must be grateful for the praiseworthy efforts being made by scientists and engineers dedicated to finding solutions to man-made problems. But a sober look at our world shows that the degree of human intervention, often in the service of business interests and consumerism, is actually making our earth less rich and beautiful, ever more limited and grey, even as technological advances and consumer goods continue to abound limitlessly. We seem to think that we can substitute an irreplaceable and irretrievable beauty with something which we have created ourselves.

35. In assessing the environmental impact of any project, concern is usually shown for its effects on soil, water and air, yet few careful studies are made of its impact on biodiversity, as if the loss of species or animals and plant groups were of little importance. Highways, new plantations, the fencing-off of certain areas, the damming of water sources, and similar developments, crowd out natural habitats and, at times, break them up in such a way that animal populations can no longer migrate or roam freely. As a result, some species face extinction. Alternatives exist which at least lessen the impact of these projects, like the creation of biological corridors, but few countries demonstrate such concern and foresight. Frequently, when certain species are exploited commercially, little attention is paid to studying their reproductive patterns in order to prevent their depletion and the consequent imbalance of the ecosystem.

36. Caring for ecosystems demands far-sightedness, since no one looking for quick and easy profit is truly interested in their preservation. But the cost of the damage caused by such selfish lack of concern is much greater than the economic benefits to be obtained. Where certain species are destroyed or seriously harmed, the values involved are incalculable. We can be silent witnesses to terrible injustices if we think that we can obtain significant benefits by making the rest of humanity, present and future, pay the extremely high costs of environmental deterioration.

37. Some countries have made significant progress in establishing sanctuaries on land and in the oceans where any human intervention is prohibited which might modify their features or alter their original structures. In the protection of biodiversity, specialists insist on the need for particular attention to be shown to areas richer both in the number of species and in endemic, rare or less protected species. Certain places need greater protection because of their immense importance for the global ecosystem, or because they represent important water reserves and thus safeguard other forms of life.

38. Let us mention, for example, those richly biodiverse lungs of our planet which are the Amazon and the Congo basins, or the great aquifers and glaciers. We know how important these are for the entire earth and for the future of humanity. The ecosystems of tropical forests possess an enormously complex biodiversity which is almost impossible to appreciate fully, yet when these forests are burned down or levelled for purposes of cultivation, within the space of a few years countless species are lost and the areas frequently become arid wastelands. A delicate balance has to be maintained when speaking about these places, for we cannot overlook the huge global economic interests which, under the guise of protecting them, can undermine the sovereignty of individual nations. In fact, there are "proposals to internationalize the Amazon, which only serve the economic interests of transnational corporations". We cannot fail to praise the commitment of international agencies and civil society organizations which draw public attention to these issues and offer critical cooperation, employing legitimate means of pressure, to ensure that each government carries out its proper and inalienable responsibility to preserve its country's environment and natural resources, without capitulating to spurious local or international interests.

39. The replacement of virgin forest with plantations of trees, usually monocultures, is rarely adequately analyzed. Yet this can seriously compromise a biodiversity which the new species being introduced does not accommodate. Similarly, wetlands converted into cultivated land lose the enormous biodiversity which they formerly hosted. In some coastal areas the disappearance of ecosystems sustained by mangrove swamps is a source of serious concern.

40. Oceans not only contain the bulk of our planet's water supply, but also most of the immense variety of living creatures, many of them still unknown to us and threatened for various reasons. What is more, marine life in rivers, lakes, seas and oceans, which feeds a great part of the world's population, is affected by uncontrolled fishing, leading to a drastic depletion of certain species. Selective forms of fishing which discard much of what they collect continue unabated. Particularly threatened are marine organisms which we tend to overlook, like some forms of plankton; they represent a significant element in the ocean food chain, and species used for our food ultimately depend on them.

41. In tropical and subtropical seas, we find coral reefs comparable to the great forests on dry land, for they shelter approximately a million species, including fish, crabs, molluscs, sponges and algae. Many of the world's coral reefs are already barren or in a state of constant decline. "Who turned the wonderworld of the seas into underwater cemeteries bereft of colour and life?" This phenomenon is due largely to pollution which reaches the sea as the result of deforestation, agricultural monocultures, industrial waste and destructive fishing methods, especially those using cyanide and dynamite. It is aggravated by the rise in temperature of the oceans. All of this helps us to see that every intervention in nature can have consequences which are not immediately evident, and that certain ways of exploiting resources prove costly in terms of degradation which ultimately reaches the ocean bed itself.

42. Greater investment needs to be made in research aimed at understanding more fully the functioning of ecosystems and adequately analyzing the different variables associated with any significant modification of the environment. Because all creatures are connected, each must be cherished with love and respect, for all of us as living creatures are dependent on one another. Each area is responsible for the care of this family. This will require undertaking a careful inventory of the species which it hosts, with a view to developing programmes and strategies of protection with particular care for safeguarding species heading towards extinction.

7.3 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio

A long personal audience with Pope Francis

Pope Francis granted the famous actor a long personal audience, during which they discussed the climate emergency. DiCaprio said later that he was deeply impressed with the seriousness with which Pope Francis addressed the crisis.

DiCaprio describes *Before the Flood* at its premier

At the European premier of his $film^2$ in London in October, 2016, Leonardo DiCaprio introduced it with the following words:

Before The Flood is the product of an incredible three-year journey that took place with my co-creator and director Fisher Stevens. We went to every corner of the globe to document the devastating impacts of climate change and questioned humanity's ability to reverse what may be the most catastrophic problem mankind has ever faced. There was a lot to take in. All that we witnessed on this journey shows us that our world's climate is incredibly interconnected and that it is at urgent breaking point. ... We wanted to create a film that gave people a sense of urgency, that made them understand what particular things are going to solve this problem. We bring up the issue of a carbon tax, for example, which I haven't seen in a lot of documentaries. Basically, sway a capitalist economy to try to invest in renewables, to bring less money and subsidies out of oil companies. These are the things that are really going to make a massive difference. ... We need to use our vote ... We cannot afford to have political leaders out there that do not believe in modern science or the scientific method or empirical truths ... We cannot afford to waste time

²https://wow.filmsforaction.org/watch/before-the-flood-2016/



Figure 7.4: Pope Francis and Leonardo DiCaprio discussing DiCaprio's important film, *Before the Flood*.

having people in power that choose to believe in the 2 percent of the scientific community that is basically bought off by lobbyists and oil companies.

Evangelli Gaudium

In his exhortation Evangelli Gaudium, Pope Francis wrote:

Just as the commandment "Thou shalt not kill" sets a clear limit in order to safeguard the value of human life, today we also have to say "thou shalt not" to an economy of exclusion and inequality. Such an economy kills... A new tyranny is thus born, invisible and often virtual, which unilaterally and relentlessly imposes its own laws and rules. To all this we can add widespread corruption and self-serving tax evasion, which has taken on worldwide dimensions. The thirst for power and possessions knows no limits.



Figure 7.5: Leonardo DiCaprio presented Pope Francis with the reproduction of a painting by Hieronymus Bosch, *The Garden of Earthly Delights*. The painting had stood beside the famous actor's bed when he was a child. It shows the world before and after the Biblical deluge.



Figure 7.6: Leonardo DiCaprio's important and eloquent film is the result of interviews with experts in all parts of the world, as well as personal observations.

LIVES IN ECOLOGY



Figure 7.7: Leonardo DiCaprio discussing the climate emergency with US President Barack Obama.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. Allen, John L. (2015). The Francis Miracle: Inside the Transformation of the Pope and the Church. New York: Time.
- Borghesi, Massimo (2018) [Italian original, 2017]. The Mind of Pope Francis: Jorge Mario Bergoglio's Intellectual Journey. Translated by Hudock, Barry. Collegeville, Minnesota: Liturgical Press.
- 3. Douthat, Ross (2018). To Change the Church: Pope Francis and the Future of Catholicism. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- 4. Ivereigh, Austen (2014). The Great Reformer: Francis and the Making of a Radical Pope. New York: Henry Holt.
- 5. Reato, Ceferino (2015). Doce noches [Twelve nights] (in Spanish). Argentina: Sudamericana.
- 6. Rosales, Luis; Olivera, Daniel (2013). *Francis: A pope for our time*. United States: Umanix Books.
- 7. Rubin, Sergio; Ambrogetti, Francesca (2010). *El Jesuita [The jesuit]* (in Spanish) (1 ed.). Argentina: Vergara Editor.
- 8. Vallely, Paul (2015). Pope Francis: Untying the Knots: The Struggle for the Soul of Catholicism (Revised and expanded ed.). London: Bloomsbury.
- 9. Willey, David (2015). The Promise of Francis: The Man, the Pope, and the Challenge of Change. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Chapter 8

JAMES HANSEN, BILL MCKIBBEN, AND AOC

8.1 Understanding the atmosphere of Venus

James Hansen was born in 1941 in Denison, Iowa. He was educated in physics, mathematics and astronomy at the University of Iowa in the space sciences program initiated James Van Allen. He graduated with great distinction. The studies of the atmosphere and temperature of Venus which Hansen made under Van Allen's supervision lead him to become extremely concerned about similar effects in the earth's atmosphere.

From 1962 to 1966, James Hansen participated in the National Aeronautical and Space Administration graduate traineeship and, at the same time, between 1965 and 1966, he was a visiting student at the Institute of Astrophysics at the University of Kyoto and in the Department of Astronomy at the University of Tokyo. Hansen then began work at the Goddard Institute for Space Studies in 1967. He began to work for the Goddard Institute for Space Studies in 1967. Between 1981 and 2913, he was hear of the Goddard Institute of Space Studies in New York, and since 2014, he has been the director of the Program on Climate Science, Awareness and Solutions at Columbia University's Earth Institute.

Hansen continued his work with radiative transfer models, attempting to understand the Venusian atmosphere. Later he applied and refined these models to understand the Earth's atmosphere, in particular, the effects that aerosols and trace gases have on Earth's climate. Hansen's development and use of global climate models has contributed to the further understanding of the Earth's climate. In 2009 his first book, Storms of My Grandchildren, was published.

James Hansen has refined climate change models, focusing on the balance between aerosols and greenhouse gases. He believes that there is a danger that climate change will become much more rapid if the balance shifts towards the greenhouse gases.

OUR WAR ON NATURE



Figure 8.1: Prof. James Hansen

Hansen's Congressional testimony leads to broad public awareness of the dangers

In 1988, Prof. Hansen was asked to testify before the US Congress on the danger of uncontrolled climate change. The testimony marked the start of broad public awareness of the seriousness of the danger, and it was reported in a front page article by the New York Times. However, Hansen believes that governmental energy policies still favor fossil fuels. Therefore he has participated in public demonstrations and he was even arrested in 2011 together with more than a thousand other activists for protesting outside the White House.

James Hansen's TED talk and book

In 2012 he presented a TED Talk: *Why I Must Speak Out About Climate Change*. This talk is easily available on the Internet, and it should be required viewing for everyone who is concerned with the earth's future.

Hansen's book, Storms of My Grandchildren: The Truth About The Coming Climate Catastrophe, and Our Last Chance To Save Humanity was published in New York by Bloomsbury Publishing in 2009.

8.2 350.org

8.3 The Climate Movement: What's Next?

Here are some excerpts from a recently published article nu Bill McKibben entitled *The Climate Movement: What's Next?* (Common Dreams, July 10, 2019):
I came to climate activism gradually. In 1989, when my book The End of Nature was published, it was the first book on global warming for a general audience. For the next fifteen, I worked mainly as a writer and speaker. That's because I was analyzing the problem incorrectly. In my estimation, we were arguing about the science of climate change. Is it real? How bad is it? How bad will it become? Being a writer, and an academic, I thought the right response seemed clear: shed light on the issue through more books, more articles, and more symposia.

At a certain point, though, I began to realize that we weren't engaged in an argument at all. The scientific debate had already been settled by about 1995, with the first major Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report. The scientific community had reached a clear consensus, yet governments did not take action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. We were in a fight, not a discourse. Like most fights, it was about power and money. Another book or symposium was unlikely to move the needle.

On the other side of the fight stood the fossil fuel industry, with the richest and hence most politically powerful - enterprises in human history. We weren't going to match them dollar for dollar, or even penny for dollar. History indicates that in such unequal situations, the only option is to build a movement large enough to provide a countervailing force. It has happened before, such as with the movements for women's suffrage, civil rights, and, most recently, marriage equality. Those were all hard fought, but a climate movement is harder because no one has made trillions of dollars being a bigot, but people do make trillions selling coal, oil and gas.

My expanded understanding prompted me to found 350.org, which initially consisted of myself and seven undergraduates. The biggest problem with climate change was that it seemed so large - and we seemed so small next to it. It was hard to feel hope and easy to walk away. Nevertheless, each student took one of the seven continents, and we set out to organize. All over the world, we found people who wanted to act. Our first task was to show that there was a large constituency for action. So, in our first big action in 2008, we managed to coordinate 5,100 simultaneous demonstrations in 181 countries, which CNN called the most widespread day of political action in the planet's history.

We've gone on to organize about 20,000 such rallies, in every country but North Korea. 350.org is still, I believe, the largest group that works solely on climate change, with a not-so-large staff of 120 spread around the world. On the ground, we have found a huge if diffuse movement, made up mostly of indigenous and other frontline communities bearing the brunt of the fossil fuel industry. Much of our work is thus focused on coordinating the multitude of worthy efforts already underway.

Given the urgency of the climate crisis, we also quickly saw the need to move beyond education to Given the urgency confrontation - hence, in the US, the birth of the continent-wide Keystone pipeline fight. There was already a movement in place in the tar sands of Alberta and on the prairies of Nebraska through which the proposed pipeline would pass. But we nationalized the movement, with demonstrations in DC and pressure on President Barack Obama. So far, the pipeline remains unbuilt. Every project like this around the world (e.g., fracking wells, coal ports, LNG terminals) is a target for opposition. We may not always win, but we always make life harder for the industry.

On another front, we realized that, to be successful, we needed to systematically confront the instruments used to sustain the dominance of fossil fuels. Thus, we launched the divestment movement in 2012 with the goal of reducing the financing for and, more importantly, social acceptance of the extraction of fossil fuels. It has grown much faster than we expected, and it is now the largest anti-corporate campaign of its kind in history, with commitments from endowments and other portfolios worth about \$8 trillion. Goldman Sachs said recently that the campaign is the main contributor to driving the prices of coal shares down sixty percent, and Shell said it had become a "material risk" to its business...

We are not going to stop climate change - that is no longer on the menu. Standing on the Greenland ice shelf last summer and seeing it melting was sobering. We're now playing for whether warming is going to reach 2, 3 or 4 °C, with the latter appearing increasingly likely. That range of temperature rise means we still can decide to sustain a livable civilization. But the window for survival is closing fast.

We must use this moment as crucial leverage to push the planet in a new direction. If we succeed, then we have risen to the greatest crisis humans have ever faced and shown that the big brain was a useful evolutionary adaptation.

8.4 Bill McKibben

Bill McKibben's biography (from the 350.org website)

Bill McKibben is an author and environmentalist who in 2014 was awarded the Right Livelihood Prize, sometimes called the "alternative Nobel". His 1989

book The End of Nature is regarded as the first book for a general audience about climate change, and has appeared in 24 languages; he's gone on to write a dozen more books. He is a founder of 350.org, the first planet-wide, grassroots climate change movement, which has organized twenty thousand rallies around the world in every country save North Korea, spearheaded the resistance to the Keystone Pipeline, and launched the fast-growing fossil fuel divestment movement.

The Schumann Distinguished Scholar in Environmental Studies at Middlebury College and a fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, he was the 2013 winner of the Gandhi Prize and the Thomas Merton Prize, and holds honorary degrees from 18 colleges and universities. Foreign Policy named him to their inaugural list of the world's 100 most important global thinkers, and the Boston Globe said he was "probably America's most important environmentalist."

A former staff writer for the New Yorker, he writes frequently for a wide variety of publications around the world, including the New York Review of Books, National Geographic, and Rolling Stone. He lives in the mountains above Lake Champlain with his wife, the writer Sue Halpern, where he spends as much time as possible outdoors. In 2014, biologists honored him by naming a new species of woodland gnat - Megophthalmidia mckibbeni - in his honor.

This climate strike is part of the disruption that we need

Here are excerpts from a September 3 2019 article by Bill McKibben, published in Yes Magazine;

Business as usual is what's doing us in.

We live on a planet that finds itself rather suddenly in the midst of an enormous physical crisis. Because we burn so much coal and gas and oil, the atmosphere of our world is changing rapidly, and that atmospheric change is producing record heat. July was the hottest month we've ever recorded. Scientists predict with confidence that we stand on the edge of the sixth great extinction event of the last billion years. People are dying in large numbers and being left homeless; millions are already on the move because they have no choice.

And yet we continue on with our usual patterns. We get up each morning and do pretty much what we did the day before. It's not like the last time we were in an existential crisis, when Americans signed up for the Army and crossed the Atlantic to face down fascism and when the people back home signed up for new jobs and changed their daily lives.

That's why it's such good news that the climate movement has a new tactic. Pioneered last August by Greta Thunberg of Sweden, it involves disrupting business as usual. It began, of course, in schools: Within months, millions



Figure 8.2: The American author, journalist and environmental activist Bill McKibben (born in 1960) is the founder and leader of 350.org, an important organization that campaigns world-wide for the immediate reduction of CO_2 emissions. Wikipedia writes of him: "In 2009, he led 350.org's organization of 5,200 simultaneous demonstrations in 181 countries. In 2010, McKibben and 350.org conceived the 10/10/10 Global Work Party, which convened more than 7,000 events in 188 countries." After graduating from Harvard in 1982, McKibben worked for five years as a writer for the New Yorker Magazine, after which he produced numerous books on the dangers of climate change. 350.org takes it's name from James Hansen's statement that "If humanity wishes to preserve a planet similar to that on which civilization developed and to which life on Earth is adapted, paleoclimate evidence and ongoing climate change suggest that CO_2 will need to be reduced from its current 385 ppm to at most 350 ppm, but likely less than that." (Today the atmospheric CO_2 concentration has exceeded 400 ppm!). In 2014, Bill McKibben and 350.org shared the Right Livelihood Award, which is often called the "Alternative Nobel Prize".

of young people around the world were striking for days at a time from their classes. Their logic was impeccable: If the institutions of our planet can't be bothered to prepare for a world we can live in, why must we spend years preparing ourselves? If you break the social contract, why are we bound by it?

And now those young people have asked the rest of us to join in. After the last great school strike in May, they asked adults to take part next time. The date is Sept. 20, and the location is absolutely everywhere. Big trade unions in South Africa and Germany are telling workers to take the day off. Ben and Jerry's is closing down its headquarters (stock up in advance), and if you want to buy Lush cosmetics, you're going to be out of luck. The largest rally will likely be in New York City, where the U.N. General Assembly begins debating climate change that week - but there will be gatherings in every state and every country. It will almost certainly be the biggest day of climate action in the planet's history. (If you want to be a part - and you do want to be a part - go to globalclimatestrike.net.)

8.5 Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (born in 1989) won a stunning victory in the Democratic Party primary election of June 26, 2018. Although outspent by a factor of 18 to 1 by her opponent (Democratic Caucus Chair, Joseph Crawley), she won the primary by 57% to 42%. Her campaign contributions came from small individual donors, while his came in large blocks, from corporations. Ocasio-Cortez calls for the United States to transition by 2035 to an electrical grid running on 100% renewable-energy production and end the use of fossil fuels. She calls healthcare "a human right", and says: "Almost every other developed nation in the world has universal healthcare. It's time the United States catch up to the rest of the world in ensuring all people have real healthcare coverage that doesn't break the bank".

The Guardian called her victory "one of the biggest upsets in recent American political history", and Senator Bernie Sanders commented "She took on the entire local Democratic establishment in her district and won a very strong victory. She demonstrated once again what progressive grassroots politics can do". The lesson that the US Democratic Party must learn from this is that in order to overthrow Donald Trump's openly racist and climate-change-denying Republican Party, they must free themselves from the domination of corporate oligarchs, and instead stand for honest government and progressive values.

Even before taking her place in the US House of Representatives, with its newly-won Democratic majority, Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez became the leader of a campaign for a Green New Deal. This program takes its inspiration from the massive Federal government program by which Franklin Delano Roosevelt ended the depression of the 1930's. FDR's New Deal built dams, planted forests, and in general to create much needed infrastructure, while at the same time addressing the problem of unemployment by providing jobs. Wikipedia describes FDR's New Deal as follows:

"The New Deal was a series of programs, public work projects, financial reforms and



Figure 8.3: 28-year-old Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (born in 1989) won a stunning victory in the Democratic Party primary election of June 26, 2018. Although outspent by a factor of 18 to 1 by her opponent (Democratic Caucus Chair, Joseph Crawley), she won the primary by 57% to 42%. Her campaign contributions came from small individual donors, while his came in large blocks, from corporations. Ocasio-Cortez calls for the United States to transition by 2035 to an electrical grid running on 100% renewable-energy production and end the use of fossil fuels. She calls healthcare "a human right", and says: "Almost every other developed nation in the world has universal healthcare. It's time the United States catch up to the rest of the world in ensuring all people have real healthcare coverage that doesn't break the bank". The Guardian called her victory "one of the biggest upsets in recent American political history", and Senator Bernie Sanders commented "She took on the entire local Democratic establishment in her district and won a very strong victory. She demonstrated once again what progressive grassroots politics can do". The lesson that the US Democratic Party must learn from this is that in order to overthrow Donald Trump's openly racist Republican Party in the 2020 elections, they must free themselves from the domination of corporate oligarchs, and instead stand for honest government and progressive values.

regulations enacted by President Franklin D. Roosevelt in the United States between 1933 and 1936. It responded to needs for relief, reform and recovery from the Great Depression. Major federal programs included the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC), the Civil Works Administration (CWA), the Farm Security Administration (FSA), the National Industrial Recovery Act of 1933 (NIRA) and the Social Security Administration (SSA). They provided support for farmers, the unemployed, youth and the elderly. The New Deal included new constraints and safeguards on the banking industry and efforts to re-inflate the economy after prices had fallen sharply. New Deal programs included both laws passed by Congress as well as presidential executive orders during the first term of the presidency of Franklin D. Roosevelt. The programs focused on what historians refer to as the '3 Rs': relief for the unemployed and poor, recovery of the economy back to normal levels and reform of the financial system to prevent a repeat depression."

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez believes that the climate emergency that the world now faces is a much more severe emergency than the great depression. Indeed, if quick action is not taken immediately, the long-term effects of catastrophic climate change pose existential threats to human civilization and the biosphere. Therefore she advocates a massive governmental program to create renewable energy infrastructure. Such a program, like FDR's New Deal, would simultaneously solve the problem of unemployment. Money for the program could be taken from the Pentagon's obscenely bloated budget. Ocasio-Cortez has also proposed a 70% income tax for the ultra-wealthy.

According to a January 24 2019 article by Robert R. Raymond, "When polled, 92 percent of registered Democratic voters say they support the Green New Deal. But perhaps more importantly, a full 81 percent of all registered voters support it - a number that includes both Republicans and Democrats."¹

House Speaker Nancy Pelosi is facing criticism from some climate activists for failing to back a Green New Deal. Last week Pelosi announced the formation of a new Select Committee on the Climate Crisis, headed by long-standing Florida Congressmember Kathy Castor. But the committee is far weaker than what backers of a Green New Deal had envisioned. The committee will not have subpoen power or the power to draft legislation. We speak with Varshini Prakash, founder of the Sunrise Movement, a youth-led climate group that has occupied and lobbied at congressional offices, risking arrest to demand adoption of the Green New Deal and bold climate leadership.

¹https://truthout.org/articles/the-democratic-party-is-further-to-the-right-than-most-voters/



Figure 8.4: The Green New Deal advocated by Ocasio-Cortez proposes to use jobs creating renewable energy infrastructure to ensure full employment, in a manner analogous to Roosevelt's New Deal.



Figure 8.5: Members of the Sunrise movement in the office of House Majority Leader Nancy Pelosi, protesting against her lack of support for the Green New Deal.



8.6 Realities of climate change

Predictions of drought in the Stern Review

According to a report presented to the Oxford Institute of Economic Policy by Sir Nicholas Stern on 31 January, 2006, areas likely to lose up to 30% of their rainfall by the 2050's because of climate change include much of the United States, Brazil, the Mediterranean region, Eastern Russia and Belarus, the Middle East, Southern Africa and Southern Australia. Meanwhile rainfall is predicted to increase up to 30% in Central Africa, Pakistan, India, Bangladesh, Siberia, and much of China.

Stern and his team point out that "We can... expect to see changes in the Indian monsoon, which could have a huge impact on the lives of hundreds of millions of people in India, Pakistan and Bangladesh. Most climate models suggest that the monsoon will change, although there is still uncertainty about exactly how. Nevertheless, small changes in the monsoon could have a huge impact. Today, a fluctuation of just 10% in either direction from average monsoon rainfall is known to cause either severe flooding or drought. A weak summer monsoon, for example, can lead to poor harvests and food shortages among the rural population - two-thirds of India's almost 1.1 billion people. Heavier-than-usual monsoon downpours can also have devastating consequences..."

In some regions, melting of glaciers can be serious from the standpoint of dry-season water supplies. For example, melts from glaciers in the Hindu Kush and the Himalayas now supply much of Asia, including China and India, with a dry-season water supply. Complete melting of these glacial systems would cause an exaggerated runoff for a few decades, after which there would be a drying out of some of the most densely populated regions of the world.

Ocean current changes and failure of monsoons

It is expected that climate change will affect ocean currents, and hence also affect monsoon rainfall. We are already experiencing a diversion of the Gulf Stream due to southward currents of cold water from melting ice in the Arctic. This has caused what is known as the *North Atlantic Anomaly*. While most regions of the world are experiencing rising temperatures, the North Atlantic and several northern European countries are exceptions to this rule, and have cooled. Complete failure of the Gulf Stream would lead to much colder temperatures in Europe.

Changes in ocean currents have already lead to the failure of the West African Monsoon, and this has already produced severe food insecurity in West Africa.

In the future, climate-changed ocean currents may lead to failures of monsoons in South-east Asia, and thus damage the food supply of almost two billion people.

Falling water tables around the world

Under many desert areas of the world are deeply buried water tables formed during glacial periods when the climate of these regions was wetter. These regions include the Middle East and large parts of Africa. Water can be withdrawn from such ancient reservoirs by deep wells and pumping, but only for a limited amount of time.

In oil-rich Saudi Arabia, petroenergy is used to drill wells for ancient water and to bring it to the surface. Much of this water is used to irrigate wheat fields, and this is done to such an extent that Saudi Arabia exports wheat. The country is, in effect, exporting its ancient heritage of water, a policy that it may, in time, regret. A similarly short-sighted project is Muammar Qaddafi's enormous pipeline, which will bring water from ancient sub-desert reservoirs to coastal cities.

In the United States, the great Ogallala aquifer is being overdrawn. This aquifer is an enormous stratum of water-saturated sand and gravel under-lying parts of northern Texas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Kansas, Colorado, Nebraska, Wyoming and South Dakota. The average thickness of the aquifer is about 70 meters. The rate of water withdrawal from the aquifer exceeds the rate of recharge by a factor of eight.

Thus we can see that in many regions, the earth's present population is living on its inheritance of water, rather than its income. This fact, coupled with rapidly increasing populations and climate change, may contribute to a very serious food crisis partway through the 21st century.

Glacial melting and summer water supplies

The summer water supplies of both China and India are threatened by the melting of glaciers. The Gangotri glacier, which is the principle glacier feeding India's great Ganges River, is reported to be melting at an accelerating rate, and it could disappear within a few decades. If this happens, the Ganges could become seasonal, flowing only during the monsoon season. Chinese agriculture is also threatened by disappearing Himalayan glaciers, in this case those on the Tibet-Quinghai Plateau. The respected Chinese glaciologist Yao Tandong estimates that the glaciers feeding the Yangtze and Yellow Rivers are disappearing at the rate of 7% per year.²

Loss of Arctic sea ice

The melting of Arctic sea ice is taking place far more rapidly than was predicted by IPCC reports. David Wasdell, Director of the Apollo-Gaia Project, points out that the observed melting has been so rapid that within less than five years, the Arctic may be free of sea ice at the end of each summer. It will, of course continue to re-freeze during the winters, but the thickness and extent of the winter ice will diminish.

For January 2016, the satellite based data showed the lowest overall Arctic sea ice extent of any January since records begun in 1979. Bob Henson from *Wunderground* commented: "Hand in hand with the skimpy ice cover, temperatures across the Arctic have been extraordinarily warm for midwinter. Just before New Year's, a slug of mild air pushed temperatures above freezing to within 200 miles of the North Pole. That warm pulse quickly dissipated, but it was followed by a series of intense North Atlantic cyclones that sent very mild air poleward, in tandem with a strongly negative Arctic Oscillation during the first three weeks of the month."

During some periods, Arctic temperatures have been 50°C above normal for the time of year. Equally alarming is the fact that plumes of methane several $\rm km^2$ in area have been observed bubbling up from the sea floor in the shallow ice-free seas north of Russia.³

Temperature and CO_2 in ice cores

Ice cores from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets and from glaciers have yielded valuable data on climate changes as far back as 800,000 years in the past. The ice cores show that there is a close correlation between global temperatures and the CO₂ content of the atmosphere. The cores also show that climatic changes can take place with great rapidity.

 $^{^{2}} http://www.commondreams.org/news/2015/08/04/global-glaciers-melting-three-times-rate-20 th-century$

³N. Shakhova et al., *Methane release on the Arctic East Siberian shelf*, Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol.9, 01071, 2007

An article by Richard B. Alley in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Science $(US)^4$ Here is an excerpt from the article:

"Ice-core records show that climate changes in the past have been large, rapid, and synchronous over broad areas extending into low latitudes, with less variability over historical times. These ice-core records come from high mountain glaciers and the polar regions, including small ice caps and the large ice sheets of Greenland and Antarctica.

"As the world slid into and out of the last ice age, the general cooling and warming trends were punctuated by abrupt changes. Climate shifts up to half as large as the entire difference between ice age and modern conditions occurred over hemispheric or broader regions in mere years to decades. Such abrupt changes have been absent during the few key millennia when agriculture and industry have arisen. The speed, size, and extent of these abrupt changes required a reappraisal of climate stability. Records of these changes are especially clear in high-resolution ice cores. Ice cores can preserve histories of local climate (snowfall, temperature), regional (wind-blown dust, sea salt, etc.), and broader (trace gases in the air) conditions, on a common time scale, demonstrating synchrony of climate changes over broad regions."

Short-term sea level rise

The *National Geographic* recently published an article by Laura Parker entitled "Sea Level Rise Will Flood Hundreds of Cities in the Near Future+."⁵ Here are a few excerpts from the article:

"Sea level rise caused by global warming is usually cast as a doomsday scenario that will play out so far into the future, it's easy to ignore. Just ask anyone in South Florida, where new construction proceeds apace. Yet already, more than 90 coastal communities in the United States are battling chronic flooding, meaning the kind of flooding that's so unmanageable it prompts people to move away.

"That number is expected to roughly double to more than 170 communities in less than 20 years.

"Those new statistics, compiled in the first comprehensive mapping of the entire coastline of the Lower 48 states, paint a troubling picture, especially for the East and Gulf coasts, which are home to some of the nation's most populated areas.

"By the end of the century, chronic flooding will be occurring from Maine to Texas and along parts of the West Coast. It will affect as many as 670 coastal communities, including Cambridge, Massachusetts; Oakland, California; Miami and St. Petersburg, Florida; and four of the five boroughs of New York City. The magnitude of the coming calamity is so great, the ripple effects will reach far into the interior."

Just as an iceberg the size of Delaware broke away from an ice shelf in Antarctica Wednesday, July 12, 2017, scientists released findings that up to 668 U.S. communities could face chronic flooding from rising sea levels by the end of the century.

⁴Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000 Feb 15; 97(4): 1331-1334. PMCID: PMC34297

⁵http://news.nationalgeographic.com/2017/07/sea-level-rise-flood-global-warming-science/

8.6. REALITIES OF CLIMATE CHANGE

The Union of Concerned Scientists recently published a report entitled "When Rising Seas Hit Home: Hard Choices Ahead for Hundreds of US Coastal Communities"⁶ The report states that "Chronic inundation will dramatically alter the landscape and the livability rise of just three feet would submerge the Maldives and make them uninhabitable of many coastal communities." rise of just three feet would submerge the Maldives and make them uninhabitable

Island nations threatened by rising oceans

The US National Academy of Sciences predictions from 2009 suggest that by 2100, sea level could increase by anywhere from 16 inches to 56 inches, depending how the Earth responds to changing climate.

The Maldives, consisting of over 1,100 islands to the west of India, is the world's lowestlying nation. On average the islands are only 1.3 meters above sea level. The 325,000 (plus 100,000 expatriate workers who are not counted in the census) residents of the islands are threatened by rising sea levels. A rise of just three feet would submerge the Maldives and make them uninhabitable. Many island nations in the Pacific are also severely threatened by sea level rise.

Displacement of populations in Southeast Asia

A World Bank press release has stated that "Bangladesh will be among the most affected countries in South Asia by an expected 2°C rise in the world's average temperatures in the next decades, with rising sea levels and more extreme heat and more intense cyclones threatening food production, livelihoods, and infrastructure as well as slowing the reduction on poverty, according to a new scientific report released today by the World Bank Group.

"'Bangladesh faces particularly severe challenges with climate change threatening its impressive progress in overcoming poverty,' said Johannes Zutt, World Bank Country Director for Bangladesh and Nepal. 'Bangladesh has demonstrated itself as a leader in moving the climate change agenda forward'"

"In Bangladesh, 40% of productive land is projected to be lost in the southern region of Bangladesh for a 65cm sea level rise by the 2080s. About 20 million people in the coastal areas of Bangladesh are already affected by salinity in drinking water. Rising sea levels and more intense cyclones and storm surges could intensify the contamination of groundwater and surface water causing more diarrhea outbreak."

Important rice-growing river delta regions of Viet Nam will also be lost during the present century.

⁶http://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/attach/2017/07/when-rising-seas-hit-home-full-report.pdf

Effects on the Netherlands, Danish islands, and Venice

Although the Netherlands, the Danish islands and Venice have had many years of experience in coping with floods due to high sea levels and storm surges, these European areas may have difficulties during the present century.

Greenland's icecap is melting much faster than was predicted by the IPCC, and sea level rise may exceed 100 cm. before 2100. Hurricanes are also becoming more severe, as has already been shown by Katrina and Sandy. Future hurricanes hitting Europe's Atlantic coasts will produce dangerous storm surges. In Venice, the danger from hurricanes is less severe, but Venice already experiences severe flooding and the rise of sea levels during the present century may endanger the priceless cultural monuments of the famous ancient city.

Long-term sea level rise

A 2012 article by Jevrejeva, S., Moore, J. C. and Grinsted, A. in the in the Journal of Global and Planetary Change⁷ deals with sea level rise until 2500. Of course, the long-term future runs over hundreds of millennia, but nevertheless, the article, entitled "Sea level projections to AD2500 with a new generation of climate change scenarios" is of interest.

The article states that "Sea level rise over the coming centuries is perhaps the most damaging side of rising temperature. The economic costs and social consequences of coastal flooding and forced migration will probably be one of the dominant impacts of global warming. To date, however, few studies on infrastructure and socio-economic planning include provision for multi-century and multi-meter rises in mean sea level...

"We estimate sea level rise of 0.57 - 1.10 m by 2100 with four new RCP scenarios. Sea level will continue to rise for several centuries reaching 1.84 - 5.49 m by 2500. Due to long response time most rise is expected after stabilization of forcing. 200-400 years will require dropping the rate to the 1.8 mm/yr- 20th century average."

According to an article published by the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research ⁸ "The Greenland ice sheet is likely to be more vulnerable to global warming than previously thought. The temperature threshold for melting the ice sheet completely is in the range of 0.8 to 3.2 degrees Celsius global warming, with a best estimate of 1.6 degrees above preindustrial levels, shows a new study by scientists from the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK) and the Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Today, already 0.8 degrees global warming has been observed. Substantial melting of land ice could contribute to long-term sea-level rise of several meters and therefore it potentially affects the lives of many millions of people.

"The time it takes before most of the ice in Greenland is lost strongly depends on the level of warming. 'The more we exceed the threshold, the faster it melts,' says Alexander

⁷Volumes 80-81, January 2012, Pages 14.20

 $^{^{8}} https://www.pik-potsdam.de/news/press-releases/archive/2012/gronlands-eismassen-konnten-komplett-schmelzen-bei-1-6-grad-globaler-erwarmung$

Robinson, lead-author of the study now published in Nature Climate Change. In a businessas-usual scenario of greenhouse-gas emissions, in the long run humanity might be aiming at 8 degrees Celsius of global warming. This would result in one fifth of the ice sheet melting within 500 years and a complete loss in 2000 years, according to the study. 'This is not what one would call a rapid collapse,' says Robinson. 'However, compared to what has happened in our planet's history, it is fast. And we might already be approaching the critical threshold.'

"In contrast, if global warming would be limited to 2 degrees Celsius, complete melting would happen on a timescale of 50.000 years. Still, even within this temperature range often considered a global guardrail, the Greenland ice sheet is not secure. Previous research suggested a threshold in global temperature increase for melting the Greenland ice sheet of a best estimate of 3.1 degrees, with a range of 1.9 to 5.1 degrees. The new study's best estimate indicates about half as much.

"Our study shows that under certain conditions the melting of the Greenland ice sheet becomes irreversible. This supports the notion that the ice sheet is a tipping element in the Earth system,' says team-leader Andrey Ganopolski of PIK. 'If the global temperature significantly overshoots the threshold for a long time, the ice will continue melting and not re-grow - even if the climate would, after many thousand years, return to its preindustrial state- This is related to feedbacks between the climate and the ice sheet: The ice sheet is over 3000 meters thick and thus elevated into cooler altitudes. When it melts its surface comes down to lower altitudes with higher temperatures, which accelerates the melting. Also, the ice reflects a large part of solar radiation back into 'Our study shows that under certain conditions the melting of the Greenland ice sheet becomes irreversible. This supports the notion that the ice sheet is a tipping element in the Earth system,' says team-leader Andrey Ganopolski of PIK.'If the global temperature significantly overshoots the threshold for a long time, the ice will continue melting and not re-grow - even if the climate would, after many thousand years, return to its preindustrial state.' This is related to feedbacks between the climate and the ice sheet: The ice sheet is over 3000 meters thick and thus elevated into cooler altitudes. When it melts its surface comes down to lower altitudes with higher temperatures, which accelerates the melting. Also, the ice reflects a large part of solar radiation back into space. When the area covered by ice decreases, more radiation is absorbed and this adds to regional warming.space. When the area covered by ice decreases, more radiation is absorbed and this adds to regional warming."

Global warming and atmospheric water vapor

A feedback loop is a self-re-enforcing trend. One of the main positive feedback loops in global warming is the tendency of warming to increase the atmospheric saturation pressure for water vapor, and hence amount of water vapor in the atmosphere, which in turn leads to further warming, since water vapor is a greenhouse gas.

Wikipedia's article on greenhouse gases states that, "Water vapor accounts for the largest percentage of the greenhouse effect, between 36% and 66% for clear sky conditions and between 66% and 85% when including clouds."

The albedo effect

Albedo is defined to be the fraction of solar energy (shortwave radiation) reflected from the Earth back into space. It is a measure of the reflectivity of the earth's surface. Ice, especially with snow on top of it, has a high albedo: most sunlight hitting the surface bounces back towards space.

Feedback from loss of sea ice

Especially in the Arctic and Antarctic regions, there exists a dangerous feedback loop involving the albedo of ice and snow. Arctic sea ice is rapidly disappearing. It is predicted that during the summers, the ice covering arctic seas may disappear entirely during the summers. As a consequence, incoming sunlight will encounter dark light-absorbing water surfaces rather than light-reflecting ice and snow.

This effect is self-re-enforcing. In other words, it is a feedback loop. The rising temperatures caused by the absorption of more solar radiation cause the melting of more ice, and hence even more absorption of radiation rather than reflection, still higher temperatures, more melting, and so on.

The feedback loop is further strengthened by the fact that water vapor acts like a greenhouse gas. As polar oceans become exposed, more water vapor enters the atmosphere, where it contributes to the greenhouse effect and rising temperatures.

Darkened snow on Greenland's icecap

Greenland's icecap is melting, and as it melts, the surface becomes darker and less reflective because particles of soot previously trapped in the snow and ice become exposed. This darkened surface absorbs an increased amount of solar radiation, and the result is accelerated melting.

The methane hydrate feedback loop

If we look at the distant future, by far the most dangerous feedback loop involves methane hydrates or methane clathrates. When organic matter is carried into the oceans by rivers, it decays to form methane. The methane then combines with water to form hydrate crystals, which are stable at the temperatures and pressures which currently exist on ocean floors. However, if the temperature rises, the crystals become unstable, and methane gas bubbles up to the surface. Methane is a greenhouse gas which is 70 times as potent as CO_2 .

The worrying thing about the methane hydrate deposits on ocean floors is the enormous amount of carbon involved: roughly 10,000 gigatons. To put this huge amount into perspective, we can remember that the total amount of carbon in world CO2 emissions since 1751 has only been 337 gigatons.

A runaway, exponentially increasing, feedback loop involving methane hydrates could lead to one of the great geological extinction events that have periodically wiped out most

8.6. REALITIES OF CLIMATE CHANGE

of the animals and plants then living. This must be avoided at all costs.

A feedback loop from warming of soils

On October 6, 2017, the journal *Science* published an article entitled *Long-term pattern* and magnitude of soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world⁹. The lead author, Jerry Melillo, is an ecologist working at the Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole Massachusetts. In an interview with *Newsweek*, he said: "This self-reinforcing feedback is potentially a global phenomenon with soils, and once it starts it may be very difficult to turn off. It's that part of the problem that I think is sobering... We think that one of the things that may be happening is both a reorganization of the microbial community structure and its functional capacity,"

The study reported on three decades of observations of heated sections of a forest owned by Harvard University. The heated sections were 5° C warmer than control sections.

Drying of forests and forest fires

According to a recent article in *Nature*¹⁰, "Across the American west, the area burned each year has increased significantly over the past several decades, a trend that scientists attribute both to warming and drying and to a century of wildfire suppression and other human activities. Allen suggests that the intertwined forces of fire and climate change will take ecosystems into new territory, not only in the American west but also elsewhere around the world. In the Jemez, for example, it could transform much of the ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) forest into shrub land. 'We're losing forests as we've known them for a very long time,' says Allen. 'We're on a different trajectory, and we're not yet sure where we're going.'

"All around the American west, scientists are seeing signs that fire and climate change are combining to create a 'new normal'. Ten years after Colorado's largest recorded fire burned 56,000 hectares southwest of Denver, the forest still has not rebounded in a 20,000hectare patch in the middle, which was devastated by an intense crown fire. Only a few thousand hectares, which the US Forest Service replanted, look anything like the ponderosa-pine stands that previously dominated the landscape."

Tipping points and feedback loops

A tipping point is usually defined as the threshold for an abrupt and irreversible change¹¹. To illustrate this idea, we can think of a book lying on a table. If we gradually push the book towards the edge of the table, we will finally reach a point after which more than

⁹J.M. Melillo et al., Long-term pattern and magnitude of soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world, Science, Vol. 358, pp. 101-105, (2017).

¹⁰http://www.nature.com/news/forest-fires-burn-out-1.11424

¹¹Other definitions of tipping points are possible. A few authors define these as points beyond which change is inevitable, emphasizing that while inevitable, the change may be slow.

half of the weight of the book will not be not supported by the table. When this "tipping point" is passed the situation will suddenly become unstable, and the book will fall to the floor. Analogously, as the earth's climate gradually changes, we may reach tipping points. If we pass these points, sudden instabilities and abrupt climatic changes will occur.

Greenland ice cores supply a record of temperatures in the past, and through geological evidence we have evidence of sea levels in past epochs. These historical records show that abrupt climatic changes have occurred in the past.

Timothy Michael Lenton, FRS, Professor of Climate Change and Earth System Science at he University of Exeter, lists the following examples of climatic tipping points:

- Boreal forest dieback
- Amazon rainforest dieback
- Loss of Arctic and Antarctic sea ice (Polar ice packs) and melting of Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets
- Disruption to Indian and West African monsoon
- Formation of Atlantic deep water near the Arctic ocean, which is a component process of the thermohaline circulation.
- Loss of permafrost, leading to potential Arctic methane release and clathrate gun effect

It can be seen from this list that climate tipping points are associated with feedback loops. For example, the boreal forest dieback and the Amazon rainforest dieback tipping points are associated with the feedback loop involving the drying of forests and forest fires, while the tipping point involving loss of Arctic and Antarctic sea ice is associated with the Albedo effect feedback loop. The tipping point involving loss of permafrost is associated with the methane hydrate feedback loop.

Once a positive feedback loop starts to operate in earnest, change may be abrupt.

The UN Climate Change Summit, September, 2014

Delegates at the United Nations Climate Summit were shown images of the inspiring and heartfelt People's Climate March, which took place on Sunday, September 21st. The organizers of the march had expected 100,000 participants. In fact, more than 400,000 people came, and the march was unique in its artistic brilliance and its ethnic diversity. It was one of 2,600 events in 170 nations. The slogan of the march in New York was "To change everything, we need everyone", and in fact everyone came!

More than 400,000 people participated in New York's People's Climate March, and the march was unique in its artistic brilliance and its ethnic diversity. It was one of 2,600 events in 170 nations.



Figure 8.6: Indigenous people marching in defense of Mother Earth.



Figure 8.7: Marchers in New York advocation action to prevent catastrophic climate change, September 21, 2014. The march supported the United Nations Climate Change Summit. Worldwide, 600,000 people marched, making this event the largest public climate change action in history.

The Paris Climate Conference, 2015

WE NEED SYSTEM CHANGE, NOT CLIMATE CHANGE! Civil society, excluded from the COP21 conference by the French government, carried banners with this slogan on the streets of Paris. They did so in defiance of tear-gas-using black-clad police. System change has been the motto for climate marches throughout the world. Our entire system is leading us towards disaster, and this includes both economic and governmental establishments. To save human civilization, the biosphere and the future, the people of the world must take matters into their own hands and change the system.¹²

Our present situation is this: The future looks extremely dark because of human folly, especially the long-term future. The greatest threats are catastrophic climate change and thermonuclear war, but a large-scale global famine also has to be considered. All these threats are linked.

Inaction is not an option. We have to act with courage and dedication, even if the odds are against success, because the stakes are so high. The mass media could mobilize us to action, but they have failed in their duty. Our educational system could also wake us up and make us act, but it too has failed us. The battle to save the earth from human greed and folly has to be fought through non-violent action on the streets and in the alternative media.

We need a new economic system, a new society, a new social contract, a new way of life. Here are the great tasks that history has given to our generation: We must achieve a steady-state economic system. We must restore democracy. We must decrease economic inequality. We must break the power of corporate greed. We must leave fossil fuels in the ground. We must stabilize and ultimately reduce the global population. We must eliminate the institution of war. And finally, we must develop a more mature ethical system to match our new technology.¹³

What are the links between the problems facing us? There is a link between climate change and war. We need to leave fossil fuels in the ground if we are to avoid catastrophic climate change. But nevertheless, the struggle for the world's last remaining oil and gas resources motivated the invasion of Iraq, and it now motivates the war in Syria. Both of these brutal wars have caused an almost indescribable amount of suffering.

ISIS runs on oil, and the unconditional support of Saudi Arabia by the West is due to greed for oil. Furthermore, military establishments are among the largest users of oil, and the largest greenhouse gas emitters. Finally, the nearly 2 trillion dollars that the

¹²http://www.commondreams.org/views/2015/12/11/we-are-out-time-we-need-leap

http://www.thenation.com/article/naomi-klein-sane-climate-policies-are-being-undermined-by-corporate-friendly-trade-deals/

http://www.commondreams.org/news/2015/12/08/liberte-not-just-word-klein-corbyn-call-mass-protest-cop 21

http://www.truth-out.org/news/item/33982-the-cops-of-cop21-arrests-at-the-paris-climate-talks

http://www.truth-out.org/news/item/33961-climate-change-justice

http://www.countercurrents.org/avery280914.htm

¹³http://www.fredsakademiet.dk/library/need.pdf

8.6. REALITIES OF CLIMATE CHANGE



Figure 8.8: An indigenous girl from South America advocating action to prevent environmental destruction and climate change.

world now spends on armaments and war could be used instead to speed the urgently needed transition to 100% renewable energy, and to help less-developed countries to face the consequences of climate change.

There are reasons for hope. Both solar energy and wind energy are growing at a phenomenal rate, and the transition to 100% renewable energy could be achieved within a very few decades if this growth is maintained. But a level playing field is needed. At present fossil fuel corporations receive half a trillion dollars each year in subsidies. Nuclear power generation is also highly subsidized (and also closely linked to the danger of nuclear war). If these subsidies were abolished, or better yet, used to encourage renewable energy development, the renewables could win simply by being cheaper.¹⁴

We can also take inspiration from Pope Francis, whose humanitarian vision links the various problems facing us. Pope Francis also shows us what we can do to save the future, and to give both economics and government a social and ecological conscience.

None of us asked to be born in a time of crisis, but history has given great tasks to our generation. We must rise to meet the crisis. We must not fail in our duty to save the gifts of life and civilization that past generations have bequeathed to us. We must not fail in our duty future generations.

¹⁴http://eruditio.worldacademy.org/issue-5/article/urgent-need-renewable-energy

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MVwmi7HCmSI

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AjZaFjXfLec

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m6pFDu7lLV4

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MVwmi7HCmSI

http://therightsofnature.org/universal-declaration/



Figure 8.9: Native peoples defending nature.



Figure 8.10: Concentrations of the most important greenhouse gasses plotted as functions of time.



Figure 8.11: Historical and predicted global temperatures.



Figure 8.12: Some of the feedback loops involved in climate change.

OUR WAR ON NATURE



Figure 8.13: Climate change will produce severe droughts in regions that today produce much of the world's food.



Figure 8.14: Rising sea levels are already affecting vulnerable parts of the world.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. David Wasdell, *Arctic Dynamics*, http://www.envisionation.co.uk/index.php/videos/arctic-dynamics
- 2. Wikipedia, Climate change in the Arctic,
- World Bank, Climate Change Report Warns of Dramatically Warmer World This Century, http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2012/11/18/Climate-change-report-warns-

dramatically-warmer-world-this-century

- 4. Wikipedia, Retreat of glaciers since 1850,
- 5. Natural Resources Defense Council, Climate Change, Water, and Risk: Current water demands are not sustainable, http://www.nrdc.org/globalwarming/watersustainability/files/Wat
- 6. Wikipedia, 2011 East Africa drought,
- 7. OXFAM Working for the Few: Political capture and economic inequality, http://www.oxfam.org/en/r few
- 8. Winnie Byanyima, Inequality Is Not Inevitable: It's Time to Even It Up!, Common Dreams http://www.commondreams.org/views/2014/10/30/inequality-not-inevitable-its-time-even-it
- 9. Abarbanel A, McClusky T (1950) Is the world getting warmer? Saturday Evening Post, 1 Jul, p22
- 10. Bagdikian BH (2004) The New Media Monopoly. Boston, MA, USA: Beacon
- 11. Bennett WL (2002) News: The Politics of Illusion, 5th edition. New York, NY, USA: Longman
- Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM (2004) Balance as bias: global warming and the US prestige press. Glob Environ Change 14: 125-136
- 13. Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM (2007) Climate change and journalistic norms: A case study of U.S. mass-media coverage. Geoforum (in press)
- Carey JW (1989) Communication as Culture: Essays on Media and Society. Boston, MA, USA: Unwin Hyman
- 15. Carvalho A (2005) Representing the politics of the greenhouse effect: Discursive strategies in the British media. Critical Discourse Studies 2: 1-29
- 16. CEI (2006) We Call it Life. Washington, DC, USA: Competitive Enterprise Institute
- 17. Cowen RC (1957) Are men changing the earth's weather? Christian Science Monitor, 4 Dec, p13
- Cushman JH (1998) Industrial group plans to battle climate treaty. New York Times, 26 Apr, p1
- 19. Doyle G (2002) Media Ownership: The Economics and Politics of Convergence and Concentration in the UK and European Media. London, UK: Sage Publications
- Dunwoody S, Peters HP (1992) Mass media coverage of technological and environmental risks: A survey of research in the United States and Germany. Public Underst Sci 1: 199-230
- Entman RM (1993) Framing: toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. J Commun 43: 51-58

- 22. Fleming JR (1998) *Historical Perspectives on Climate Change*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press
- 23. Gelbspan R (1998) The Heat Is On. Cambridge, MA, USA: Perseus Books
- 24. Grove RH (2003) Green Imperialism. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- 25. Leggett J (2001) The Carbon War. New York, NY, USA: Routledge
- 26. McChesney RW (1999) Rich Media, Poor Democracy: Communication Politics in Dubious Times. Urbana, IL, USA: University of Illinois Press
- 27. McComas K, Shanahan J (1999) Telling stories about global climate change: Measuring the impact of narratives on issue cycles. Communic Res 26: 30-57
- McCright AM (2007) Dealing with climate change contrarians. In Moser SC, Dilling L (eds) Creating a Climate for Change: Communicating Climate Change and Facilitating Social Change, pp 200-212. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- 29. McCright AM, Dunlap RE (2000) Challenging global warming as a social problem: An analysis of the conservative movement's counter-claims. Soc Probl 47: 499-522
- 30. McCright AM, Dunlap RE (2003) Defeating Kyoto: The conservative movement's impact on U.S. climate change policy. Soc Probl **50**: 348-373
- 31. Mooney C (2004) Blinded by science. Columbia Journalism Review 6(Nov/Dec), www.cjr.org
- 32. NSF (2004) Science and Engineering Indicators 2004. Washington, DC, USA: National Science Foundation Project for Excellence in Journalism (2006) The State of the News Media 2006. Washington, DC, USA:
- Project for Excellence in Journalism. www.stateofthenewsmedia.org Rajan SR (2006) Modernizing Nature. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press
- 34. Sandell C, Blakemore B (2006) ABC News reporting cited as evidence in congressional hearing on global warming. ABC News, 27 Jul, http://abcnews.go.com
- Shabecoff P (1988) Global warming has begun, expert tells senate. New York Times, 24 Jun, pA1
- 36. Shrader-Frechette KS (1993) *Burying Uncertainty*. Berkeley, CA, USA: University of California Press
- 37. Starr P (2004) The Creation of the Media: Political Origins of Modern Communications. New York, NY, USA: Basic Books
- Ungar S (1992) The rise and (relative) decline of global warming as a social problem. Sociol Q 33: 483-501
- 39. Weart SR (2003) *The Discovery of Global Warming*. Cambridge, MA, USA: Harvard University Press
- Weingart P, Engels A, Pansegrau P (2000) Risks of communication: Discourses on climate change in science, politics, and the mass media. Public Underst Sci 9: 261-283
- Wilkins L (1993) Between the facts and values: Print media coverage of the greenhouse effect, 1987-1990. Public Underst Sci 2: 71-84
- 42. Wilson KM (1995) Mass media as sources of global warming knowledge. Mass Communication Review 22: 75-89

8.6. REALITIES OF CLIMATE CHANGE

- 43. Wilson KM (2000) Communicating climate change through the media: Predictions, politics, and perceptions of risks. In Allan S, Adam B, Carter C (eds) Environmental Risks and the Media, pp 201-217. New York, NY, USA: Routledge
- 44. Zehr SC (2000) Public representations of scientific uncertainty about global climate change. Public Underst Sci 9: 85-103

OUR WAR ON NATURE

Chapter 9

PANDEMICS AND OUR ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE

9.1 History of the COVID-19 pandemic

Starting in December, 2019, and accelerating rapidly during the spring of 2020, our world has been hit by a new and extremely serious pandemic. It is caused by a caronavirus closely related to bat coronaviruses, and the disease, designated COVID-19 has a high death rate compared with seasonal influenza, as is shown below in Table 1. As of April 1, 2020, more than 859,000 cases of COVID-19 have been reported in over 200 countries and territories, resulting in approximately 42,000 deaths. Of course the death rate is actually lower that would be calculated from the ratio 42/859=0.049, since the actual number of infected people is very much larger than the number of confirmed cases. Older people, and people with previously existing health problems are especially at risk.

The first cases of COVID-19 were noticed in the city of Wuhan, in the Hubei province of China. A cluster of cases centered on the Hunan Seafood Wholesale Market, and the outbreak is thought to have been a case where a virus has been transmitted from an animal host to humans.

The World Health Organization recognized the outbreak as being a Public Health Emergency of International Concern on January 30, 2020. Later, on March 11, 2020, WHO declared it to be a pandemic.

Governments around the world have reacted to the pandemic by closing borders, closing schools, universities, restaurants, barber shops, bars, sports events, and nonessential economic activities of all sorts, also requiring people to stay at home, and requesting them to practice "social distancing", i.e. staying at least 2 meters from all others, even family members. Different countries have reacted with different rates of speed and different degrees of stringency. But the daily life of people around the world has been severely disrupted by the pandemic, and the economic consequences, already severe, will probably become worse.

A pandemic of this kind was not unexpected. Public health experts have been predicting

that our world would soon be hit by a severe pandemic because air travel can take infected people almost instantly across vast distances, making local disease outbreaks global before effective limiting action can be taken.

We do not yet know how or when the COVID-19 pandemic will end. At present, there is no effective vaccine or treatment for the disease. My own belief is that monoclonal antibody techniques will be helpful in quickly developing antibodies for the treatment of the disease. For inexpensive mass-production of these antibodies, gene-splicing techniques may be helpful.

The COVID-19 pandemic has exposed many of the faults of the "status quo", to which corporate oligarchs wish us to return after the epidemic has run its course. We must try to use the disaster as a way to return to something better than we had before. For example, the climate emergency must be adequately addressed. Our economic systems must also be reformed, so that they will work for the broad public good, rather than for the benefit of a small number of very wealthy people.

9.2 Confirmed cases and deaths by country

Country	cases	deaths
United States	$186,\!633$	3,833
Italy	105,792	12,428
Spain	95,923	8,464
China	81,518	3,305
Germany	71,690	775
France	51,487	3,516
Iran	44,606	2,898
United Kingdom	$25,\!150$	1,808
Switzerland	$16,\!597$	432
Turkey	$13,\!531$	214
Belgium	12,775	705
Netherlands	$12,\!595$	1,039
Austria	10,088	128
South Korea	9,786	162
Canada	$8,\!591$	100
Portugal	7,443	160
Brazil	5,717	201

Table 9.1: Confirmed cases and deaths as of 31 March, 2020



Figure 9.1: Confirmed cases of COVID-19 per 100,000 population as of 20 November, 2020.

Country	cases	deaths
United States	561,103	22,106
Spain	166,831	17,209
Italy	156,363	19,899
Germany	127,854	3,022
France	95,403	14,393
United Kingdom	84,279	10,612
China	82,160	3,341
Iran	71,686	4,474
Turkey	56,956	1,198
Belgium	29,647	3,600
Netherlands	25,587	2,737
Switzerland	25,398	1,103
Canada	24,366	718
Brazil	22,318	1,230
Portugal	16,585	504
Russia	15,770	130
Austria	13,945	350

Table 9.2: Confirmed cases and deaths as of 13 April, 2020

Country	cases	deaths
United States	2,391,336	122,985
Brazil	$1,\!151,\!479$	52,771
Russia	599,705	8,359
India	456,183	14,476
United Kingdom	306,210	42,927
Peru	260,810	8,404
Chile	250,767	4,505
Spain	246,752	28,325
Italy	238,833	34,675
Iran	209,970	9,863
Germany	192,778	8,986
Mexico	191,410	23,377
Turkey	190,165	5,001
Pakistan	185,034	$3,\!695$
Saudi Arabia	164,144	1,346
France	161,267	29,720

Table 9.3: Confirmed cases and deaths as of 24 June, 2020

Country	cases	deaths
United States	8,949,332	231,033
India	8,040,203	120,527
Brazil	5,469,755	158,468
Russia	1,563,976	$26,\!935$
France	1,235,132	35,785
Spain	1,136,503	35,466
Argentina	1,130,520	30,071
Colombia	1,041,935	30,753
United Kingdom	942,275	45,675
Mexico	906,863	90,309
Peru	894,928	34,315
South Africa	719,714	19,111
Italy	589,766	$37,\!905$
Iran	588,648	33,714
Chile	$505,\!530$	14,032
Germany	479,621	10,359
Iraq	463,951	10,770

Table 9.4: Confirmed cases and deaths as of 29 October, 2020

Country	cases	deaths
United States	11,592,276	253,940
India	8,958,483	131,578
Brazil	5,947,403	167,497
France	2,065,138	46,698
Russia	1,991,998	$34,\!387$
Spain	1,525,341	42,039
United Kingdom	1,430,341	53,274
Argentina	1,339,324	$36,\!347$
Italy	1,272,352	47,217
Colombia	1,218,003	$34,\!563$
Mexico	1,015,071	99,528
Peru	941,951	$35,\!402$
Germany	854,533	13,236
Iran	801,894	42,941
Poland	772,823	11,451
South Africa	757,144	20,556
Ukraine	583,510	10,369

Table 9.5: Confirmed cases and deaths as of 19 November, 2020

Country	cases	deaths
United States	19,845,540	344,051
India	10,244,852	148,439
Brazil	7,577,890	192,981
Russia	3,131,550	56,426
France	2,600,498	64,381
United Kingdom	2,432,888	72,548
Turkey	2,194,272	20,642
Italy	2,083,689	73,604
Spain	1,910,218	$50,\!689$
Germany	1,710,992	33,172
Colombia	1,626,461	42,909
Argentina	1,602,146	43,018
Mexico	1,401,529	123,845
Poland	1,281,414	28,019
Poland	772,823	11,451
Iran	1,218,753	55,095
Ukraine	1,045,348	18,324

Table 9.6: Confirmed cases and deaths as of 30 December, 2020
Country	deaths, total	deaths/million
Belgium	15,025	1,308.34
Peru	35,317	1,086.33
Spain	42,039	892.99
Argentina	36,347	808.81
United Kingdom	$53,\!180$	795.70
Brazil	$167,\!455$	793.44
Chile	14,897	786.04
Italy	47,217	783.07
Mexico	99,528	780.15
Moldavia	2,072	779.64
Bolivia	8,875	770.86
United States	249,469	760.02
Ecuador	13,052	751.25
France	46,103	687.49
Colombia	34,563	686.60
Panama	2,907	684.57
North Macedonia	1,397	670.52

Table 9.7:	Deaths	\mathbf{per}	million	people a	s of	19	November,	2020
							,	

name	time period	type	deaths
Antonine Plague	165-180	smallpox or measles	5,000,000
Japanese Smallpox	735-737	Variola major virus	1,000,000
Plague of Justinian	541-542	Yersinia pestis bacteria	c.40,000,000
Black Death	1347-1351	Yersinia pestis bacteria	200,000,000
New World Smallpox	1320-	Variola major virus	56,000,000
Plague of London	1665	Yersinia pestis bacteria	100,000
Italian plague	1629-1631	Yersinia pestis bacteria	1,000,000
Cholera Pandemics	1817-1923	V. cholerae bacteria	1,000,000+
Third Plague	1885	Yersinia pestis bacteria	12,000,000
Yellow Fever	Late 1800s	Yellow Fever virus	c.125,000
Russian Flu	1889-1890	Believed to be H2N2	1,000,000
Spanish Flu	1918-1919	H1N1 virus	c.45,000,000
Asian Flu	1957-1958	H2N2 virus	1,100,000
Hong Kong Flu	1968-1970	H3N2 virus	1,000,000
HIV/AIDS	1981-	HIV/AIDS virus	c.30,000,000
Swine Flu	2009-2010	H1N1 virus	200,000

Table 9.8: Some pandemics of the past

9.3 China

Wikipedia states that "The 2019-20 coronavirus pandemic first manifested as a cluster of mysterious, suspected pneumonia cases in Wuhan, the capital of Hubei, China. A Wuhan hospital notified the local center for disease control and prevention (CDC) and health commissions on 27 December 2019. On 31 December Wuhan CDC admitted that there was a cluster of unknown pneumonia cases related to Hunan Seafood Market after the unverified documents appeared on the Internet. The potential disease outbreak soon drew nationwide attention including that of the National Health Commission (NHC) in Beijing who sent experts to Wuhan on the following day. On 8 January, a new coronavirus was identified as the cause of the pneumonia. The sequence of the virus was soon published on an open-access database... WHO declared the outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" on 31 January[12] for fear that the virus spread beyond China to where there is no robust healthcare system despite its confidence that China was 'doing all that it can'."

OUR WAR AGAINST NATURE



Figure 9.2: A map of China showing the number of cases per 100,000 people



Figure 9.3: Because of the very strong actions of the Chinese government, the number of new cases of COVID-19 in the country has fallen almost to zero, as of April, 2020. However, opening the Chinese economy could lead to a new wave of infections.

9.4 Europe

Wikipedia states that

"The reaction of countries in Europe to the COVID-19 pandemic was initially much too slow, and thus the disease gained a firm foothold, especially in Italy, Spain, Germany, France and the United Kingdom. After this initial period of delay, drastic action was taken by most countries in Europe. Borders were closed, (except to very essential transport of goods), schools and universities were closed, restaurants, bars, hairdressers and churches were closed, public meetings were forbidden, and people were confined to their homes. By the time that these drastic actions were taken, however, it was two late to stop massive infection rates and deaths. In both Spain and Italy, the health services were completely overwhelmed by patients in need of intensive care, and burial services could not keep up with the load, so that corpses had to be kept in refrigerated trucks.

"In the United Kingdom, Prime Minister Boris Johnson, who had initially belittled the severity of the situation, himself became severely ill with COVID-19, and spent a week in an intensive care unit receiving oxygen. Prince Charles, heir to the British throne, also became ill with COVID-19, but luckily his case was a light one. He opened a new emergency hospital in London via a television link. Meanwhile, Queen Elizabeth, speaking to her nation on television, likened the situation to the dark days of World War II, and urged people to be brave.

"As of 17 March, all countries within Europe had a confirmed case of COVID-19, with Montenegro being the last European country to report at least one case. At least one death has been reported in all European countries, apart from the Vatican City.

"As of 18 March, more than 250 million people were in lockdown in Europe.

"As of 24 May, 68 days since its first recorded case, Montenegro became the first COVID-19-free country in Europe, but this situation lasted only 44 days before a newly imported case was identified there."

OUR WAR AGAINST NATURE



Figure 9.4: Cases of COVID-19 per 100,000 residents in Europe. The numbers are not comparable, as the testing strategy differs among countries and time periods.



Figure 9.5: Cumulative number of deaths per 100,000 inhabitants from COVID-19 in Europe.

9.5 The United States

Here are some quotations from an article entitled *The US Is A Failed State, And COVID-*19 Proves It by Danny Haiphong, published on April 8, 2020:

"For two months, China heroically employed mass quarantines, built emergency temporary hospitals in record time, and redirected much of its economic and political infrastructure toward containing COVID-19. The U.S. exploited the disease to demonize China only to find itself unprepared for the blowback. President Trump declined testing kit assistance from the World Health Organization (WHO), allowing the virus to spread virtually undetected. Exorbitant healthcare costs and the lack of medical leave have deterred workers from taking the necessary precautions outlined by the WHO and the CDC. With no planned, nationalized response to the outbreak on U.S. shores, local governments have facilitated haphazard curfews and recommendations for more 'social distancing' in attempt to stem the tide.

"Forty years-plus of neoliberal shock therapy has turned the United States into the very failed state that the political class constantly complains about in reference to other nations. The U.S. cannot provide free healthcare to the masses because shareholders in the pharmaceutical and insurance industries are more committed to their profits. The U.S. cannot provide homes to the homeless because capitalists in finance, insurance, and real estate industries (FIRE) view public housing as an impediment toward their widening share of the market. The U.S. cannot possibly provide the conditions necessary for a rapid and effective response to a pandemic because private profits remain in command of society.

"Private profits have indeed been prioritized throughout the COVID-19 crisis. The Federal Reserve didn't hesitate to pump 1.5 trillion dollars into the plunging stock market. Not a cent of a trillion dollars was invested in student and other debt relief, a moratorium on evictions and foreclosures, or toward the development of medical infrastructure to make up for a massive shortfall in masks, ICU beds, and ventilators. What the masses in the United States did receive was a Congressional bill for COVID-19 relief that House leader Pelosi proudly endorsed. The bill possessed a corporate friendly loophole that left nearly eighty percent of workers out of a 14-day federally mandated and funded medical leave benefit...

"The U.S. is a failed state because it has nothing to offer the world but death, destruction, and destitution. Iranians continue to die of treatable diseases and COVID-19 due to U.S. sanctions. The United States continues to deploy its trillion-dollar military albatross to murder, starve, and pollute the vast majority of the world's people. No calls have been made to halt operations in the U.S.' eight hundred military bases or to rollback AFRICOM amid the spread of COVID-19."

Here are some quotations from an article entitled *The Billionaires That Want You to Get Back to Work No Matter the Cost to Your Health*, by Dan Loeb, Kevin Griffin, Paul Tudor Jones, and Stephen Schwarzman: "On March 24th the Trump administration held a call with some of the wealthiest investors on Wall Street to discuss how COVID-19 and state-by-state restrictions on public gathering and businesses were affecting stock market performance, financial markets, and the broader economy. The call reportedly included heavy hitters such as private equity giant Stephen Schwarzman from Blackstone (net worth \$17.1 billion) and hedge fund managers Ken Griffin (net worth \$12.4 billion) from Citadel, Dan Loeb (net worth \$2.8 billion) from Third Point, and Paul Tudor Jones (net worth \$5.1 billion) among others. The group urged the administration to set a specific date to ease public health restrictions in order to reassure markets.

"Just hours after the call with the Wall Street elite, Trump went on air for a virtual town hall on Fox News and declared that he would like to see the economy 'opened up and just raring to go' by April 12th. The arbitrary deadline set by Trump at the behest of these investors was much earlier than what health experts predicted would be necessary to mitigate the spread of the virus. A few days later - after Congress passed a stimulus bill that created a \$500 billion slush fund to bail out big business - Trump walked back his commitment to having the economy 'opened up' by Easter. However, the power dynamic had already become crystal clear - Trump's billionaire backers are pushing him to prioritize financial markets over public health and the creation of a fair safety net for workers impacted by the coronavirus shutdown."

9.6 India

Although India currently has relatively few confirmed cases of COVID-19 and deaths from the disease. one fears for the future. A large fraction of India's 1.3 billion people are poor, and live in crowded conditions, often without adequate supplies of clean water. Under such conditions, the social distancing and frequent hand-washing needed to prevent the spread of the disease are impossible. The economic impact of the pandemic will also hit India's poor very hard. Those without jobs will face starvation. Finally, as the number of cases of COVID-19 rises, the country's hospital system, inadequate even in normal times, will be completely overwhelmed.

According to an article by Akash Bisht, "India has 0.7 hospital beds for every 100,000 people, far fewer than countries like South Korea (six per 100,000) that have been able to successfully contain the virus.

"Ventilators are also in short supply. India has nearly 100,000 ventilators but most are owned by private hospitals and are already being used by existing patients with critical illnesses.

"Sundaraman from the People's Health Movement highlighted how the stress of lockdown appeared to be overtaking the stress of the disease. 'What is really worrying is the huge migration that has started across the country. You just can't stop public transport like that. The lockdown should have been done in a phased way. People shouldn't be stranded without income, without work. Even in an authoritarian state, they would know that this is something the state has to do,' said Sundaraman."



Figure 9.6: With only a few hours warning, India's Prime Minister Modi imposed a 21 day lockdown on the country. The lockdown left many millions of migrant workers trapped in cities with no income, and no means of returning to their native villages except walking. Many chose to walk hundreds of kilometers to reach their homes.



Figure 9.7: Many of India's estimated 139 million internal migrant workers are trapped in cities far from home after being laid off due to government measures to curb the spread of the coronavirus, leading aid agencies to warn of a looming crisis. The photo shown migrants trying to board one of the last available buses.

9.7 Africa

At present (12 April, 2020) there are relatively few cases of COVID-19 in Africa. However, this situation many very easily change for the worse. In most African countries, hospital beds are in short supply. Also, many poor people live in crowded conditions, without a good supply of safe water for the frequent hand-washing that is recommended as an important measure to prevent the spread of COVID-19. Thus, one worries about the future.

The economic impact of the pandemic is already severe. In many African countries, tourism is an important source of income, and this, of course, has disappeared.

9.8 We need solidarity, not sanctions

According to the United Nations Charter, only the Security Council may impose sanctions. No individual nation may do so. Nevertheless, the United States currently imposes economic sanctions on Iran, North Korea, Sudan, Cuba, Venezuela, Belarus, Burundi, Central African Republic, China, Comoros, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Iraq, Lebanon, Libya, Mauritania, Myanmar, Nicaragua, Papua New Guinea, Russia, Somalia, South Sudan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Venezuela, Yemen and Zimbabwe.

Besides violating the United Nations Charter, these unilaterally imposed sanctions also violate the Fourth Geneva Convention, under which collective punishment is a war crime. Article 33 states that "No protected person may be punished for an offense that he or she did not personally commit".

The sanctions that are currently being imposed on Iran are also an example of collective punishment. They are damaging the health of ordinary Iranian citizens, who can in no way be blamed fro the policies of their government. According to Wikipedia: "Pharmaceuticals and medical equipment do not fall under the international sanctions, but the country is facing shortages of drugs for the treatment of 30 illnesses, including cancer, heart and breathing problems, thalassemia and multiple sclerosis, because Iran is not allowed to use International payment systems.... In addition, there are 40,000 hemophiliacs who can't get anti-clotting medicines... An estimated 23,000 Iranians with HIV/Aids have had their access to the drugs they need to keep alive severely restricted."

During the present COVID-19 pandemic, economic sanctions are particularly cruel and inhuman. They deprive the affected nations of desperately-needed face masks, respirators and medicines. During this terrible emergency, humanity must unite. We need solidarity, not sanctions!

Gestures of solidarity during the pandemic

Here are a few stories of solidarity during the COVID-19 crisis:

According to an article by Shannon Llao, published by CNN Business on March 14, 2020, "Chinese billionaire and Alibaba co-founder Jack Ma said he will donate 500,000

coronavirus testing kits and one million face masks to the United States... Ma has donated one million masks to Japan as of March 2 and had been attempting to ship one million masks to Iran as of March 6, according to his Weibo posts. In a March 11 post, he wrote that 1.8 million masks and 100,000 testing kits would go to Europe, with the first batch arriving in Belgium this week. He shared plans to donate to Italy and Spain, two other countries hard-hit by the virus, as well."

Cuba has sent medical doctors and nurses to combat the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy. Cuba has also deployed doctors to Venezuela, Nicaragua, Jamaica, Suriname and Grenada.

On 3 April, 2020, the World Health Organization and UNESCO "announced an agreement to work together on COVID-19 response, through the historic COVID-19 Solidarity Response Fund powered by the United Nations Foundation and Swiss Philanthropy Foundation. The COVID-19 Solidarity Response Fund has been set up to facilitate an unprecedented global response by supporting the WHO Strategic Preparedness and Response Plan. As part of the agreement, an initial portion of the money from the Fund which currently stands at more than \$127 million - will flow to UNICEF for its work with vulnerable children and communities all over the world."

Antonio Guterres proposes a global ceasefire

On 23 March, 2020, he United Nations Secretary General Antonio Guterres said:

"Our world faces a common enemy: COVID-19. The virus does not care about nationality or ethnicity, faction or faith. It attacks all, relentlessly. Meanwhile, armed conflict rages on around the world. The most vulnerable - women and children, people with disabilities, the marginalized and the displaced - pay the highest price. They are also at the highest risk of suffering devastating losses from COVID-19. Let's not forget that in war-ravaged countries, health systems have collapsed. Health professionals, already few in number, have often been targeted. Refugees and others displaced by violent conflict are doubly vulnerable. The fury of the virus illustrates the folly of war. That is why today, I am calling for an immediate global ceasefire in all corners of the world. It is time to put armed conflict on lockdown and focus together on the true fight of our lives."

We can learn from the pandemic

Terrible as it is, the COVID-19 pandemic may be able to teach us something. Humanity must work together to solve our common problems. We must abandon the folly of war, and use the vast sums of money now wasted (or worse than wasted) on armaments for constructive purposes, for example public health programs. We must work together to rebuild the world after the pandemic. The new world that we build, must be sustainable, and it must have both an environmental conscience and a social conscience

9.9 Human encroachment on nature makes pandemics more likely

Here are some quotations from a recent article in The Guardian¹:

"Humanity's 'promiscuous treatment of nature' needs to change or there will be more deadly pandemics such as Covid-19, warn scientists who have analyzed the link between viruses, wildlife and habitat destruction.

"Deforestation and other forms of land conversion are driving exotic species out of their evolutionary niches and into manmade environments, where they interact and breed new strains of disease, the experts say.

"Three-quarters of new or emerging diseases that infect humans originate in animals, according to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, but it is human activity that multiplies the risks of contagion.

"A growing body of research confirms that bats - the origin of Covid 19 naturally host many viruses which they are more likely transfer to humans or animals if they live in or near human-disturbed ecosystems, such as recently cleared forests or swamps drained for farmland, mining projects or residential projects.

"In the wild, bats are less likely to transfer the viruses they host to other animals or come into contact with new pathogens because species tend to specialise within distinct and well-established habitats. But once land is converted to human use, the probability increases of contact and viruses jumping zoonotically from one species to another.

"As natural habitats shrink, wild animals concentrate in ever smaller territories or migrate to anthropogenic areas, such as homes, sheds and barns. This is particularly true of bats, which feed on the large number of insects drawn to lamplight or fruit in orchards.

"Two years ago, scientists predicted a new coronavirus would emerge from bats in Asia, partly because this was the area most affected by deforestation and other environmental pressures...

"South America is a key area of concern due to the rapid clearance of the Amazon and other forests. Scientists in Brazil have found viral prevalence was 9.3% among bats near deforested sites, compared to 3.7% in pristine woodland. With deforestation and land-use change, you open a door,' said Alessandra Nava, of the Manaus-based Biobank research centre."

The growth of air travel has also meant that recent pandemics leap very quickly across international boundaries.

¹https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/may/07/promiscuous-treatment-of-nature-will-lead-to-more-pandemics-scientists

Suggestions for further reading

- Breathnach, C S (September 1984). Biographical sketches-No. 44. Metchnikoff. Irish Medical Journal. Ireland. 77 (9).
- 2. de Kruif, Paul (1996). Microbe Hunters. San Diego: A Harvest Book.
- Deutsch, Ronald M. (1977). The new nuts among the berries. Palo Alto, CA: Bull Pub. Co.
- Fokin, Sergei I. (2008). Russian scientists at the Naples zoological station, 1874 -1934. Napoli: Giannini.
- 5. Gourko, Helena; Williamson, Donald I.; Tauber, Alfred I. (2000). *The Evolutionary Biology Papers of Elie Metchnikoff.* Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Karnovsky, M L (May 1981). Metchnikoff in Messina: a century of studies on phagocytosis. N. Engl. J. Med. United States. 304 (19): 1178-80.
- Lavrova, L N (September 1970). I. I. Mechnikov and the significance of his legacy for the development of Soviet science (on the 125th anniversary of his birth). Zh. Mikrobiol. Epidemiol. Immunobiol. USSR. 47 (9): 3-5.
- 8. Metchnikoff, Olga (2014) [1921]. Life of Elie Metchnikoff 1845-1916. The Floating Press.
- Schmalstieg Frank C, Goldman Armond S (2008). Ilya Ilich Metchnikoff (1845-1915) and Paul Ehrlich (1854-1915) The centennial of the 1908 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine. Journal of Medical Biography. 16 (2): 96-103.
- 10. Tauber AI (2003). *Metchnikoff and the phagocytosis theory*. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 4 (11): 897-901.
- 11. Tauber, Alfred I.; Chernyak, Leon (1991). Metchnikoff and the Origins of Immunology: From Metaphor to Theory. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 12. Zalkind, Semyon (2001) [1957]. Ilya Mechnikov: His Life and Work. The Minerva Group, Inc.
- Jerne, N. K. (1955). The Natural-Selection Theory of Antibody Formation (PDF). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 41 (11): 849-857.
- 14. Jerne, N. K. (1974). Towards a network theory of the immune system. Annales d'immunologie. 125C (1-2): 373-389.
- 15. Jerne, N.K. (1984), Nobel lecture: The Generative Grammar of the Immune System (PDF), Nobelprize.org, retrieved 8 July 2019.
- Hoffmann, G.W. (1994), Niels Jerne, Immunologist 1911-1994, Vaccine Research, Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., 3: 173-174, archived from the original on 6 October 2014.
- 17. Dubiski, S. (2004). Science as Autobiography: The Troubled Life of Niels Jerne. JAMA: the Journal of the American Medical Association. 291 (10): 1267.
- Podolsky, Alfred I. Tauber; Scott H. (1997). The Generation of Diversity : Clonal Selection Theory and the Rise of Molecular Immunology (1st paperback ed.). Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard Univ. Press.
- 19. Biology in Context The Spectrum of Life Authors, Peter Aubusson, Eileen Kennedy.
- 20. Forsdyke D.R. (1995). The Origins of the Clonal Selection Theory of Immunity. FASEB Journal. 9: 164-66.

- G. Köhler and C. Milstein (1975). Continuous cultures of fused cells secreting antibody of predefined specificity. Nature. 256 (5517): 495-7.
- 22. István Hargittai (2006). Khler's Invention. Journal Structural Chemistry. 17 (1)
- Melchers, F (1995). Georges Köhler (1946-95). Nature. 374 (6522) (published Apr 6, 1995). p. 498.
- Danon, Y L (1996). Monoclonal antibodies: George Kohler. Harefuah. 130 (2) (published Jan 15, 1996). pp. 108-9.
- 25. Armstrong, Dorsey (2016). The Black Death: The World's Most Devastating Plague. The Great Courses.
- 26. Benedictow, Ole Jørgen (2004). Black Death 1346-1353: The Complete History.
- 27. Byrne, J. P. (2004). The Black Death. London. Greenwood Publishing Group.
- 28. Cantor, Norman F. (2001). In the Wake of the Plague: The Black Death and the World It Made, New York, Free Press.
- 29. Cohn, Samuel K. Jr., (2002). The Black Death Transformed: Disease and Culture in Early Renaissance Europe, London: Arnold.
- 30. Gasquet, Francis Aidan (1893). The Great Pestilence AD 1348 to 1349: Now Commonly Known As the Black Death.
- Hecker, J.F.C. (1859). B.G. Babington (trans) (ed.). Epidemics of the Middle Ages. London: TrÃ¹/₄bner.
- 32. Herlihy, D., (1997). The Black Death and the Transformation of the West, Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- 33. McNeill, William H. (1976). *Plagues and Peoples*. Anchor/Doubleday.
- 34. Scott, S., and Duncan, C. J., (2001). *Biology of Plagues: Evidence from Historical Populations*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 35. Shrewsbury, J. F. D., (1970). A History of Bubonic Plague in the British Isles, London: Cambridge University Press.
- 36. Twigg, G., (1984). The Black Death: A Biological Reappraisal, London: Batsford.
- 37. Ziegler, Philip (1998). The Black Death. Penguin Books. 1st editions 1969.
- H. Lodish, A. Berk, S.L. Zipursky, P. Matsudaira, D. Baltimore, and J. Darnell, Molecular Cell Biology, 4th Edition, W.H. Freeman, New York, (2000).
- 39. Lily Kay, Who Wrote the Book of Life? A History of the Genetic Code, Stanford University Press, Stanford CA, (2000).
- 40. Sahotra Sarkar (editor), *The Philosophy and History of Molecular Bi- ology*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, (1996).
- 41. James D. Watson et al. *Molecular Biology of the Gene, 4th Edition*, Benjamin-Cummings, (1988).
- 42. J.S. Fruton, *Proteins, Enzymes, and Genes*, Yale University Press, New Haven, (1999).
- 43. S.E. Lauria, *Life, the Unfinished Experiment*, Charles Scribner's Sons, New York (1973).
- 44. A. Lwoff, *Biological Order*, MIT Press, Cambridge MA, (1962).
- 45. James D. Watson, The Double Helix, Athenium, New York (1968).
- 46. F. Crick, *The genetic code*, Scientific American, **202**, 66-74 (1962).

9.9. HUMAN ENCROACHMENT ON NATURE MAKES PANDEMICS MORE LIKELY231

- 47. F. Crick, Central dogma of molecular biology, Nature, 227, 561-563 (1970).
- David Freifelder (editor), Recombinant DNA, Readings from the Scientific American, W.H. Freeman and Co. (1978).
- 49. James D. Watson, John Tooze and David T. Kurtz, *Recombinant DNA, A Short Course*, W.H. Freeman, New York (1983).
- 50. Richard Hutton, *Biorevolution*, *DNA and the Ethics of Man-Made Life*, The New American Library, New York (1968).
- 51. Martin Ebon, The Cloning of Man, The New American Library, New York (1978).
- 52. Sheldon Krimsky, *Genetic Alchemy: The Social History of the Recombinant DNA Controversy*, MIT Press, Cambridge Mass (1983).
- 53. M. Lappe, Germs That Won't Die, Anchor/Doubleday, Garden City N.Y. (1982).
- 54. M. Lappe, Broken Code, Sierra Club Books, San Francisco (1984).
- 55. President's Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral Research, *Splicing Life: The Social and Ethical Issues of Genetic Engineering with Human Beings*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C. (1982).
- U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, Impacts of Applied Genetics Microorganisms, Plants and Animals, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C. (1981).
- 57. W.T. Reich (editor), *Encyclopedia of Bioethics*, The Free Press, New York (1978).
- 58. Martin Brown (editor), *The Social Responsibility of the Scientist*, The Free Press, New York (1970).
- 59. B. Zimmerman, *Biofuture*, Plenum Press, New York (1984).
- 60. John Lear, Recombinant DNA, The Untold Story, Crown, New York (1978).
- B. Alberts, D. Bray, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts and J.D. Watson, *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, Garland, New York (1983).
- 62. C. Woese, *The Genetic Code; The Molecular Basis for Genetic Expression*, Harper and Row, New York, (1967).
- 63. F.H.C. Crick, The Origin of the Genetic Code, J. Mol. Biol. 38, 367-379 (1968).
- 64. M.W. Niernberg, The genetic code: II, Scientific American, 208, 80-94 (1962).
- 65. L.E. Orgel, Evolution of the Genetic Apparatus, J. Mol. Biol. 38, 381-393 (1968).
- 66. Melvin Calvin, Chemical Evolution Towards the Origin of Life, on Earth and Elsewhere, Oxford University Press (1969).
- 67. R. Shapiro, Origins: A Skeptic's Guide to the Origin of Life, Summit Books, New York, (1986).
- 68. J. William Schopf, *Earth's earliest biosphere: its origin and evolution*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., (1983).
- 69. J. William Schopf (editor), *Major Events in the History of Life*, Jones and Bartlet, Boston, (1992).
- 70. Robert Rosen, Life itself: a comprehensive inquiry into the nature, origin and fabrication of life, Colombia University Press, (1991).
- R.F. Gesteland, T.R Cech, and J.F. Atkins (editors), *The RNA World, 2nd Edition*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, (1999).

- 72. C. de Duve, Blueprint of a Cell, Niel Patterson Publishers, Burlington N.C., (1991).
- 73. C. de Duve, Vital Dust; Life as a Cosmic Imperative, Basic Books, New York, (1995).
- 74. F. Dyson, Origins of Life, Cambridge University Press, (1985).
- 75. S.A. Kaufman, Antichaos and adaption, Scientific American, 265, 78-84, (1991).
- 76. S.A. Kauffman, The Origins of Order, Oxford University Press, (1993).
- 77. F.J. Varela and J.-P. Dupuy, Understanding Origins: Contemporary Views on the Origin of Life, Mind and Society, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (1992).
- 78. Stefan Bengtson (editor) Early Life on Earth; Nobel Symposium No. 84, Colombia University Press, New York, (1994).
- 79. Herrick Baltscheffsky, Origin and Evolution of Biological Energy Con- version, VCH Publishers, New York, (1996).
- 80. J. Chilea-Flores, T. Owen and F. Raulin (editors), *First Steps in the Origin of Life in the Universe*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (2001).
- 81. R.E. Dickerson, Nature 283, 210-212 (1980).
- 82. R.E. Dickerson, Scientific American 242, 136-153 (1980).
- 83. C.R. Woese, Archaebacteria, Scientific American 244, 98-122 (1981).
- N. Iwabe, K. Kuma, M. Hasegawa, S. Osawa and T. Miyata, Evolutionary relationships of archaebacteria, eubacteria, and eukaryotes inferred phylogenetic trees of duplicated genes, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 9355-9359 (1989).
- C.R. Woese, O. Kundler, and M.L. Wheelis, Towards a Natural System of Organisms: Proposal for the Domains Archaea, Bacteria and Eucaria, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 4576-4579 (1990).
- W. Ford Doolittle, Phylogenetic Classification and the Universal Tree, Science, 284, (1999).
- 87. G. Wächterhäuser, *Pyrite formation, the first energy source for life: A hypothesis*, Systematic and Applied Microbiology **10**, 207-210 (1988).
- G. Wächterhäuser, Before enzymes and templates: Theory of surface metabolism, Microbiological Reviews, 52, 452-484 (1988).
- G. Wächterhäuser, Evolution of the first metabolic cycles, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 200-204 (1990).
- 90. G. Wächterhäuser, Groundworks for an evolutionary biochemistry the iron-sulfur world, Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology 58, 85-210 (1992).
- M.J. Russell and A.J. Hall, The emergence of life from iron monosulphide bubbles at a submarine hydrothermal redox and pH front J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 154, 377-402, (1997).
- L.H. Caporale (editor), Molecular Strategies in Biological Evolution, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., May 18, (1999).
- 93. W. Martin and M.J. Russell, On the origins of cells: a hypothesis for the evolutionary transitions from abiotic geochemistry to chemoautotrophic prokaryotes, and from prokaryotes to nucleated cells, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci., 358, 59-85, (2003).
- 94. Werner Arber, *Elements in Microbal Evolution*, J. Mol. Evol. **33**, **4** (1991).

- Michael Gray, The Bacterial Ancestry of Plastids and Mitochondria, BioScience, 33, 693-699 (1983).
- Michael Grey, The Endosymbiont Hypothesis Revisited, International Review of Cytology, 141, 233-257 (1992).
- 97. Lynn Margulis and Dorian Sagan, *Microcosmos: Four Billion Years of Evolution from Our Microbal Ancestors*, Allan and Unwin, London, (1987).
- 98. Lynn Margulis and Rene Fester, eds., Symbiosis as as Source of Evolutionary Innovation: Speciation and Morphogenesis, MIT Press, (1991).
- 99. Charles Mann, Lynn Margulis: Science's Unruly Earth Mother, Science, 252, 19 April, (1991).
- 100. Jan Sapp, Evolution by Association; A History of Symbiosis, Oxford University Press, (1994).
- 101. J.A. Shapiro, Natural genetic engineering in evolution, Genetics, 86, 99-111 (1992).
- 102. E.M. De Robertis et al., *Homeobox genes and the vertebrate body plan*, Scientific American, July, (1990).
- 103. J.S. Schrum, T.F. Zhu and J.W. Szostak, *The origins of cellular life*, Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol., May 19 (2010).
- 104. I. Budin and J.W. Szostak, *Expanding Roles for Diverse Physical Phenomena During* the Origin of Life, Annu. Rev. Biophys., **39**, 245-263, (2010).
- 105. Clifford Dobell (editor), Antony van Leeuwenhoek and his Little Animals, Dover, New York (1960).
- 106. Paul de Kruif, *Microbe Hunters*, Pocket Books Inc., New York (1959).
- 107. René Dubos, Pasteur and Modern Science, Heinemann, London (1960).
- 108. A.P. Waterson and Lise Wilkinson, An Introduction to the History of Virology, Cambridge University Press (1978).
- 109. P.E. Baldry, The Battle Against Bacteria, Cambridge University Press (1965).
- 110. L. Wilkinson, Animals and Disease; An Introduction to the History of Comparative Medicine, Cambridge University Press, (1992).
- 111. Arthur Rook (editor), *The Origins and Growth of Biology*, Penguin Books Ltd. (1964).

OUR WAR AGAINST NATURE

Chapter 10

ADDICTION TO GROWTH

10.1 Madmen and economists

"Anyone who believes in indefinite growth in anything physical, on a physically finite planet, is either mad or an economist". Kenneth E. Boulding (1910-1993)

Why are economists addicted to growth?

Economists (with a few notable exceptions) have long behaved as though growth were synonymous with economic health. If the gross national product of a country increases steadily by 4 percent per year, most economists express approval and say that the economy is healthy. If the economy could be made to grow still faster (they maintain), it would be still more healthy. If the growth rate should fall, economic illness would be diagnosed. However, it is obvious that on a finite Earth, neither population growth nor economic growth can continue indefinitely.

But why do economists cling almost religiously to the idea of growth? In general, growth brings profits to speculators. For example, purchase of land on the outskirts of a growing city will be rewarded as the land increases in value.; and when the economy grows, stocks rise in value. '

Today, as economic growth falters, the defects and injustices of our banking system have come sharply into focus, and light has also been thrown onto the much-too-cozy relationship between banking and government. The collapse of banks during the subprime mortgage crisis of 2008 and their subsequent bailout by means of the taxpayer's money can give us an insight into both phenomena - the faults of our banking system and its infiltration into the halls of government. The same can be said of the present national debt crisis in the Euro zone and elsewhere.



10.2 Fractional reserve banking

One feature of banking that cries out for reform is "fractional reserve banking", i.e. the practice whereby private banks keep only a tiny fraction of the money entrusted to them by their depositors, and lend out all the remaining amount. By doing so, the banks are in effect coining their own money and putting it into circulation, a prerogative that ought to be reserved for governments. Under the system of fractional reserve banking, profits from any expansion of the money supply go to private banks rather than being used by the government to provide social services. This is basically fraudulent and unjust; the banks are in effect issuing their own counterfeit money.

When the economy contracts instead of expanding, the effect of fractional reserve banking is still worse. In that case the depositors ask the banks for their money, which it is their right to do. But the banks do not have the money - they have lent it out, and thus they fail. However, the bankers have insured themselves against this eventuality by buying the votes of government officials. Thus the banks are bailed out and the taxpayers are left with the bill, as in the recent example in which the US Federal Reserve secretly gave 7.7 trillion of the taxpayers' dollars to bail out various banks.

Inside Job

The Academy-Award-Winning documentary film $Inside Job^1$ tells the shocking story of the corruption of the financial sector that led to the 2008 subprime mortgage crisis and bank

 $^{^{1}\}rm https://www.theguardian.com/film/2011/feb/17/inside-job-review https://topdocumentaryfilms.com/inside-job/$

bailout. The film can be seen online free of charge, and is well worth viewing. Of particular interest are discussions of the history of bank deregulation, governmental collusion, and the destabilizing effects of the enormous derivative market.

10.3 Information-driven population growth

Today we are able to estimate the population of the world at various periods in history, and we can also make estimates of global population in prehistoric times. Looking at the data, we can see that the global population of humans has not followed an exponential curve as a function of time, but has instead followed a hyperbolic trajectory.

At the time of Christ, the population of the world is believed to have been approximately 220 million. By 1500, the earth contained 450 million people, and by 1750, the global population exceeded 700 million. As the industrial and scientific revolution has accelerated, global population has responded by increasing at a break-neck speed: In 1930, the population of the world reached two billion; in 1958 three billion; in 1974 four billion; in 1988 five billion, and in 1999, six billion. Today, we have reached 7.6 billion, and roughly a billion people are being added to the world's population every twelve years.

As the physicist Murray Gell-Mann has pointed out, a simple mathematical curve which closely approximates the global population of humans over a period of several thousand years is a hyperbola of the form P = 190,000,000,000/(2025-t). Here P represents the global population of humans and t is the year.

How are we to explain the fact that the population curve is not an exponential? We can turn to Malthus for an answer: According to his model, population does not increase exponentially, except under special circumstances, when the food supply is so ample that the increase of population is entirely unchecked.

Malthus gives us a model of culturally-driven population growth. He tells us that population increase tends to press against the limits of the food supply, and since these limits are culturally determined, population density is also culturally-determined. Huntergatherer societies need large tracts of land for their support; and in such societies, the population density is necessarily low. Pastoral methods of food production can support populations of a higher density. Finally, extremely high densities of population can be supported by modern agriculture. Thus, Gell-Mann's hyperbolic curve, should be seen as describing the rapidly-accelerating growth of human culture, this being understood to include methods of food production.

If we look at the curve, P=C/(2025-t), it is obvious that human culture has reached a period of crisis. The curve predicts that the world's population will rise to infinity in the year 2025, which of course is impossible. Somehow the actual trajectory of global population as a function of time must deviate from the hyperbolic curve, and in fact, the trajectory has already begun to fall away from the hyperbola.

Because of the great amount of human suffering which may be involved, and the potentially catastrophic damage to the earth's environment, the question of how the actual trajectory of human population will come to deviate from the hyperbola is a matter of



Figure 10.1: The simple mathematical curve that fits best to human population data over the last 3,000 years is not an exponential increase, but rather a hyperbola of the form P=C/(2025-t). Here P represents population, C=190,000,000,000 and t is the year. The curve goes to infinity at t=2025 (only a few years away), which is of course impossible. Global population has already started to fall away from the hyperbolic trajectory. Will it level off, or will it crash disastrously? Because of the enormous amount of human suffering that would be involved in a population crash, the question has great importance.

enormous importance. Will population overshoot the sustainable limit, and crash? Or will it gradually approach a maximum? In the case of the second alternative, will the checks which slow population growth be later marriage and family planning? Or will the grim Malthusian forces - famine, disease and war - act to hold the number of humans within the carrying capacity of their environment?

We can anticipate that as the earth's human population approaches 10 billion, severe famines will occur in many developing countries. The beginnings of this tragedy can already be seen. It is estimated that roughly 30,000 children now die every day from starvation, or from a combination of disease and malnutrition.

Beyond the fossil fuel era

An analysis of the global ratio of population to cropland shows that we have probably already exceeded the sustainable limit of population through our dependence on petroleum: Between 1950 and 1982, the use of cheap synthetic fertilizers increased by a factor of 8. Much of our present agricultural output depends on their use, but their production is expensive in terms of energy. Furthermore, petroleum-derived synthetic fibers have reduced the amount of cropland needed for growing natural fibers, and petroleum-driven tractors have replaced draft animals which required cropland for pasturage.

Also, petroleum fuels have replaced fuelwood and other fuels derived for biomass. The reverse transition, from fossil fuels back to renewable energy sources, will require a considerable diversion of land from food production to energy production. For example, 1.1 hectares are needed to grow the sugarcane required for each alcohol-driven Brazilian automobile. This figure may be compared with the steadily falling average area of cropland available to each person in the world: .24 hectares in 1950, .16 hectares in 1982.

Thus there is a danger that just as global population reaches the unprecedented level of 10 billion or more, the agricultural base for supporting it may suddenly collapse. Ecological catastrophe, possibly compounded by war and other disorders, could produce famine and death on a scale unprecedented in history - a disaster of unimaginable proportions, involving billions rather than millions of people.

What would Malthus say today?

What would Malthus tell us if he were alive today? Certainly he would say that we have reached a period of human history where it is vital to stabilize the world's population if catastrophic environmental degradation and famine are to be avoided. He would applaud efforts to reduce suffering by eliminating poverty, widespread disease, and war; but he would point out that, since it is necessary to stop the rapid increase of human numbers, it follows that whenever the positive checks to population growth are removed, it is absolutely necessary to replace them by preventive checks. Malthus' point of view became more broad in the successive editions of his Essay; and if he were alive today, he would probably agree that family planning is the most humane of the preventive checks.

Eliminating poverty and war

In most of the societies which Malthus described, a clear causal link can be seen, not only between population pressure and poverty, but also between population pressure and war. As one reads his Essay, it becomes clear why both these terrible sources of human anguish saturate so much of history, and why efforts to eradicate them have so often met with failure: The only possible way to eliminate poverty and war is to reduce the pressure of population by preventive checks, since the increased food supply produced by occasional cultural advances can give only very temporary relief.

Today, the links between population pressure, poverty, and war are even more pronounced than they were in the past, because the growth of human population has brought us to the absolute limits imposed by ecological constraints. Furthermore, the development of nuclear weapons has made war prohibitively dangerous.

How many people can the earth support in comfort?

The resources of the earth and the techniques of modern science can support a global population of moderate size in comfort and security; but the optimum size is undoubtedly smaller than the world's present population. Given a sufficiently small global population, renewable sources of energy can be found to replace disappearing fossil fuels. Technology may also be able to find renewable substitutes for many disappearing mineral resources for a global population of a moderate size. What technology cannot do, however, is to give a global population of 10 billion people the standard of living which the industrialized countries enjoy today.

10.4 Entropy and economics

We urgently need to shift quickly from fossil fuels to renewable energy if we are to avoid a tipping point after which human efforts to avoid catastrophic climate change will be futile because feedback loops will have taken over. The dangerous methane hydrate feedback loop is discussed in an excellent short video made by Thom Hartmann and the Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation.²

Celebrated author and activist Naomi Klein has emphasized the link between need for economic reform and our urgent duty to address climate change.³

Rebel economist Prof. Tim Jackson discusses the ways in which our present economic system has failed us, and the specific reforms that are needed. In one of his publications, he says: "The myth of growth has failed us. It has failed the two billion people who still live on 2 dollars a day. It has failed the fragile ecological systems on which we depend for

²https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sRGVTK-AAvw http://lasthours.org/

³http://thischangeseverything.org/naomi-klein/ http://www.theguardian.com/profile/naomiklein



Figure 10.2: Global energy potential. Comparison of renewable and conventional planetary energy reserves and sources. While renewables display their power potential in terawatts (TW) with the corresponding annual amount of energy, conventional sources display their total recoverable energy reserves in terawatt-years (TW-yr). Author: Rfassbind, Wikimedia Commons

survival. It has failed, spectacularly, in its own terms, to provide economic stability and secure people's livelihood." 4

What is entropy?

Entropy is a quantity, originally defined in statistical mechanics and thermodynamics. It is a measure of the statistical probability of any state of a system: The greater the entropy, the greater the probability. The second law of thermodynamics asserts that entropy of the universe always increases with time. In other words, the universe as a whole is constantly moving towards states of greater and greater probability.

For any closed system, the same is true. Such systems move in time towards states of greater and greater probability. However, the earth, with its biosphere, is not a closed system. The earth constantly receives an enormous stream of light from the sun. The radiation which we receive from the sun brings us energy that can be used to perform work, and in physics this is called "free energy". Because of this flood of incoming sunlight, plants, animals and humans are able to create structures which from a statistical point of view are highly unlikely.

The disorder and statistical probability of the universe is constantly increasing, but because the earth is not a closed system, we are able to create local order, and complex, statistically improbable structures, like the works of Shakespeare, the Mona Lisa and the Internet. The human economy is driven by the free energy which we receive as income from the sun. Money is, in fact, a symbol for free energy, and free energy might be thought of as "negative entropy". There is also a link between free energy and information.⁵

Human society as a superorganism, with the global economy as its digestive system

A completely isolated human being would find it as difficult to survive for a long period of time as would an isolated ant or bee or termite. Therefore it seems correct to regard human society as a superorganism. In the case of humans, the analog of the social insects' nest is the enormous and complex material structure of civilization. It is, in fact, what we call the human economy. It consists of functioning factories, farms, homes, transportation links, water supplies, electrical networks, computer networks and much more.

Almost all of the activities of modern humans take place through the medium of these external "exosomatic" parts of our social superorganism. The terms "exosomatic" and "endosomatic" were coined by the American scientist Alfred Lotka (1880-1949). A lobster's claw is endosomatic; it is part of the lobster's body. The hammer used by a human is exosomatic, like a detachable claw. Lotka spoke of "exosomatic evolution", including in

 $^{{}^{4}} http://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/rio-20-tim-jackson-leaders-greeneconomy?newsfeed=true$

http://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/consumerism-sustainability-short-termism-sustainabili

⁵http://www.amazon.com/Information-Theory-And-Evolution-Edition/dp/9814401234

this term not only cultural evolution but also the building up of the material structures of civilization.

The economy associated with the human superorganism "eats" resources and free energy. It uses these inputs to produce local order, and finally excretes them as heat and waste. The process is closely analogous to food passing through the alimentary canal of an individual organism. The free energy and resources that are the inputs of our economy drive it just as food drives the processes of our body, but in both cases, waste products are finally excreted in a degraded form.

Almost all of the free energy that drives the human economy came originally from the sun's radiation, the exceptions being geothermal energy which originates in the decay of radioactive substances inside the earth, and tidal energy, which has its origin in the slowing of the motions of the earth-moon system. However, since the start of the Industrial Revolution, our economy has been using the solar energy stored in of fossil fuels. These fossil fuels were formed over a period of several hundred million years. We are using them during a few hundred years, i.e., at a rate approximately a million times the rate at which they were formed.

The present rate of consumption of fossil fuels is more than 14 terawatts and, if used at the present rate, fossil fuels would last less than a century. However, because of the very serious threats posed by climate change, human society would be well advised to stop the consumption of coal, oil and natural gas within the next two decades.

The rate of growth of of new renewable energy sources is increasing rapidly. These sources include small hydro, modern biomass, solar, wind, geothermal, wave and tidal energy. There is an urgent need for governments to set high taxes on fossil fuel consumption and to shift subsidies from the petroleum and nuclear industries to renewables. These changes in economic policy are needed to make the prices of renewables more competitive.

The shock to the global economy that will be caused by the end of the fossil fuel era will be compounded by the scarcity of other non-renewable resources, such as metals. While it is true (as neoclassical economists emphasize) that "matter and energy can neither be created nor destroyed", free energy can be degraded into heat, and concentrated deposits of minerals can be dispersed. Both the degradation of free energy into heat and the dispersal of minerals involve increases of entropy.

10.5 Frederick Soddy

One of the first people to call attention to the relationship between entropy and economics was the English radiochemist Frederick Soddy (1877-1956). Soddy won the Nobel Prize for Chemistry in 1921 for his work with Ernest Rutherford demonstrating the transmutation of elements in radioactive decay processes. His concern for social problems then led him to a critical study of the assumptions of classical economics. Soddy believed that there is a close connection between free energy and wealth, but only a very tenuous connection between wealth and money.

Soddy was extremely critical of the system of "fractional reserve banking" whereby

private banks keep only a small fraction of the money that is entrusted to them by their depositors and lend out the remaining amount. He pointed out that this system means that the money supply is controlled by the private banks rather than by the government, and also that profits made from any expansion of the money supply go to private corporations instead of being used to provide social services. Fractional reserve banking exists today, not only in England but also in many other countries. Soddy's criticisms of this practice cast light on the subprime mortgage crisis of 2008 and the debt crisis of 2011.

As Soddy pointed out, real wealth is subject to the second law of thermodynamics. As entropy increases, real wealth decays. Soddy contrasted this with the behavior of debt at compound interest, which increases exponentially without any limit, and he remarked:

"You cannot permanently pit an absurd human convention, such as the spontaneous increment of debt [compound interest] against the natural law of the spontaneous decrement of wealth [entropy]". Thus, in Soddy's view, it is a fiction to maintain that being owed a large amount of money is a form of real wealth.

Frederick Soddy's book, "Wealth, virtual wealth and debt: The solution of the economic paradox", published in 1926 by Allen and Unwin, was received by the professional economists of the time as the quixotic work of an outsider. Today, however, Soddy's common-sense economic analysis is increasingly valued for the light that it throws on the problems of our fractional reserve banking system, which becomes more and more vulnerable to failure as economic growth falters.⁶

Currency reform, and nationalization of banks

Frederick Soddy was writing at a time when England's currency was leaving the gold standard, and in order to replace this basis for the currency, he proposed an index system. Soddy's index was to be based on a standard shopping basket containing household items, such as bread, milk, potatoes and so on. If the price of the items in the basket rose, more currency would be issued by the nationalized central bank. If the price fell, currency would be withdrawn.

Nationalization of banks was proposed by Soddy as a means of avoiding the evils of the fractional reserve banking system. Today we see a revival of the idea of nationalized banks, or local user-owned cooperative banks. The Grameen Bank, founded by Prof. Muhammad Yunus, pioneered the idea of socially-motivated banks for the benefit poor people who would ordinarily be unable to obtain loans. The bank and its founder won a Nobel Peace Prize in 2006.⁷

⁶www.fadedpage.com/link.php?file=20140873-a5.pdf

http://human-wrongs-watch.net/2015/07/08/debt-slavery/

⁷http://www.grameen-info.org/history/

http://www.ibtimes.com/greece-drawing-contingency-plans-nationalize-banks-bring-parallel-currency-report-1868830

http://www.quora.com/Why-were-banks-nationalized-in-India

http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2015-01-28/greek-bank-investors-hammered-as-3-day-slump-wipes-12-billion

http://www.armstrongeconomics.com/archives/30531

10.6 Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen: Ecological Economics

The incorporation of the idea of entropy into economic thought also owes much to the mathematician and economist Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen (1906-1994), the son a Romanian army officer. Georgescu-Roegen's talents were soon recognized by the Romanian school system, and he was given an outstanding education in mathematics, which later contributed to his success and originality as an economist.

Between 1927 and 1930 the young Georgescu studied at the Institute de Statistique in Paris, where he completed an award-winning thesis: "On the problem of finding out the cyclical components of phenomena". He then worked in England with Karl Pearson from 1930 to 1932, and during this period his work attracted the attention of a group of economists who were working on a project called the Harvard Economic Barometer. He received a Rockefeller Fellowship to join this group, but when he arrived at Harvard, he found that the project had been disbanded.

In desperation, Georgescu-Roegen asked the economist Joseph Schumpeter for an appointment to his group. Schumpeter's group was in fact a remarkably active and interesting one, which included the future Nobel laureate Wassely Leontief; and there followed a period of intense intellectual activity during which Georgescu-Roegen became an economist.

Despite offers of a permanent position at Harvard, Georgescu-Roegen returned to his native Romania in the late 1930's and early 1940's in order to help his country. He served as a member of the Central Committee of the Romanian National Peasant Party. His experiences at this time led to his insight that economic activity involves entropy. He was also helped to this insight by Borel's monograph on Statistical Mechanics, which he had read during his Paris period.

Georgescu-Roegen later wrote: "The idea that the economic process is not a mechanical analogue, but an entropic, unidirectional transformation began to turn over in my mind long ago, as I witnessed the oil wells of the Plosti field of both World Wars' fame becoming dry one by one, and as I grew aware of the Romanian peasants' struggle against the deterioration of their farming soil by continuous use and by rains as well. However it was the new representation of a process that enabled me to crystallize my thoughts in describing the economic process as the entropic transformation of valuable natural resources (low entropy) into valueless waste (high entropy)."

After making many technical contributions to economic theory, Georgescu-Roegen returned to this insight in his important 1971 book, "The Entropy Law and the Economic Process" (Harvard University Press), where he outlines his concept of bioeconomics. In a later book, "Energy and Economic Myths" (Pergamon Press, New York, 1976), he offered the following recommendations for moving towards a bioeconomic society:

1. The complete prohibition of weapons production, thereby releasing productive forces

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nationalization

http://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/jul/23/beppe-grillo-calls-for-nationalisation-of-italian-banks-and-exit-from-euro

http://dissidentvoice.org/2015/07/whats-wrong-with-our-monetary-system-and-how-to-fix-it/



Figure 10.3: According to the second law of thermodynamics, the entropy of the universe constantly increases. Increase of entropy corresponds to increase of disorder, and also to increase of statistical probability. Living organisms on the earth are able to achieve a high degree of order and highly improbable structures because the earth is not a closed system. It constantly receives free energy (i.e. energy capable of doing work) from the sun, and this free energy can be thought of as carrying thermodynamic information, or "negative entropy". Source: flowchainsensel.wordpress.co,

10.7. HERMAN E. DALY AND KOZO MAYUMI



Figure 10.4: Wind, solar, and biomass are three emerging renewable sources of energy. Wind turbines in a rapeseed field in Sandesneben, Germany. Author: Jürgen from Sandesneben, Germany, Wikimedia Commons

for more constructive purposes;

- 2. Immediate aid to underdeveloped countries;
- 3. Gradual decrease in population to a level that could be maintained only by organic agriculture;
- 4. Avoidance, and strict regulation if necessary, of wasteful energy use;
- 5. Abandon our attachment to "extravagant gadgetry";
- 6. "Get rid of fashion";
- 7. Make goods more durable and repairable; and
- 8. Cure ourselves of workaholic habits by re-balancing the time spent on work and leisure, a shift that will become incumbent as the effects of the other changes make themselves felt.

Georgescu-Roegen did not believe that his idealistic recommendations would be adopted, and he feared that human society is headed for a crash.

10.7 Herman E. Daly and Kozo Mayumi

Limits to growth

Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen's influence continues to be felt today, not only through his own books and papers but also through those of his students, the distinguished economists Herman E. Daly and Kozo Mayumi, who for many years have been advocating a steady-state



Figure 10.5: Today, Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen's work for a sustainable steady.state economic system is ably carried forward by his two distinguished students, Professors Herman E. Daly (above) and Kozo Mayumi (below).



10.7. HERMAN E. DALY AND KOZO MAYUMI

economy. As they point out in their books and papers, it is becoming increasingly apparent that unlimited economic growth on a finite planet is a logical impossibility. However, it is important to distinguish between knowledge, wisdom and culture, which can and should continue to grow, and growth in the sense of an increase in the volume of material goods produced. It is growth in the latter sense that is reaching its limits.

Daly describes our current situation as follows: "The most important change in recent times has been the growth of one subsystem of the Earth, namely the economy, relative to the total system, the ecosphere. This huge shift from an 'empty' to a 'full' world is truly 'something new under the sun'... The closer the economy approaches the scale of the whole Earth, the more it will have to conform to the physical behavior mode of the Earth... The remaining natural world is no longer able to provide the sources and sinks for the metabolic throughput necessary to sustain the existing oversized economy, much less a growing one. Economists have focused too much on the economy's circulatory system and have neglected to study its digestive tract."⁸

In the future, the only way that we can avoid economic collapse is to build a steady-state economy. There exists much literature on how this can be achieved, and these writings ought to become a part of the education of all economists and politicians.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. Naomi Klein, *This Changes Everything: Capitalism and the Climate*, Simon and Schuster, New York, (2014).
- 2. Naomi Klein, *The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism*, Knopf Canada, (2007).
- 3. Noam Chomsky, Because We Say So, City Lights Open Media, (2015).
- 4. Noam Chomsky, *Democracy and Power: The Delhi Lectures*, Open Book Publishers, (2014).
- Noam Chomsky, Masters of Mankind: Essays and Lectures, 1969-2013, Haymarket Books, (2014).
- Noam Chomsky, Nuclear War and Environmental Catastrophe, Seven Stories Press, New York, (2013).
- 7. A. Gore, An Inconvenient Truth: The Planetary Emergency of Global Warming and What We Can Do About It, Rodale Books, New York, (2006).
- 8. A. Gore, Earth in the Balance: Forging a New Common Purpose, Earthscan, (1992).
- 9. A.H. Ehrlich and P.R. Ehrlich, *Earth*, Thames and Methuen, (1987).pro Simon and Schuster, (1990).
- 10. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Healing the Planet: Strategies for Resolving the Environmental Crisis*, Addison-Wesley, (1991).

⁸http://dalynews.org/learn/blog/

http://steadystate.org/category/herman-daly/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EN5esbvAt-w

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wlR-VsXtM4Y

http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/survey/so/2015/car031315a.htm

- 11. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Betrayal of Science and Reason: How Anti-Environmental Rhetoric Threatens our Future*, Island Press, (1998).
- 12. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, One With Nineveh: Politics, Consumption and the Human Future, Island Press, (2004).
- 13. A.H. Ehrlich and U. Lele, Humankind at the Crossroads: Building a Sustainable Food System, in Draft Report of the Pugwash Study Group: The World at the Crossroads, Berlin, (1992).
- 14. P.R. Ehrlich, *The Population Bomb*, Sierra/Ballentine, New York, (1972).
- 15. P.R. Ehrlich, A.H. Ehrlich and J. Holdren, *Human Ecology*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1972).
- 16. P.R. Ehrlich, A.H. Ehrlich and J. Holdren, *Ecoscience: Population, Resources, Environment*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1977)
- 17. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Extinction*, Victor Gollancz, London, (1982).
- D.H. Meadows, D.L. Meadows, J. Randers, and W.W. Behrens III, The Limits to Growth: A Report for the Club of Rome's Project on the Predicament of Mankind, Universe Books, New York, (1972).
- 19. D.H. Meadows et al., Beyond the Limits. Confronting Global Collapse and Envisioning a Sustainable Future, Chelsea Green Publishing, Post Mills, Vermont, (1992).
- D.H. Meadows, J. Randers and D.L. Meadows, *Limits to Growth: the 30-Year Update*, Chelsea Green Publishing, White River Jct., VT 05001, (2004).
- 21. A. Peccei and D. Ikeda, *Before it is Too Late*, Kodansha International, Tokyo, (1984).
- 22. A. Peccei, The Human Quality, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1977).
- 23. A. Peccei, One Hundred Pages for the Future, Pergamon Press, New York, (1977).
- 24. V.K. Smith, ed., *Scarcity and Growth Reconsidered*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, (1979).
- 25. R. Costannza, ed., *Ecological Economics: The Science and Management of Sustainability*, Colombia University Press, New York, (1991).
- 26. M. McCarthy, *China Crisis: Threat to the Global Environment*, The Independent, (19 October, 2005).
- 27. L.R. Brown, The Twenty-Ninth Day, W.W. Norton, New York, (1978).
- 28. N. Myers, The Sinking Ark, Pergamon, New York, (1972).
- 29. N. Myers, *Conservation of Tropical Moist Forests*, National Academy of Sciences, Washington D.C., (1980).
- 30. National Academy of Sciences, *Energy and Climate*, NAS, Washington D.C., (1977).
- 31. W. Ophuls, *Ecology and the Politics of Scarcity*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1977).
- 32. E. Eckholm, Losing Ground: Environmental Stress and World Food Prospects, W.W. Norton, New York, (1975).
- 33. E. Eckholm, *The Picture of Health: Environmental Sources of Disease*, New York, (1976).
- Economic Commission for Europe, Air Pollution Across Boundaries, United Nations, New York, (1985).

- 35. G. Hagman and others, *Prevention is Better Than Cure*, Report on Human Environmental Disasters in the Third World, Swedish Red Cross, Stockholm, Stockholm, (1986).
- 36. G. Hardin, "The Tragedy of the Commons", Science, December 13, (1968).
- 37. K. Newland, Infant Mortality and the Health of Societies, Worldwatch Paper 47, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1981).
- 38. D.W. Orr, *Ecological Literacy*, State University of New York Press, Albany, (1992).
- 39. E. Pestel, *Beyond the Limits to Growth*, Universe Books, New York, (1989).
- 40. D.C. Pirages and P.R. Ehrlich, Ark II: Social Responses to Environmental Imperatives, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1974).
- 41. Population Reference Bureau, *World Population Data Sheet*, PRM, 777 Fourteenth Street NW, Washington D.C. 20007, (published annually).
- 42. R. Pressat, *Population*, Penguin Books Ltd., (1970).
- 43. M. Rechcigl (ed.), Man/Food Equation, Academic Press, New York, (1975).
- 44. J.C. Ryan, *Life Support: Conserving Biological Diversity*, Worldwatch Paper 108, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1992).
- 45. J. Shepard, *The Politics of Starvation*, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington D.C., (1975).
- 46. B. Stokes, Local Responses to Global Problems: A Key to Meeting Basic Human Needs, Worldwatch Paper 17, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1978).
- 47. L. Timberlake, Only One Earth: Living for the Future, BBC/ Earthscan, London, (1987).
- 48. UNEP, *Environmental Data Report*, Blackwell, Oxford, (published annually).
- 49. UNESCO, International Coordinating Council of Man and the Biosphere, MAB Report Series No. 58, Paris, (1985).
- 50. United Nations Fund for Population Activities, A Bibliography of United Nations Publications on Population, United Nations, New York, (1977).
- 51. United Nations Fund for Population Activities, *The State of World Population*, UNPF, 220 East 42nd Street, New York, 10017, (published annually).
- United Nations Secretariat, World Population Prospects Beyond the Year 2000, U.N., New York, (1973).
- 53. J. van Klinken, *Het Dierde Punte*, Uitgiversmaatschappij J.H. Kok-Kampen, Netherlands (1989).
- 54. B. Ward and R. Dubos, Only One Earth, Penguin Books Ltd., (1973).
- 55. WHO/UNFPA/UNICEF, The Reproductive Health of Adolescents: A Strategy for Action, World Health Organization, Geneva, (1989).
- 56. E.O. Wilson, *Sociobiology*, Harvard University Press, (1975).
- 57. E.O. Wilson (ed.), *Biodiversity*, National Academy Press, Washington D.C., (1988).
- 58. E.O. Wilson, *The Diversity of Life*, Allen Lane, The Penguin Press, London, (1992).
- 59. G. Woodwell (ed.), The Earth in Transition: Patterns and Processes of Biotic Impoverishment, Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- 60. World Resources Institute (WRI), *Global Biodiversity Strategy*, The World Conservation Union (IUCN), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), (1992).

- 61. World Resources Institute, World Resources 200-2001: People and Ecosystems: The Fraying Web of Life, WRI, Washington D.C., (2000).
- 62. D.W. Pearce and R.K. Turner, *Economics of Natural Resources and the Environment*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, (1990).
- 63. T. Jackson, Material Concerns: Pollution, Profit and the Quality of Life, Routledge, (2004).
- 64. T. Jackson, *Motivating Sustainable Consumption*, Report to the Sustainable Development Research Network, January (2005).
- 65. T. Jackson, The Earthscan Reader in Sustainable Consumption, Earthscan, (2006).
- 66. J.S. Avery, Information Theory and Evolution, 2nd Edition, World Scientific, (2012).
- 67. A.J. Lotka, *Elements of Mathematical Biology*, Dover, (1956).
- 68. E.O. Wilson Sociobiology: The New Synthesis, Harvard University Press, (1975).
- 69. E.O. Wilson, The Superorganism: The Beauty, Elegance, and Strangeness of Insect Societies, W.W. Norton, (2009).
- 70. F. Soddy, Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt. The solution of the economic paradox, George Allen and Unwin, (1926).
- 71. F. Soddy, *The Role of Money*, George Routledge and Sons, London, (1934)
- 72. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Energy and Economic Myths : Institutional and Analytical Economic Essays, Pergamon Press, (1976).
- 73. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*, Harvard University Press, (1971).
- 74. J. Rifkin and T. Howard, *Entropy: A New World View* The Viking Press, New York (1980).
- 75. P. Bartelmus, Environment, Growth and Development: The Concepts and Strategies of Sustainability, Routledge, New York, (1994).
- 76. H.E. Daly and K.N. Townsend, (editors), Valuing the Earth. Economics, Ecology, Ethics, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, (1993)
- 77. C. Flavin, *Slowing Global Warming: A Worldwide Strategy*, Worldwatch Paper 91, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1989).
- S.H. Schneider, The Genesis Strategy: Climate and Global Survival, Plenum Press, (1976).
- 79. WHO/UNFPA/UNICEF, The Reproductive Health of Adolescents: A Strategy for Action, World Health Organization, Geneva, (1989).
- 80. World Commission on Environment and Development, *Our Common Future*, Oxford University Press, (1987).
- 81. W. Jackson, Man and the Environment, W.C. Brown, Dubuque, Iowa, (1971).
- 82. T. Berry, The Dream of the Earth, Sierra Club Books, San Francisco, (1988).
- 83. T.M. Swanson, ed., *The Economics and Ecology of Biodiversity Decline: The Forces Driving Global Change*, Cambridge University Press, (1995).
- 84. F.H. Bormann, Unlimited Growth: Growing, Growing, and Gone?, BioScience 22: 706-9, (1972).
- 85. L.G. Brookes, A Low-Energy Strategy for the United Kingdom, Atom 269: 73-8, (1979).
10.7. HERMAN E. DALY AND KOZO MAYUMI

- 86. J. Cherfas, *Skeptics and Visionaries Examine Energy Saving*, Science 251: 154-6, (1991).
- 87. C.J. Cleveland, Energy Quality and Energy Surplus in the Extraction of Fossil Fuels in the US, Ecological Economics 6: 139-62, (1992).
- 88. C.J. Cleveland, Robert Costanza, Charlie A.S. Hall and Robert Kaufmann, *Energy* and the US Economy: A Biophysical Perspective, Science 225 (4665): 890-7, (1984).
- 89. P. Cloud, *Entropy, Materials, and Prosperity*, Geologische Rundschau 66: 678-96, (1978).
- 90. H.E. Daly, From Empty-World Economics to Full-World Economics: Recognizing a Historical Turning Point in Economic Development, in R. Goodland, H. E. Daly and S. Serafy (eds) Population, Technology, and Lifestyle, pp. 23-37. Washington, DC: Island Press, (1992).
- 91. H.E. Daly, On Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen'-s Contributions to Economics: An Obituary Essay, Ecological Economics 13: 149-54, (1995).
- H.E. Daly, Georgescu-Roegen versus Solow/Stiglitz, Ecological Economics 22: 267-8, (1997).
- 93. M. Eigen, Selforganization of Matter and the Evolution of Biological Macro-molecules, Naturwissenschaften 58(10): 465-523, (1971).
- 94. S.O. Funtowicz and Jerry R. Ravetz, *Post Normal Science: A New Science for New Times*, Scientific European 266: 20-2, (1990).
- 95. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Fixed Coefficients of Production and the Marginal Productivity Theory, Review of Economic Studies 3: 40-9, (1935a).
- 96. N. Georgescu-Roegen, (1935b) Note on a Proposition of Pareto, Quarterly Journal of Economics 49: 706-14.
- 97. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Marginal Utility of Money and Elasticities of Demand, Quarterly Journal of Economics 50: 533-9, (1936a).
- N. Georgescu-Roegen, The Pure Theory of Consumer'-s Behavior, Quarterly Journal of Economics 50: 545-93, (1936b).
- 99. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Process in Farming versus Process in Manufacturing: A Problem of Balanced Development, in U. Papi and C. Nunn (eds) Economic Problems of Agriculture in Industrial Societies, pp. 497-528. London: Macmillan, (1969).
- 100. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, (1971).
- 101. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *Energy and Economic Myths*, Southern Economic Journal 41: 347-81, (1975).
- 102. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *Energy and Economic Myths*. New York: Pergamon Press, (1976).
- 103. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Inequality, Limits and Growth from a Bioeconomic Viewpoint, Review of Social Economy 35: 361-75, (1977a).
- 104. N. Georgescu-Roegen, The Steady State and Ecological Salvation: A Thermodynamic Analysis, BioScience 27: 266-70, (1977b).
- 105. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Energy Analysis and Economic Valuation, Southern Economic Journal 45: 1023-58, (1979a).

- 106. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Methods in Economic Science, Journal of Economic Issues 13 (2): 317-28, (1979b).
- 107. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Methods in Economic Science: A Rejoinder, Economic Issues 15: 188-93, (1981).
- 108. N. Georgescu-Roegen, *The Promethean Condition of Viable Technologies*, Materials and Society 7: 425-35, (1983).
- 109. Georgescu-Roegen, Nicholas, Man and Production, in M. Baranzini and R. Scazzieri (eds) Foundations of Economics: Structures of Inquiry and Economic Theory, pp. 247-80. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, (1986).
- 110. N. Georgescu-Roegen, An Emigrant from a Developing Country: Autobiographical Notes-I, Banca Nationale del Lavoro Quarterly Review 164: 3-31, (1988a).
- 111. N. Georgescu-Roegen, The Interplay between Institutional and Material Factors: The Problem and Its Status, in J.A. Kregel, E. Matzner and A. Roncaglia (eds) Barriers to Employment, pp. 297-326. London: Macmillan, (1988b).
- 112. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Production Process and Dynamic Economics, in M. Baranzini and R. Scazzieri (eds) The Economic Theory of Structure and Change, pp. 198-226. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- 113. N. Georgescu-Roegen, Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen about Himself, in M. Szenberg (ed.) Eminent Economists: Their Life Philosophies, pp. 128-59. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, (1992).
- 114. J. Gever, Robert Kaufmann, David Skole and Charles Vörösmarty, *Beyond Oil: The Threat to Food and Fuel in the Coming Decades*, Niwot, CO: University Press of Colorado, (1991).
- 115. M. Giampietro, Sustainability and Technological Development in Agriculture: A Critical Appraisal of Genetic Engineering, BioScience 44(10): 677-89, (1994).
- 116. M. Giampietro and Kozo Mayumi, Another View of Development, Ecological Degradation and North-South Trade, Review of Social Economy 56: 21-37, (1998).
- 117. M. Giampietro and Kozo Mayumi, *The Biofuel Delusion: The Fallacy of Large Scale Agro-biofuel Production*, London: Earthscan, (2009).
- 118. R. Goldschmidt, Some Aspects of Evolution, Science 78: 539-47, (1933).
- 119. S.J. Gould, The Return to Hopeful Monsters, Natural History 86: 22-30, (1977).
- 120. S.J. Gould and Niles Eldredge, Punctuated Equilibria: The Tempo and Mode of Evolution Reconsidered, Paleobiology 3: 115-51, (1977).
- 121. J. Gowdy, *The Value of Biodiversity: Markets, Society and Ecosystems*, Land Economics 73(1): 25-41, (1997).
- 122. J. Gribbin, The Death of the Sun New York: Delacorte Press, (1980).
- 123. C.A.S. Hall, Cutler J. Cleveland and Robert Kaufman, *Energy and Resource Quality* New York: John Wiley and Sons, (1986).
- 124. S.R. Ichtiaque and Stephen H. Schneider, Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide and Aerosols: Effects of Large Increases on Global Climate, Science 173: 138-41, (1971).
- 125. K. Ito, Setting Goals and Action Plan for Energy Efficiency Improvement. Paper presented at the EAS Energy Efficiency and Conservation Conference, Tokyo (19 June), (2007).

10.7. HERMAN E. DALY AND KOZO MAYUMI

- 126. F. Jevons, *Greenhouse: A Paradox*, Search 21: 171-2, (1990).
- 127. W.S. Jevons, *The Coal Question* (reprint of 3rd edn, 1906). New York: Augustus M. Kelley, (1965).
- 128. N. Kawamiya, Entropii to Kougyoushakai no Sentaku (Entropy and Future Choices for the Industrial Society), Tokyo: Kaimei, (1983).
- 129. J.D. Khazzoom, Economic Implications of Mandated Efficiency Standards for Household Appliances, Energy Journal 1: 21-39, (1980).
- 130. J.D. Khazzoom, Energy Saving Resulting from the Adoption of More Efficient Appliances, Energy Journal 8: 85-9, (1987).
- 131. T.C. Koopmans, *Three Essays on the State of Economic Science*, New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, (1957).
- 132. T.S. Kuhn, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press, (1962).
- 133. J. von Liebig, *Letters on Modern Agriculture* (J. Blyth ed.). New York: John Wiley, (1959).
- 134. A.J. Lotka, *Elements of Mathematical Biology*, New York: Dover Publications, (1956).
- 135. G. Luft, Fueling the Dragon: China'-s Race Into the Oil Market. http://www.iags.org/ china.htm, (2007).
- 136. K. Mayumi, The Origins of Ecological Economics: The Bioeconomics of Georgescu-Roegen, London: Routledge, (2001).
- 137. K. Mayumi, An Epistemological Critique of the Open Leontief Dynamic Model: Balanced and Sustained Growth, Delays, and Anticipatory Systems Theory, Structural Change and Economic Dynamics 16: 540-56m (2005).
- 138. K. Mayumi, Mario Giampietro and John Gowdy, Georgescu-Roegen/Daly versus Solow/Stiglitz Revisited, Ecological Economics 27: 115-17. Legacies: Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen 1253, (1998).
- 139. W.H. Miernyk, Economic Growth Theory and the Georgescu-Roegen Paradigm, in K. Mayumi and J. Gowdy (eds) Bioeconomics and Sustainability: Essays in Honour of Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen, pp. 69-81. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, (1999).
- 140. Newman, Peter, Greenhouse, Oil and Cities, Futures May: 335-48, (1991).
- 141. D. Pearce, Substitution and Sustainability: Some Reflections on Georgescu-Roegen, Ecological Economics 22: 295-7, (1997).
- 142. D. Pearce, Edward Barbier and Anil Markandya, *Sustainable Development*, Hamp-shire: Edward Elgar, (1990).
- 143. J. Polimeni, Kozo Mayumi, Mario Giampietro and Blake Alcott, *The Jevons Paradox and the Myth of Resource Efficiency Improvements*, London: Earthscan, (2008).
- 144. J.F. Randolph, *Basic Real and Abstract Analysis*, New York: Academic Press, (1968).
- 145. D. Ricardo, On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation, in P. Sraffa (ed.) The Works and Correspondence of David Ricardo, Vol. 1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, (1951).
- 146. E. Schrödinger, What is Life? With Mind and Matter and Autobiographical Sketches, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, (1967).

- 147. J.A. Schumpeter, *The Theory of Economic Development*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard Economic Press, (1951).
- 148. G.T. Seaborg, The Erehwon Machine: Possibilities for Reconciling Goals by Way of New Technology, in S.H. Schurr (ed.) Energy, Economic Growth, and the Environment, pp. 125-38. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, (1972).
- 149. M.R. Simmons, Twilight in the Desert: The Coming Saudi Oil Shock and the World Economy New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., (2005).
- 150. B.J. Skinner, Earth Resource (3rd edn), New Jersey: Prentice Hall, (1986).
- 151. V. Smil, *Global Catastrophes and Trends: The Next Fifty Years* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, (2008).
- 152. R. Solow, *Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function*, Review of Economics and Statistics 39: 312-20, (1957).
- 153. R. Solow, The Economics of Resources or the Resources of Economics, American Economic Review 64: 1-14, (1974).
- 154. R.E. Ulanowicz, *Growth and Development: Ecosystem Phenomenology* New York: Springer-Verlag, (1986).
- 155. US Geological Survey, Commodity Statistics and Information, (2005).
- 156. G.K. Zipf, National Unity and Disunity: The Nation as a Bio-social Organism. Bloomington, IN: Principia Press, (1941).

Chapter 11

THE THREAT OF LARGE-SCALE FAMINE

"Unless progress with agricultural yields remains very strong, the next century will experience human misery that, on a sheer numerical scale, will exceed everything that has come before"

Nobel Laureate Norman Borlaug speaking of a global food crisis in the 21st century

11.1 Introduction

As glaciers melt in the Himalayas, depriving India and China of summer water supplies; as sea levels rise, drowning the fertile rice fields of Viet Nam and Bangladesh; as drought threatens the productivity of grain-producing regions of North America; and as the end of the fossil fuel era impacts modern high-yield agriculture, there is a threat of wide-spread famine. There is a danger that the 1.5 billion people who are undernourished today will not survive an even more food-scarce future.

People threatened with famine will become refugees, desperately seeking entry into countries where food shortages are less acute. Wars, such as those currently waged in the Middle East, will add to the problem.

What can we do to avoid this crisis, or at least to reduce its severity? We must urgently address the problem of climate change; and we must shift money from military expenditure to the support of birth control programs and agricultural research. We must also replace the institution of war by a system of effective global governance and enforcible international laws.

11.2 Optimum population in the distant future

What is the optimum population of the world? It is certainly not the maximum number that can be squeezed onto the globe by eradicating every species of plant and animal that cannot be eaten. The optimum global population is one that can be supported in comfort, equality and dignity - and with respect for the environment.

In 1848 (when there were just over one billion people in the world), John Stuart Mill described the optimal global population in the following words:

"The density of population necessary to enable mankind to obtain, in the greatest degree, all the advantages of cooperation and social intercourse, has, in the most populous countries, been attained. A population may be too crowded, although all be amply supplied with food and raiment."

"... Nor is there much satisfaction in contemplating the world with nothing left to the spontaneous activity of nature; with every rood of land brought into cultivation, which is capable of growing food for human beings; every flowery waste or natural pasture plowed up, all quadrupeds or birds which are not domesticated for man's use exterminated as his rivals for food, every hedgerow or superfluous tree rooted out, and scarcely a place left where a wild shrub or flower could grow without being eradicated as a weed in the name of improved agriculture. If the earth must lose that great portion of its pleasantness which it owes to things that the unlimited increase of wealth and population would extirpate from it, for the mere purpose of enabling it to support a larger, but not better or happier population, I sincerely hope, for the sake of posterity, that they will be content to be stationary, long before necessity compels them to it."¹

¹John Stuart Mill, Principles of Political Economy, With Some of Their Applications to Social Philosophy, (1848).

Has the number of humans in the world already exceeded the earth's sustainable limits? Will the global population of humans crash catastrophically after having exceeded the carrying capacity of the environment? There is certainly a danger that this will happen - a danger that the 21st century will bring very large scale famines to vulnerable parts of the world, because modern energy-intensive agriculture will be dealt a severe blow by prohibitively high petroleum prices, and because climate change will reduce the world's agricultural output. When the major glaciers in the Himalayas have melted, they will no longer be able to give India and China summer water supplies; rising oceans will drown much agricultural land; and aridity will reduce the output of many regions that now produce much of the world's grain. Falling water tables in overdrawn aquifers, and loss of topsoil will add to the problem. We should be aware of the threat of a serious global food crisis in the 21st century if we are to have a chance of avoiding it.

The term *ecological footprint* was introduced by William Rees and Mathis Wackernagel in the early 1990's to compare demands on the environment with the earth's capacity to regenerate. In 2005, humanity used environmental resources at such a rate that it would take 1.3 earths to renew them. In other words, we have already exceeded the earth's carrying capacity. Since eliminating the poverty that characterizes much of the world today will require more resources per capita, rather than less. it seems likely that in the era beyond fossil fuels, the optimum global population will be considerably less than the present population of the world.

11.3 Population growth and the Green Revolution

Limitations on cropland

In 1944 the Norwegian-American plant geneticist Norman Borlaug was sent to Mexico by the Rockefeller Foundation to try to produce new wheat varieties that might increase Mexico's agricultural output. Borlaug's dedicated work on this project was spectacularly successful. He remained with the project for 16 years, and his group made 6,000 individual crossings of wheat varieties to produce high-yield disease-resistant strains.

In 1963, Borlaug visited India, bringing with him 100 kg. of seeds from each of his most promising wheat strains. After testing these strains in Asia, he imported 450 tons of the Lerma Rojo and Sonora 64 varieties - 250 tons for Pakistan and 200 for India. By 1968, the success of these varieties was so great that school buildings had to be commandeered to store the output. Borlaug's work began to be called a "Green Revolution". In India, the research on high-yield crops was continued and expanded by Prof. M.S. Swaminathan and his coworkers. The work of Green Revolution scientists, such Norman Borlaug and M.S. Swaminathan, has been credited with saving the lives of as many as a billion people.

Despite these successes, Borlaug believes that the problem of population growth is still a serious one. "Africa and the former Soviet republics", Borlaug states, "and the Cerrado²,

² The Cerrado is a large savanna region of Brazil.

OUR WAR AGAINST NATURE



Figure 11.1: Professor M.S. Swaminathan, father of the Green Revolution in India. (Open and Shut7)



Figure 11.2: Norman Borlaug and agronomist George Harrer in 1943. (Human Wrongs Watch)



Figure 11.3: This graph shows the total world production of coarse grain between 1960 and 2004. Because of high-yield varieties, the yield of grain increased greatly. Notice, however, that the land under cultivation remained almost constant. High-yield agriculture depends on large inputs of fossil fuel energy and irrigation, and may be difficult to maintain in the future. (FAO)

are the last frontiers. After they are in use, the world will have no additional sizable blocks of arable land left to put into production, unless you are willing to level whole forests, which you should not do. So, future food-production increases will have to come from higher yields. And though I have no doubt that yields will keep going up, whether they can go up enough to feed the population monster is another matter. Unless progress with agricultural yields remains very strong, the next century will experience human misery that, on a sheer numerical scale, will exceed the worst of everything that has come before."

With regard to the prospect of increasing the area of cropland, a report by the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization (*Provisional Indicative World Plan for Agricultural Development*, FAO, Rome, 1970) states that "In Southern Asia,... in some countries of Eastern Asia, in the Near East and North Africa... there is almost no scope for expanding agricultural area... In the drier regions, it will even be necessary to return to permanent pasture the land that is marginal and submarginal for cultivation. In most of Latin America and Africa south of the Sahara, there are still considerable possibilities for expanding cultivated areas; but the costs of development are high, and it will often be more economical to intensify the utilization of areas already settled." Thus there is a possibility of increasing the area of cropland in Africa south of the Sahara and in Latin America, but only at the cost of heavy investment and at the additional cost of destruction of tropical rain forests.

Rather than an increase in the global area of cropland, we may encounter a future loss of cropland through soil erosion, salination, desertification, loss of topsoil, depletion of minerals in topsoil, urbanization and failure of water supplies. In China and in the southwestern part of the United States, water tables are falling at an alarming rate. The Ogallala aquifer (which supplies water to many of the plains states in the central and southern parts of the United States) has a yearly overdraft of 160%.

In the 1950's, both the U.S.S.R and Turkey attempted to convert arid grasslands into wheat farms. In both cases, the attempts were defeated by drought and wind erosion, just as the wheat farms of Oklahoma were overcome by drought and dust in the 1930's.

If irrigation of arid lands is not performed with care, salt may be deposited, so that the land is ruined for agriculture. This type of desertification can be seen, for example, in some parts of Pakistan. Another type of desertification can be seen in the Sahel region of Africa, south of the Sahara. Rapid population growth in the Sahel has led to overgrazing, destruction of trees, and wind erosion, so that the land has become unable to support even its original population.

Especially worrying is a prediction of the International Panel on Climate Change concerning the effect of global warming on the availability of water: According to Model A1 of the IPCC, global warming may, by the 2050's, have reduced by as much as 30% the water available in large areas of world that now a large producers of grain³.

Added to the agricultural and environmental problems, are problems of finance and distribution. Famines can occur even when grain is available somewhere in the world, because those who are threatened with starvation may not be able to pay for the grain, or

³See the discussion of the Stern Report in Chapter 7.

for its transportation. The economic laws of supply and demand are not able to solve this type of problem. One says that there is no "demand" for the food (meaning demand in the economic sense), even though people are in fact starving.

11.4 Energy-dependence of modern agriculture

Food prices and energy prices

A very serious problem with Green Revolution plant varieties is that they require heavy inputs of pesticides, fertilizers and irrigation. Because of this, the use of high-yield varieties contributes to social inequality, since only rich farmers can afford the necessary inputs. Monocultures, such as the Green Revolution varieties may also prove to be vulnerable to future epidemics of plant diseases, such as the epidemic that caused the Irish Potato Famine in 1845. Even more importantly, pesticides, fertilizers and irrigation all depend on the use of fossil fuels. One must therefore ask whether high agricultural yields can be maintained in the future, when fossil fuels are expected to become prohibitively scarce and expensive.

Modern agriculture has become highly dependent on fossil fuels, especially on petroleum and natural gas. This is especially true of production of the high-yield grain varieties introduced in the Green Revolution, since these require especially large inputs of fertilizers, pesticides and irrigation. Today, fertilizers are produced using oil and natural gas, while pesticides are synthesized from petroleum feedstocks, and irrigation is driven by fossil fuel energy. Thus agriculture in the developed countries has become a process where inputs of fossil fuel energy are converted into food calories. If one focuses only on the farming operations, the fossil fuel energy inputs are distributed as follows:

- 1. Manufacture of inorganic fertilizer, 31%
- 2. Operation of field machinery, 19%
- 3. Transportation, 16%
- 4. Irrigation, 13%
- 5. Raising livestock (not including livestock feed), 8%
- 6. Crop drying, 5%
- 7. Pesticide production, 5%
- 8. Miscellaneous, 8%

The ratio of the fossil fuel energy inputs to the food calorie outputs depends on how many energy-using elements of food production are included in the accounting. David Pimental and Mario Giampietro of Cornell University estimated in 1994 that U.S. agriculture required 0.7 kcal of fossil fuel energy inputs to produce 1.0 kcal of food energy. However, this figure was based on U.N. statistics that did not include fertilizer feedstocks, pesticide feedstocks, energy and machinery for drying crops, or electricity, construction and maintenance of farm buildings. A more accurate calculation, including these inputs, gives an input/output ratio of approximately 1.0. Finally, if the energy expended on transportation, packaging and retailing of food is included, Pimental and Giampietro found that the input/output ratio for the U.S. food system was approximately 10, and this figure did not include energy used for cooking.

The Brundtland Report's ⁴ estimate of the global potential for food production assumes "that the area under food production can be around 1.5 billion hectares (3.7 billion acres - close to the present level), and that the average yields could go up to 5 tons of grain equivalent per hectare (as against the present average of 2 tons of grain equivalent)." In other words, the Brundtland Report assumes an increase in yields by a factor of 2.5. This would perhaps be possible if traditional agriculture could everywhere be replaced by energy-intensive modern agriculture using Green Revolution plant varieties. However, Pimental and Giampietro's studies show that modern energy-intensive agricultural techniques cannot be maintained after fossil fuels have been exhausted.

At the time when the Brundtland Report was written (1987), the global average of 2 tons of grain equivalent per hectare included much higher yields from the sector using modern agricultural methods. Since energy-intensive petroleum-based agriculture cannot be continued in the post-fossil-fuel era, future average crop yields will probably be much less than 2 tons of grain equivalent per hectare.

The 1987 global population was approximately 5 billion. This population was supported by 3 billion tons of grain equivalent per year. After fossil fuels have been exhausted, the total world agricultural output is likely to be considerably less than that, and therefore the population that it will be possible to support will probably be considerably less than 5 billion, assuming that our average daily per capita use of food calories remains the same, and assuming that the amount of cropland and pasturage remains the same (1.5 billion hectares cropland, 3.0 billion hectares pasturage).

The Brundtland Report points out that "The present (1987) global average consumption of plant energy for food, seed and animal feed amounts to 6,000 calories daily, with a range among countries of 3,000-15,000 calories, depending on the level of meat consumption." Thus there is a certain flexibility in the global population that can survive on a given total agricultural output. If the rich countries were willing to eat less meat, more people could be supported.

⁴ World Commission on Environment and Development, *Our Common Future*, Oxford University Press, (1987). This book is often called "The Brundtland Report" after Gro Harlem Brundtland, the head of WCED, who was then Prime Minister of Norway.

11.5 Effects of climate change on agriculture

Effects of temperature increase on crops

There is a danger that when climate change causes both temperature increases and increased aridity in regions like the US grain belt, yields will be very much lowered. Of the three main grain types (corn, wheat and rice) corn is the most vulnerable to the direct effect of increases in temperature. One reason for this is the mechanism of pollination of corn: A pollen grain lands on one end of a corn-silk strand, and the germ cell must travel the length of the strand in order to fertilize the kernel. At high temperatures, the corn silk becomes dried out and withered, and is unable to fulfill its biological function. Furthermore, heat can cause the pores on the underside of the corn leaf to close, so that photosynthesis stops.

According to a study made by Mohan Wali and coworkers at Ohio State University, the photosynthetic activity of corn increases until the temperature reaches 20 degrees Celsius. It then remains constant until the temperature reaches 35 degrees, after which it declines. At 40 degrees and above, photosynthesis stops altogether.

Scientists in the Philippines report that the pollination of rice fails entirely at 40 degrees Celsius, leading to crop failures. Wheat yields are also markedly reduced by temperatures in this range.

Predicted effects on rainfall

According to the Stern Report, some of the major grain-producing areas of the world might loose up to 30% of their rainfall by 2050. These regions include much of the United States, Brazil, the Mediterranean region, Eastern Russia and Belarus, the Middle East, Southern Africa and Australia. Of course possibilities for agriculture may simultaneously increase in other regions, but the net effect of climate change on the world's food supply is predicted to be markedly negative.

Unsustainable use of groundwater

It may seem surprising that fresh water can be regarded as a non-renewable resource. However, groundwater in deep aquifers is often renewed very slowly. Sometimes renewal requires several thousand years. When the rate of withdrawal of groundwater exceeds the rate of renewal, the carrying capacity of the resource has been exceeded, and withdrawal of water becomes analogous to mining a mineral. However, it is more serious than ordinary mining because water is such a necessary support for life.

In many regions of the world today, groundwater is being withdrawn faster than it can be replenished, and important aquifers are being depleted. In China, for example, groundwater levels are falling at an alarming rate. Considerations of water supply in relation to population form the background for China's stringent population policy. At a recent lecture, Lester Brown of the Worldwatch Institute was asked by a member of the audience to name the resource for which shortages would most quickly become acute. Most of the audience expected him to name oil, but instead he replied "water". Lester Brown then cited China's falling water table. He predicted that within decades, China would be unable to feed itself. He said that this would not cause hunger in China itself: Because of the strength of China's economy, the country would be able to purchase grain on the world market. However Chinese purchases of grain would raise the price, and put world grain out of reach of poor countries in Africa. Thus water shortages in China will produce famine in parts of Africa, Brown predicted.

Under many desert areas of the world are deeply buried water tables formed during glacial periods when the climate of these regions was wetter. These regions include the Middle East and large parts of Africa. Water can be withdrawn from such ancient reservoirs by deep wells and pumping, but only for a limited amount of time.

In oil-rich Saudi Arabia, petroenergy is used to drill wells for ancient water and to bring it to the surface. Much of this water is used to irrigate wheat fields, and this is done to such an extent that Saudi Arabia exports wheat. The country is, in effect, exporting its ancient heritage of water, a policy that it may, in time, regret. A similarly short-sighted project is Muammar Qaddafi's enormous pipeline, which will bring water from ancient sub-desert reservoirs to coastal cities of Libya.

In the United States, the great Ogallala aquifer is being overdrawn. This aquifer is an enormous stratum of water-saturated sand and gravel underlying parts of northern Texas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Kansas, Colorado, Nebraska, Wyoming and South Dakota. The average thickness of the aquifer is about 70 meters. The rate of water withdrawal from the aquifer exceeds the rate of recharge by a factor of eight.

Thus we can see that in many regions, the earth's present population is living on its inheritance of water, rather than its income. This fact, coupled with rapidly increasing populations and climate change, may contribute to a food crisis partway through the 21st century.

Glacial melting and summer water supplies

The summer water supplies of both China and India are threatened by the melting of glaciers. The Gangotri glacier, which is the principle glacier feeding India's great Ganges River, is reported to be melting at an accelerating rate, and it could disappear within a few decades. If this happens, the Ganges could become seasonal, flowing only during the monsoon season.

Chinese agriculture is also threatened by disappearing Himalayan glaciers, in this case those on the Tibet-Quinghai Plateau. The respected Chinese glaciologist Yao Tandong estimates that the glaciers feeding the Yangtze and Yellow Rivers are disappearing at the rate of 7% per year.

The Indus and Mekong Rivers will be similarly affected by the melting of glaciers. Lack of water during the summer season could have a serious impact on the irrigation of rice and wheat fields.



Figure 11.4: Whitechuck Glacier in the North Cascades National Park in 1973. (Nicholas College)



Figure 11.5: The same glacier in 2006 (Nicholas College)

Forest loss and climate change

Mature forests contain vast amounts of sequestered carbon, not only in their trees, but also in the carbon-rich soil of the forest floor. When a forest is logged or burned to make way for agriculture, this carbon is released into the atmosphere. One fifth of the global carbon emissions are at present due to destruction of forests. This amount is greater than the CO_2 emissions for the world's transportation systems.

An intact forest pumps water back into the atmosphere, increasing inland rainfall and benefiting agriculture. By contrast, deforestation, for example in the Amazonian rainforest, accelerates the flow of water back into the ocean, thus reducing inland rainfall. There is a danger that the Amazonian rainforest may be destroyed to such an extent that the region will become much more dry. If this happens, the forest may become vulnerable to fires produced by lightning strikes. This is one of the feedback loops against which the Stern Report warns - the drying and burning of the Amazonian rainforest may become irreversible, greatly accelerating climate change, if destruction of the forest proceeds beyond a certain point.

Erosion of topsoil

Besides depending on an adequate supply of water, food production also depends on the condition of the thin layer of topsoil that covers the world's croplands. This topsoil is being degraded and eroded at an alarming rate: According to the World Resources Institute and the United Nations Environment Programme, "It is estimated that since World War II, 1.2 billion hectares... has suffered at least moderate degradation as a result of human activity. This is a vast area, roughly the size of China and India combined." This area is 27% of the total area currently devoted to agriculture ⁵. The report goes on to say that the degradation is greatest in Africa.

The risk of topsoil erosion is greatest when marginal land is brought into cultivation, since marginal land is usually on steep hillsides which are vulnerable to water erosion when wild vegetation is removed.

David Pimental and his associates at Cornell University pointed out in 1995 that "Because of erosion-associated loss of productivity and population growth, the per capita food supply has been reduced over the past 10 years and continues to fall. The Food and Agricultural Organization reports that the per capita production of grains which make up 80% of the world's food supply, has been declining since 1984."

Pimental et al. add that "Not only is the availability of cropland per capita decreasing as the world population grows, but arable land is being lost due to excessive pressure on the environment. For instance, during the past 40 years nearly one-third of the world's cropland (1.5 billion hectares) has been abandoned because of soil erosion and degradation. Most of the replacement has come from marginal land made available by removing forests. Agriculture accounts for 80% of the annual deforestation."

 $^{^{5}}$ The total area devoted to agriculture throughout the world is 1.5 billion hectares of cropland and 3.0 billion hectares of pasturage.

11.5. EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON AGRICULTURE



Figure 11.6: Desert regions of the Africa that are in danger of spreading. (FAO)

Topsoil can also be degraded by the accumulation of salt when irrigation water evaporates. The worldwide area of irrigated land has increased from 8 million hectares in 1800 to more than 100 million hectares today. This land is especially important to the world food supply because it is carefully tended and yields are large in proportion to the area. To protect this land from salination, it should be irrigated in such a way that evaporation is minimized.

Finally cropland with valuable topsoil is being be lost to urban growth and highway development, a problem that is made more severe by growing populations and by economic growth.

Laterization

Every year, more than 100,000 square kilometers of rain forest are cleared and burned, an area which corresponds to that of Switzerland and the Netherlands combined. Almost half of the world's tropical forests have already been destroyed. Ironically, the land thus cleared often becomes unsuitable for agriculture within a few years.

Tropical soils may seem to be fertile when covered with luxuriant vegetation, but they are usually very poor in nutrients because of leeching by heavy rains. The nutrients which remain are contained in the vegetation itself; and when the forest cover is cut and burned, the nutrients are rapidly lost.

Often the remaining soil is rich in aluminum oxide and iron oxide. When such soils are exposed to oxygen and sun-baking, a rocklike substance called Laterite is formed. The temples of Angkor Wat in Cambodia are built of Laterite; and it is thought that laterization of the soil contributed to the disappearance of the Khmer civilization, which built these temples.

11.6 Harmful effects of industrialized farming

A major global public health crisis may soon be produced by the wholesale use of antibiotics in the food of healthy farm animals. The resistance factors produced by shovelling antibiotics into animal food produces resistance factors (plasmids) which can easily be transferred to human pathogens. A related problem is the excessive use of pesticides and artificial fossil-fuel-derived fertilizers in agriculture. Pharming is not a joke. It is a serious threat.⁶

Plasmids

Bacteria belong to a class of organisms (prokaryotes) whose cells do not have a nucleus. Instead, the DNA of the bacterial chromosome is arranged in a large loop. In the early 1950's, Joshua Lederberg discovered that bacteria can exchange genetic information. He found that a frequently-exchanged gene, the F-factor (which conferred fertility), was not linked to other bacterial genes; and he deduced that the DNA of the F-factor was not physically a part of the main bacterial chromosome. In 1952, Lederberg coined the word "plasmid" to denote any extrachromosomal genetic system.

In 1959, it was discovered in Japan that genes for resistance to antibiotics can be exchanged between bacteria; and the name "R-factors" was given to these genes. Like the F-factors, the R-factors did not seem to be part of the main loop of bacterial DNA.

Because of the medical implications of this discovery, much attention was focused on the R-factors. It was found that they were plasmids, small loops of DNA existing inside the bacterial cell, but not attached to the bacterial chromosome. Further study showed that, in general, between one percent and three percent of bacterial genetic information is carried by plasmids, which can be exchanged freely even between different species of bacteria.

In the words of the microbiologist, Richard Novick, "Appreciation of the role of plasmids has produced a rather dramatic shift in biologists' thinking about genetics. The traditional view was that the genetic makeup of a species was about the same from one cell to another, and was constant over long periods of time. Now a significant proportion of genetic traits

http://ecowatch.com/2013/12/06/8-scary-facts-about-antibiotic-resistance/

⁶http://ecowatch.com/2014/03/06/misuse-antibiotics-fatal-superbug-crisis/

http://ecowatch.com/2015/03/27/obama-fight-superbug-crisis/

http://ecowatch.com/2014/03/12/fda-regulation-antibiotics-factory-farms/

http://www.bbc.com/news/health-35153795

http://www.bbc.com/news/health-21702647

http://www.bbc.com/news/health-34857015

http://sustainableagriculture.net/about-us/

https://pwccc.wordpress.com/programa/

11.7. THE DEMOGRAPHIC TRANSITION

are known to be variable (present in some individual cells or strains, absent in others), labile (subject to frequent loss or gain) and mobile, all because those traits are associated with plasmids or other atypical genetic systems."

Because of the ease with which plasmids conferring resistance to antibiotics can be transferred from animal bacteria to the bacteria carrying human disease, the practice of feeding antibiotics to healthy farm animals is becoming a major human health hazard. The World Health Organization has warned that if we lose effective antibiotics through this mechanism, "Many common infections will no longer have a cure, and could kill unabated". The US Center for Disease Control has pointed to the emergence of "nightmare bacteria", and the chief medical officer for England Prof Dame Sally Davies has evoked parallels with the "apocalypse".

Pesticides, artificial fertilizers and topsoil

A closely analogous danger results from the overuse of pesticides and petroleum-derived fertilizers in agriculture. A very serious problem with Green Revolution plant varieties is that they require heavy inputs of pesticides, fertilizers and irrigation. Because of this, the use of high-yield varieties contributes to social inequality, since only rich farmers can afford the necessary inputs. Monocultures, such as the Green Revolution varieties may also prove to be vulnerable to future plant diseases, such as the epidemic that caused the Irish Potato Famine in 1845. Even more importantly, pesticides, fertilizers and irrigation all depend on the use of fossil fuels. One must ask, therefore, whether high-yield agriculture can be maintained in the post-fossil-fuel era.

Topsoil is degraded by excessive use of pesticides and artificial fertilizers. Natural topsoil is rich in organic material, which contains sequestered carbon that would otherwise be present in our atmosphere in the form of greenhouse gases. In addition, natural topsoil contains an extraordinarily rich diversity of bacteria and worms that act to convert agricultural wastes from one year's harvest into nutrients for the growth of next year's crop. Pesticides kill these vital organisms, and make the use of artificial fertilizers necessary.

Finally, many small individual farmers, whose methods are sustainable, are being eliminated by secret land-grabs or put out of business because they cannot compete with unsustainable high-yield agriculture. Traditional agriculture contains a wealth of knowledge and biodiversity, which it would be wise for the world to preserve.

11.7 The demographic transition

The phrase "developing countries" is more than a euphemism; it expresses the hope that with the help of a transfer of technology from the industrialized nations, all parts of the world can achieve prosperity. Some of the forces that block this hope have just been mentioned. Another factor that prevents the achievement of worldwide prosperity is population growth.

OUR WAR AGAINST NATURE



Figure 11.7: Child suffering with the deficiency disease Marasmus in India. (Public domain)



The Stages of the Demographic Transition.

Figure 11.8: A schematic graph showing the demographic transition from an old equilibrium with a high birth rate and high death rate to a new equilibrium where both the birth rate and the death rate are low. (Wikimedia)

11.7. THE DEMOGRAPHIC TRANSITION

In the words of Dr. Halfdan Mahler, former Director General of the World Health Organization, "Country after country has seen painfully achieved increases in total output, food production, health and educational facilities and employment opportunities reduced or nullified by excessive population growth."

The growth of population is linked to excessive urbanization, infrastructure failures and unemployment. In rural districts in the developing countries, family farms are often divided among a growing number of heirs until they can no longer be subdivided. Those family members who are no longer needed on the land have no alternative except migration to overcrowded cities, where the infrastructure is unable to cope so many new arrivals. Often the new migrants are forced to live in excrement-filled makeshift slums, where dysentery, hepatitis and typhoid are endemic, and where the conditions for human life sink to the lowest imaginable level. In Brazil, such shanty towns are called "favelas".

If modern farming methods are introduced in rural areas while population growth continues, the exodus to cities is aggravated, since modern techniques are less labor-intensive and favor large farms. In cities, the development of adequate infrastructure requires time, and it becomes a hopeless task if populations are growing rapidly. Thus, population stabilization is a necessary first step for development.

It can be observed that birth rates fall as countries develop. However, development is sometimes blocked by the same high birth rates that economic progress might have prevented. In this situation (known as the "demographic trap"), economic gains disappear immediately because of the demands of an exploding population.

For countries caught in the demographic trap, government birth control programs are especially important, because one cannot rely on improved social conditions to slow birth rates. Since health and lowered birth rates should be linked, it is appropriate that familyplanning should be an important part of programs for public health and economic development.

A recent study conducted by Robert F. Lapham of Demographic Health Surveys and W. Parker Maudlin of the Rockefeller Foundation has shown that the use of birth control is correlated both with socio-economic setting and with the existence of strong family-planning programs. The implication of this study is that even in the absence of increased living standards, family-planning programs can be successful, provided they have strong government support.

China, the world's most populous nation, has adopted the somewhat draconian policy of allowing only one child for families in living in towns and cities (35.9% of the population). Chinese leaders obtained popular support for their one-child policy by means of an educational program which emphasized future projections of diminishing water resources and diminishing cropland per person if population increased unchecked. Like other developing countries, China has a very young population, which will continue to grow even when fertility has fallen below the replacement level because so many of its members are contributing to the birth rate rather than to the death rate. China's present population is 1.3 billion. Its projected population for the year 2025 is 1.5 billion. China's one-child policy is supported by 75% of the country's people, but the methods of enforcement are sometimes criticized, and it has led to a M/F sex ratio of 1.17/1.00. The natural baseline



Figure 11.9: Education of women and higher status for women are vitally important measures, not only for their own sake, but also because these social reforms have proved to be the key to lower birth rates. (Kundan Srivastava)

for the sex ratio ranges between 1.03/1.00 and 1.07/1.00.

Education of women and higher status for women are vitally important measures, not only for their own sake, but also because in many countries these social reforms have proved to be the key to lower birth rates. Religious leaders who oppose programs for the education of women and for family planning on "ethical" grounds should think carefully about the scope and consequences of the catastrophic global famine which will undoubtedly occur within the next 50 years if population is allowed to increase unchecked. Do these leaders really wish to be responsible for the suffering and death from starvation of hundreds of millions of people?

At the United Nations Conference on Population and Development, held in Cairo in September, 1994, a theme which emerged very clearly was that one of the most important keys to controlling the global population explosion is giving women better education and equal rights. These goals are desirable for the sake of increased human happiness, and for the sake of the uniquely life-oriented point of view which women can give us; but in addition, education and improved status for women have shown themselves to be closely connected with lowered birth rates. When women lack education and independent careers outside the home, they can be forced into the role of baby-producing machines by men who do not share in the drudgery of cooking, washing and cleaning; but when women have educational, legal, economic, social and political equality with men, experience has shown that they choose to limit their families to a moderate size.

Sir Partha Dasgupta of Cambridge University has pointed out that the changes needed to break the cycle of overpopulation and poverty are all desirable in themselves. Besides education and higher status for women, they include state-provided social security for old people, provision of water supplies near to dwellings, provision of health services to all, abolition of child labor and general economic development.

The UN Summit on Addressing Large Movements of Refugees and Migrants

On September 19, 2016, the United Nations General Assembly held a 1-day summit meeting to address the pressing problem of refugees. It is a problem that has been made acute by armed conflicts in the Middle East and Africa, and by climate change.

One of the outcomes of the summit was the a Declaration for Refugees and Migrants. Here is a statement of the severity of the problem from paragraph 3 of the Declaration:

"We are witnessing in today's world an unprecedented level of human mobility. More people than ever before live in a country other than the one in which they were born. Migrants are present in all countries of the world. Most of them move without incident. In 2015, their number surpassed 244 million, growing at a rate faster than the world's population. However, there are 65 million forcibly displaced persons, including over 21 million refugees, 3 million asylum seekers and over 40 million internally displaced persons."

Sadly, the world's response to the tragic plight of refugees fleeing from zones of armed conflict has been less than generous. Men, women and many children, trying to escape from almost certain death in the war-torn Middle East, have been met, not with sympathy and kindness, but with barbed wire and tear gas.

Germany's Chancellor, Angela Merkel, courageously made arrangements for her country to accept a large number of refugees, but as a consequence her party has suffered political setbacks. On the whole, European governments have moved to the right, as anti-refugee parties gained strength. The United States, Canada Australia and Russia, countries that could potentially save the lives of many refugees, have accepted almost none. In contrast, tiny Lebanon, despite all its problems, has become the home of so many refugees that they are a very large fraction of the country's total population.

As the effects of climate change become more pronounced, we can expect the suffering and hopelessness of refugees to become even more severe. This is a challenge which the world must meet with humanity and solidarity.

The World Cities Report, 2016

According to the World Cities Report⁷, by 2030, two thirds of the world's population will be living in cities. As the urban population increases, the land area occupied by cities is increasing at a higher rate. It is projected that by 2030, the urban population of developing countries will double, while the area covered by cites could triple.

Commenting on this, the UN-Habitat Executive Director, Joan Clos, said: "In the twenty years since the Habitat II conference, the world has seen a gathering of its population in urban areas. This has been accompanied by socioeconomic growth in many instances.

⁷http://wcr.unhabitat.org/

But the urban landscape is changing and with it, the pressing need for a cohesive and realistic approach to urbanization".

"Such urban expansion is wasteful in terms of land and energy consumption and increases greenhouse gas emissions. The urban centre of gravity, at least for megacities, has shifted to the developing regions."

One can foresee that in the future, as fossil fuels become increasingly scarce, the problem of feeding urban populations will become acute.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. P. Dasgupta, Population, Resources and Poverty, Ambio, 21, 95-101, (1992).
- 2. L.R. Brown, Who Will Feed China?, W.W. Norton, New York, (1995).
- L.R. Brown, et al., Saving the Planet. How to Shape and Environmentally Sustainable Global Economy, W.W. Norton, New York, (1991).
- 4. L.R. Brown, *Postmodern Malthus: Are There Too Many of Us to Survive?*, The Washington Post, July 18, (1993).
- 5. L.R. Brown and H. Kane, Full House. Reassessing the Earth's Population Carrying Capacity, W.W. Norton, New York, (1991).
- 6. L.R. Brown, Seeds of Change, Praeger Publishers, New York, (1970).
- L.R. Brown, *The Worldwide Loss of Cropland*, Worldwatch Paper 24, Worldwatch Institute, Washington, D.C., (1978).
- 8. L.R. Brown, and J.L. Jacobson, *Our Demographically Divided World*, Worldwatch Paper 74, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1986).
- L.R. Brown, and J.L. Jacobson, The Future of Urbanization: Facing the Ecological and Economic Constraints, Worldwatch Paper 77, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1987).
- 10. L.R. Brown, and others, *State of the World*, W.W. Norton, New York, (published annually).
- 11. H. Brown, The Human Future Revisited. The World Predicament and Possible Solutions, W.W. Norton, New York, (1978).
- 12. H. Hanson, N.E. Borlaug and N.E. Anderson, *Wheat in the Third World*, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, (1982).
- A. Dil, ed., Norman Borlaug and World Hunger, Bookservice International, San Diego/Islamabad/Lahore, (1997).
- 14. N.E. Borlaug, *The Green Revolution Revisitied and the Road Ahead*, Norwegian Nobel Institute, Oslo, Norway, (2000).
- N.E. Borlaug, Ending World Hunger. The Promise of Biotechnology and the Threat of Antiscience Zealotry, Plant Physiology, 124, 487-490, (2000).
- M. Giampietro and D. Pimental, The Tightening Conflict: Population, Energy Use and the Ecology of Agriculture, in Negative Population Forum, L. Grant ed., Negative Population Growth, Inc., Teaneck, N.J., (1993).
- 17. H.W. Kendall and D. Pimental, *Constraints on the Expansion of the Global Food* Supply, Ambio, **23**, 198-2005, (1994).

- D. Pimental et al., Natural Resources and Optimum Human Population, Population and Environment, 15, 347-369, (1994).
- D. Pimental et al., Environmental and Economic Costs of Soil Erosion and Conservation Benefits, Science, 267, 1117-1123, (1995).
- D. Pimental et al., Natural Resources and Optimum Human Population, Population and Environment, 15, 347-369, (1994).
- D. Pimental and M. Pimental, Food Energy and Society, University Press of Colorado, Niwot, Colorado, (1996).
- D. Pimental et al., Environmental and Economic Costs of Soil Erosion and Conservation Benefits, Science, 267, 1117-1123, (1995).
- 23. RS and NAS, *The Royal Society and the National Academy of Sciences on Population Growth and Sustainability*, Population and Development Review, **18**, 375-378, (1992).
- 24. A.M. Altieri, Agroecology: The Science of Sustainable Agriculture, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, (1995).
- 25. G. Conway, The Doubly Green Revolution, Cornell University Press, (1997).
- 26. J. Dreze and A. Sen, Hunger and Public Action, Oxford University Press, (1991).
- 27. G. Bridger, and M. de Soissons, Famine in Retreat?, Dent, London, (1970).
- 28. W. Brandt, World Armament and World Hunger: A Call for Action, Victor Gollanz Ltd., London, (1982).
- 29. A.K.M.A. Chowdhury and L.C. Chen, *The Dynamics of Contemporary Famine*, Ford Foundation, Dacca, Pakistan, (1977)
- J. Shepard, *The Politics of Starvation*, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington D.C., (1975).
- 31. M.E. Clark, Ariadne's Thread: The Search for New Modes of Thinking, St. Martin's Press, New York, (1989).
- 32. J.-C. Chesnais, The Demographic Transition, Oxford, (1992).
- 33. C.M. Cipola, *The Economic History of World Population*, Penguin Books Ltd., (1974).
- 34. E. Draper, Birth Control in the Modern World, Penguin Books, Ltd., (1972).
- 35. Draper Fund Report No. 15, *Towards Smaller Families: The Crucial Role of the Private Sector*, Population Crisis Committee, 1120 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Washington D.C. 20036, (1986).
- 36. E. Eckholm, Losing Ground: Environmental Stress and World Food Prospects, W.W. Norton, New York, (1975).
- 37. E. Havemann, *Birth Control*, Time-Life Books, (1967).
- J. Jacobsen, Promoting Population Stabilization: Incentives for Small Families, Worldwatch Paper 54, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1983).
- 39. N. Keyfitz, Applied Mathematical Demography, Wiley, New York, (1977).
- 40. W. Latz (ed.), Future Demographic Trends, Academic Press, New York, (1979).
- 41. World Bank, Poverty and Hunger: Issues and Options for Food Security in Developing Countries, Washington D.C., (1986).
- 42. J.E. Cohen, *How Many People Can the Earth Support?*, W.W. Norton, New York, (1995).

- 43. J. Amos, *Climate Food Crisis to Deepen*, BBC News (5 September, 2005).
- 44. J. Vidal and T. Ratford, One in Six Countries Facing Food Shortage, The Guardian, (30 June, 2005).
- 45. J. Mann, Biting the Environment that Feeds Us, The Washington Post, July 29, 1994.
- 46. G.R. Lucas, Jr., and T.W. Ogletree, (editors), *Lifeboat Ethics. The Moral Dilemmas of World Hunger*, Harper and Row, New York.
- 47. J.L. Jacobson, *Gender Bias: Roadblock to Sustainable Development*, Worldwatch Paper 110, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1992).
- 48. J. Gever, R. Kaufmann, D. Skole and C. Vorosmarty, *Beyond Oil: The Threat to Food and Fuel in the Coming Decades*, Ballinger, Cambridge MA, (1986).
- 49. M. ul Haq, *The Poverty Curtain: Choices for the Third World*, Columbia University Pres, New York, (1976).
- 50. H. Le Bras, La Planète au Village, Datar, Paris, (1993).
- 51. E. Mayr, *Population, Species and Evolution*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, (1970).

Chapter 12

POPULATION STABILIZATION TO AVOID FAMINE

"Anyone who believes in indefinite growth in anything physical, on a physically finite planet, is either mad or an economist." Kenneth E. Boulding (1910-1993)

12.1 Population stabilization today

The phrase "developing countries" is more than a euphemism; it expresses the hope that with the help of a transfer of technology from the industrialized nations, all parts of the world can achieve prosperity. Some of the forces that block this hope have just been mentioned. Another factor that prevents the achievement of worldwide prosperity is population growth.

In the words of Dr. Halfdan Mahler, former Director General of the World Health Organization, "Country after country has seen painfully achieved increases in total output, food production, health and educational facilities and employment opportunities reduced or nullified by excessive population growth."

The growth of population is linked to excessive urbanization, infrastructure failures and unemployment. In rural districts in the developing countries, family farms are often divided among a growing number of heirs until they can no longer be subdivided. Those family members who are no longer needed on the land have no alternative except migration to overcrowded cities, where the infrastructure is unable to cope so many new arrivals. Often the new migrants are forced to live in excrement-filled makeshift slums, where dysentery, hepatitis and typhoid are endemic, and where the conditions for human life sink to the lowest imaginable level. In Brazil, such shanty towns are called "favelas".

If modern farming methods are introduced in rural areas while population growth continues, the exodus to cities is aggravated, since modern techniques are less labor-intensive and favor large farms. In cities, the development of adequate infrastructure requires time, and it becomes a hopeless task if populations are growing rapidly. Thus, population stabilization is a necessary first step for development.

It can be observed that birth rates fall as countries develop. However, development is sometimes blocked by the same high birth rates that economic progress might have prevented. In this situation (known as the "demographic trap"), economic gains disappear immediately because of the demands of an exploding population.

For countries caught in the demographic trap, government birth control programs are especially important, because one cannot rely on improved social conditions to slow birth rates. Since health and lowered birth rates should be linked, it is appropriate that familyplanning should be an important part of programs for public health and economic development.

A recent study conducted by Robert F. Lapham of Demographic Health Surveys and W. Parker Maudlin of the Rockefeller Foundation has shown that the use of birth control is correlated both with socio-economic setting and with the existence of strong family-planning programs. The implication of this study is that even in the absence of increased living standards, family-planning programs can be successful, provided they have strong government support.

China, the world's most populous nation, has adopted the somewhat draconian policy of allowing only one child for families in living in towns and cities (35.9% of the population). Chinese leaders obtained popular support for their one-child policy by means of an educational program which emphasized future projections of diminishing water resources and diminishing cropland per person if population increased unchecked. Like other developing countries, China has a very young population, which will continue to grow even when fertility has fallen below the replacement level because so many of its members are contributing to the birth rate rather than to the death rate. China's present population is 1.4 billion. Its projected population for the year 2025 is 1.6 billion. China's one-child policy is supported by 75% of the country's people, but the methods of enforcement are sometimes criticized, and it has led to a M/F sex ratio of 1.17/1.00. The natural baseline for the sex ratio ranges between 1.03/1.00 and 1.07/1.00.

Education of women and higher status for women are vitally important measures, not only for their own sake, but also because in many countries these social reforms have proved to be the key to lower birth rates. Religious leaders who oppose programs for the education of women and for family planning on "ethical" grounds should think carefully about the scope and consequences of the catastrophic global famine which will undoubtedly occur within the next 50 years if population is allowed to increase unchecked. Do these leaders really wish to be responsible for the suffering and death from starvation of hundreds of millions of people?

At the United Nations Conference on Population and Development, held in Cairo in September, 1994, a theme which emerged very clearly was that one of the most important keys to controlling the global population explosion is giving women better education and equal rights. These goals are desirable for the sake of increased human happiness, and for the sake of the uniquely life-oriented point of view which women can give us; but in addition, education and improved status for women have shown themselves to be closely connected with lowered birth rates. When women lack education and independent careers

280

outside the home, they can be forced into the role of baby-producing machines by men who do not share in the drudgery of cooking, washing and cleaning; but when women have educational, legal, economic, social and political equality with men, experience has shown that they choose to limit their families to a moderate size.

Sir Partha Dasgupta of Cambridge University has pointed out that the changes needed to break the cycle of overpopulation and poverty are all desirable in themselves. Besides education and higher status for women, they include state-provided social security for old people, provision of water supplies near to dwellings, provision of health services to all, abolition of child labor and general economic development.

12.2 Information-driven population growth

Today we are able to estimate the population of the world at various periods in history, and we can also make estimates of global population in prehistoric times. Looking at the data, we can see that the global population of humans has not followed an exponential curve as a function of time, but has instead followed a hyperbolic trajectory. At the time of Christ, the population of the world is believed to have been approximately 220 million. By 1500, the earth contained 450 million people, and by 1750, the global population exceeded 700 million. As the industrial and scientific revolution has accelerated, global population has responded by increasing at a break-neck speed: In 1930, the population of the world reached two billion; in 1958 three billion; in 1974 four billion; in 1988 five billion, and in 1999, six billion. Today, roughly a billion people are being added to the world's population every fiften years.

As the physicist Murry Gell-Mann has pointed out, a simple mathematical curve which closely approximates the global population of humans over a period of several thousand years is a hyperbola of the form

$$P = \frac{190,000,000,000}{2025 - t}$$

Here P is the population and t is the year. How are we to explain the fact that the population curve is not an exponential? We can turn to Malthus for an answer: According to his model, population does not increase exponentially, except under special circumstances, when the food supply is so ample that the increase of population is entirely unchecked. Malthus gives us a model of culturally-driven population growth. He tells us that population increase tends to press against the limits of the food supply, and since these limits are culturally determined, population density is also culturally-determined. Hunter-gatherer societies need large tracts of land for their support; and in such societies, the populations of a higher density. Finally, extremely high densities of population can be supported by modern agriculture. Thus, the hyperbolic curve, P=C/(2025-t), where C is a constant, should be seen as describing the rapidly-accelerating growth of human culture, this being understood to include methods of food production.



Figure 12.1: Population growth and fossil fuel use, seen on a time-scale of several thousand years. The dots are population estimates in millions from the US Census Bureau. Fossil fuel use appears as a spike-like curve, rising from almost nothing to a high value, and then falling again to almost nothing in the space of a few centuries. When the two curves are plotted together, the explosive rise of global population is seen to be simultaneous with, and perhaps partially driven by, the rise of fossil fuel use. This raises the question of whether the world's population is headed for a crash when the fossil fuel era has ended. (Author's own graph)

If we look at the curve, P=C/(2025-t), it is obvious that human culture has reached a period of crisis. The curve predicts that the world's population will rise to infinity in the year 2025, which of course is impossible. Somehow the actual trajectory of global population as a function of time must deviate from the hyperbolic curve, and in fact, the trajectory has already begun to fall away from the hyperbola. Because of the great amount of human suffering which may be involved, and the potentially catastrophic damage to the earth's environment, the question of how the actual trajectory of human population will come to deviate from the hyperbola is a matter of enormous importance. Will population overshoot the sustainable limit, and crash? Or will it gradually approach a maximum? In the case of the second alternative, will the checks which slow population growth be later marriage and family planning? Or will the grim Malthusian forces - famine, disease and war - act to hold the number of humans within the carrying capacity of their environment?

We can anticipate that as the earth's human population approaches 10 billion, severe famines will occur in many developing countries. The beginnings of this tragedy can already be seen. It is estimated that roughly 30,000 children now die every day from starvation, or from a combination of disease and malnutrition.

An analysis of the global ratio of population to cropland shows that we have probably already exceeded the sustainable limit of population through our dependence on petroleum: Between 1950 and 1982, the use of cheap synthetic fertilizers increased by a factor of 8. Much of our present agricultural output depends on their use, but their production is expensive in terms of energy. Furthermore, petroleum-derived synthetic fibers have reduced the amount of cropland needed for growing natural fibers, and petroleum-driven tractors have replaced draft animals which required cropland for pasturage.

Also, petroleum fuels have replaced fuelwood and other fuels derived for biomass. The reverse transition, from fossil fuels back to renewable energy sources, will require a considerable diversion of land from food production to energy production. For example, 1.1 hectares are needed to grow the sugarcane required for each alcohol-driven Brazilian automobile. This figure may be compared with the steadily falling average area of cropland available to each person in the world: .24 hectares in 1950, .16 hectares in 1982.

As population increases, the cropland per person will continue to fall, and we will be forced to make still heavier use of fertilizers to increase output per hectare. Also marginal land will be used in agriculture, with the probable result that much land will be degraded through erosion and salination. Climate change will reduce agricultural output. The Hubbert peaks for oil and natural gas will occur within one or two decades, and the fossil fuel era will be over by the end of 21st century. Thus there is a danger that just as global population reaches the unprecedented level of 10 billion or more, the agricultural base for supporting it may suddenly collapse. Ecological catastrophe, possibly compounded by war and other disorders, could produce famine and death on a scale unprecedented in history - a disaster of unimaginable proportions, involving billions rather than millions of people.

The resources of the earth and the techniques of modern science can support a global population of moderate size in comfort and security; but the optimum size is undoubtedly smaller than the world's present population (see Chapter 4). Given a sufficiently small global population, renewable sources of energy can be found to replace disappearing fossil



Figure 12.2: The number of hectares of cropland available per person as a function of time.

fuels. Technology may also be able to find renewable substitutes for many disappearing mineral resources for a global population of a moderate size. What technology cannot do, however, is to give a global population of 10 billion people the standard of living which the industrialized countries enjoy today.

What would Malthus tell us if he were alive today? Certainly he would say that we have reached a period of human history where it is vital to stabilize the world's population if catastrophic environmental degradation and famine are to be avoided. He would applaud efforts to reduce suffering by eliminating poverty, widespread disease, and war; but he would point out that, since it is necessary to stop the rapid increase of human numbers, it follows that whenever the positive checks to population growth are removed, it is absolutely necessary to replace them by preventive checks. Malthus' point of view became more broad in the successive editions of his *Essay*; and if he were alive today, he would probably agree that family planning is the most humane of the preventive checks.

In Malthus' *Essay on the Principle of Population*, population pressure appears as one of the main causes of war; and Malthus also discusses many societies in which war is one of the the principle means by which population is reduced to the level of the food supply. Thus, his *Essay* contains another important message for our own times: If he were alive today, Malthus would also say that there is a close link between the two most urgent tasks which history has given to the 21st century - stabilization of the global population, and abolition of the institution of war.

In most of the societies which Malthus described, a clear causal link can be seen, not only between population pressure and poverty, but also between population pressure and war. As one reads his *Essay*, it becomes clear why both these terrible sources of human anguish saturate so much of history, and why efforts to eradicate them have so often met with failure: The only possible way to eliminate poverty and war is to reduce the pressure of population by preventive checks, since the increased food supply produced by occasional cultural advances can give only very temporary relief. Today, the links between population pressure, poverty, and war are even more pronounced than they were in the past, because the growth of human population has brought us to the absolute limits imposed by ecological constraints.

12.3 Biology and economics

Classical economists like Smith and Ricardo pictured the world as largely empty of human activities. According to the "empty-world" picture of economics, the limiting factors in the production of food and goods are shortages of capital and labor. The land, forests, fossil fuels, minerals, oceans filled with fish, and other natural resources upon which human labor and capital operate, are assumed to be present in such large quantities that they are not limiting factors. In this picture, there is no naturally-determined upper limit to the total size of the human economy. It can continue to grow as long as new capital is accumulated, as long as new labor is provided by population growth, and as long as new technology replaces labor by automation.

Biology, on the other hand, presents us with a very different picture. Biologists remind us that if any species, including our own, makes demands on its environment which exceed the environment's carrying capacity, the result is a catastrophic collapse both of the environment and of the population which it supports. Only demands which are within the carrying capacity are sustainable. For example, there is a limit to regenerative powers of a forest. It is possible to continue to cut trees in excess of this limit, but only at the cost of a loss of forest size, and ultimately the collapse and degradation of the forest. Similarly, cattle populations may for some time exceed the carrying capacity of grasslands, but the ultimate penalty for overgrazing will be degradation or desertification of the land. Thus, in biology, the concept of the carrying capacity of an environment is extremely important; but in economic theory this concept has not yet been given the weight that it deserves.

The terminology of economics can be applied to natural resources: For example, a forest can be thought of as natural capital, and the sustainable yield from the forest as interest. Exceeding the biological carrying capacity then corresponds, in economic terms, to spending one's capital.

If it is to be prevented from producing unacceptable contrasts of affluence and misery within a society, the free market advocated by Adam Smith needs the additional restraints of ethical principles, as well as a certain amount of governmental regulation. Furthermore, in the absence of these restraints, it will destroy the natural environment of our planet.

There is much evidence to indicate that the total size of the human economy is rapidly approaching the absolute limits imposed by the carrying capacity of the global environment. For example, a recent study by Vitousek et. al. showed that 40 percent of the net primary product of landbased photosynthesis is appropriated, directly or indirectly, for human use. (The net primary product of photosynthesis is defined as the total quantity of solar energy converted into chemical energy by plants, minus the energy used by the plants themselves). Thus we are only a single doubling time away from 80 percent appropriation, which would imply a disastrous environmental degradation.

Another indication of our rapid approach to the absolute limits of environmental carrying capacity can be found in the present rate of loss of biodiversity. Biologists estimate that between 10,000 and 50,000 species are being driven into extinction each year as the earth's rainforests are destroyed.

The burning of fossil fuels and the burning of tropical rain forests have released so much carbon dioxide that the atmospheric concentration of this greenhouse gas has increased from a preindustrial value of 260 ppm to its present value: 380 ppm. Most scientists agree that unless steps are taken to halt the burning of rain forests and to reduce the use of fossil fuels, the earth's temperature will steadily rise during the coming centuries. This gradual long-term climate change will threaten future agricultural output by changing patterns of rainfall. Furthermore, the total melting of the Arctic and Antarctic icecaps, combined with the thermal expansion of the oceans, threatens to produce a sea level rise of up to 12 meters. Although these are slow, long-term effects, we owe it to future generations to take steps now to halt global warming.

The switch from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources is vital not only because of the need to reduce global warming, but also because the earth's supply of fossil fuels is limited. A peak in the production and consumption of conventional petroleum is predicted within one or two decades. Such a peak in the use of any non-renewable natural resource is called a "Hubbert peak" after the oil expert Dr. M. King Hubbert. It occurs when reserves of the resource are approximately half exhausted. After that point, the resource does not disappear entirely, but its price increases steadily because supply fails to meet demand, and because of rising extraction costs. It is predicted that the Hubbert peak for both oil and natural gas will also occur within a few decades. The peak for oil may occur within the present decade. Thus, halfway through the 21st Century, oil and natural gas will become very expensive - perhaps so expensive that they will not be burned but will instead be reserved as starting points for chemical synthesis.

The reserves of coal are much larger, and at the present rate of use they would last for slightly more than two centuries. However, it seems likely that as petroleum is exhausted, coal will be converted into liquid fuels, as was done in Germany during World War II, and in South Africa during the oil embargo. Thus, in predicting a date for the end of the fossil fuel era, we ought to lump oil, natural gas and coal together. If we do so, we find the total supply has an energy content of 1260 terawatt-years. (1 terawatt is equal to 1,000,000,000,000 Watts). One finds in this way that if they are used at the present rate of 13 terawatts, fossil fuels will last about 100 years.

Resolute government intervention is needed to promote energy conservation measures and to bring about the switch from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources, such as biomass, photovoltaics, solar thermal power, wind and wave power, and hydropower. Both subsidies for renewable energy technologies, to help them get started, and taxes on fossil fuels will be needed. Changes in tax structure could also encourage smaller families, encourage resource conservation, or diminish pollution. In general, taxation should be used, not merely to raise money, but, more importantly, to guide the evolution of society towards humane and sustainable goals.

12.4 Loss of biodiversity

Agricultural monocultures

In modern agriculture it has become common to plant large regions with a single crop variety. For example, it is common to plant large regions with a single high-yield wheat variety. Monocultures of this kind offer farmers advantages of efficiency in the timing of planting and harvesting. With regard to pest and disease control, there may be short-term advantages, but these have to be weighed against the threat of long-term disasters. In the great Irish Potato Famine of 1845-1849, the potato monoculture which had sustained Ireland's growing population was suddenly devastated by Phytophthora infestans, commonly called "potato blight". The result was a catastrophic famine that resulted in the death or emigration of much of Ireland's population.

In general, monocultures are vulnerable to plant disease. Thus the replacement of traditional varieties with the high-yield crops developed by the "Green Revolution" carries serious risks. Adjustment to climate change also requires genetic diversity. In general, a genetically diverse population is far better to adjust to environmental changes than a genetically homogeneous population. This being so, it is vital to preserve civilization's heritage of genetically diverse crops.

Deforestation and loss of biodiversity

The earth's tropical rain forests are rapidly being destroyed for the sake of new agricultural land. Tropical rain forests are thought to be the habitat of more than half of the world's species of plants, animals and insects; and their destruction is accompanied by an alarming rate of extinction of species. The Harvard biologist, E.O. Wilson, estimates that the rate of extinction resulting from deforestation in the tropics may now exceed 4,000 species per year - 10,000 times the natural background rate (*Scientific American*, September, 1989).

The enormous biological diversity of tropical rain forests has resulted from their stability. Unlike northern forests, which have been affected by glacial epochs, tropical forests have existed undisturbed for millions of years. As a result, complex and fragile ecological systems have had a chance to develop. Professor Wilson expresses this in the following words:

"Fragile superstructures of species build up when the environment remains stable enough to support their evolution during long periods of time. Biologists now know that biotas, like houses of cards, can be brought tumbling down by relatively small perturbations in the physical environment. They are not robust at all."

The number of species which we have until now domesticated or used in medicine is very small compared with the number of potentially useful species still waiting in the world's tropical rain forests. When we destroy them, we damage our future. But we ought



Figure 12.3: Deforestation in the United States between 1620 and the present.

to regard the annual loss of thousands of species as a tragedy, not only because biological diversity is potential wealth for human society , but also because every form of life deserves our respect and protection.


Figure 12.4: Jungle burned for agriculture in southern Mexico.

12.5 Economics without growth

According to Adam Smith, the free market is the dynamo of economic growth. The true entrepreneur does not indulge in luxuries for himself and his family, but reinvests his profits, with the result that his business or factory grows larger, producing still more profits, which he again reinvests, and so on. This is indeed the formula for exponential economic growth.

Economists (with a few notable exceptions such as Aurelio Pecci and Herman Daly) have long behaved as though growth were synonymous with economic health. If the gross national product of a country increases steadily by 4% per year, most economists express approval and say that the economy is healthy. If the economy could be made to grow still faster (they maintain), it would be still more healthy. If the growth rate should fall, economic illness would be diagnosed. However, the basic idea of Malthus is applicable to exponential increase of any kind. It is obvious that on a finite Earth, neither population growth nor resource-using and pollution-generating economic growth can continue indefinitely.

A "healthy" economic growth rate of 4% per year corresponds to an increase by a factor of 50 in a century. (The reader is invited to calculate the factor of increase in five centuries. The answer is $50^5 = 312, 500, 000$.) No one can maintain that this type of growth is sustainable except by refusing to look more than a short distance into the future. Sooner or later (perhaps surprisingly soon) an entirely new form of economics will be needed - not the empty-world economics of Adam Smith, but what might be called "full-world economics", or "steady-state economics".

Economic activity is usually divided into two categories, 1) production of goods and



Figure 12.5: In 1968 Aurelio Pecci, Thorkil Kristensen and others founded the Club of Rome, an organization of economists and scientists devoted to studying the predicament of human society. One of the first acts of the organization was to commission an MIT study of future trends using computer models. The result was a book entitled "Limits to Growth", published in 1972. From the outset the book was controversial, but it became a best-seller. It was translated into many languages and sold 30 million copies. The book made use of an exponential index for resources, i.e. the number of years that a resource would last if used at an exponentially increasing rate. Today the more accurate Hubbert Peak model is used instead to predict rate of use of a scarce resource as a function of time. Although the specific predictions of resource availability in "Limits to Growth" lacked accuracy, its basic thesis - that unlimited economic growth on a finite planet is impossible - was indisputably correct. Nevertheless the book was greeted with anger and disbelief by the community of economists, and these emotions still surface when it is mentioned. Perhaps part of this collective blindness was and is due to the polarization of opinion produced by the Cold War. In any case, the myth of unlimited growth has remained the central dogma of western economics.

2) provision of services. It is the rate of production of goods that will be limited by the carrying capacity of the global environment. Services that have no environmental impact will not be constrained in this way. Thus a smooth transition to a sustainable economy will involve a shift of a large fraction the work force from the production of goods to the provision of services.

In his recent popular book *The Rise of the Creative Class*, the economist Richard Florida points out that in a number of prosperous cities - for example Stockholm - a large fraction of the population is already engaged in what might be called creative work - a type of work that uses few resources, and produces few waste products - work which develops knowledge and culture rather than producing material goods. For example, producing computer software requires few resources and results in few waste products. Thus it is an activity with a very small ecological footprint. Similarly, education, research, music, literature and art are all activities that do not weigh heavily on the carrying capacity of the global environment. Furthermore, cultural activities lead in a natural way to global cooperation and internationalism. Florida sees this as a pattern for the future, and maintains that everyone is capable of creativity. He visualizes the transition to a sustainable future economy as one in which a large fraction of the work force moves from industrial jobs to information-related work. Meanwhile, as Florida acknowledges, industrial workers feel uneasy and threatened by such trends.

The present use of resources by the industrialized countries is extremely wasteful. A growing national economy must, at some point, exceed the real needs of the citizens. It has been the habit of the developed countries to create artificial needs by means of advertising, in order to allow economies to grow beyond the point where all real needs have been met; but this extra growth is wasteful, and in the future it will be important not to waste the earth's diminishing supply of non-renewable resources.

Thus, the times in which we live present a challenge: We need a revolution in economic thought. We must develop a new form of economics, taking into account the realities of the world's present situation - an economics based on real needs and on a sustainable equilibrium with the environment, not on the thoughtless assumption that growth can continue forever.

Adam Smith was perfectly correct in saying that the free market is the dynamo of economic growth; but rapid growth of human population and economic activity have brought us, in a surprisingly short time, from the empty-world situation in which he lived to a full-world situation. In today's world, we are pressing against the absolute limits of the earth's carrying capacity, and further growth carries with it the danger of future collapse. Full-world economics, the economics of the future, will no longer be able to rely on growth to give profits to stockbrokers or to solve problems of unemployment or to alleviate poverty. In the long run, growth of any kind is not sustainable (except perhaps growth of culture and knowledge); and we are now nearing the environmentally-imposed limits.

Transition to a sustainable economy

Like a speeding bus headed for a brick wall, the earth's rapidly-growing population of humans and its rapidly-growing resource-using and pollution-generating economic activity are headed for a collision with a very solid barrier - the carrying capacity of the global environment. As in the case of the bus and the wall, the correct response to the situation is to apply the brakes in time - but fear prevents us from doing this. What will happen if we slow down very suddenly? Will not many of the passengers be injured? Undoubtedly. But what will happen if we hit the wall at full speed? Perhaps it would be wise, after all, to apply the brakes!

The memory of the great depression of 1929 makes us fear the consequences of an economic slowdown, especially since unemployment is already a serious problem in many parts of the world. Although the history of the 1929 depression is frightening, it may nevertheless be useful to look at the measures which were used then to bring the global economy back to its feet. A similar level of governmental responsibility may help us to avoid some of the more painful consequences of the necessary transition from the economics of growth to steady-state economics.

In the United States, President Franklin D. Roosevelt was faced with the difficult problems of the depression during his first few years in office. Roosevelt introduced a number of special governmental programs, such as the WPA, the Civilian Construction Corps and the Tennessee Valley Authority, which were designed to create new jobs on projects directed towards socially useful goals - building highways, airfields, auditoriums, harbors, housing projects, schools and dams. The English economist John Maynard Keynes, (1883-1946), provided an analysis of the factors that had caused the 1929 depression, and a theoretical justification of Roosevelt's policies.

The transition to a sustainable global society will require a similar level of governmental responsibility, although the measures needed are not the same as those which Roosevelt used to end the great depression. Despite the burst of faith in the free market which has followed the end of the Cold War, it seems unlikely that market mechanisms alone will be sufficient to solve problems of unemployment in the long-range future, or to achieve conservation of land, natural resources and environment.

The Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., lists the following steps as necessary for the transition to sustainability¹:

- 1. Stabilizing population
- 2. Shifting to renewable energy
- 3. Increasing energy efficiency
- 4. Recycling resources
- 5. Reforestation
- 6. Soil Conservation

All of these steps are labor-intensive; and thus, wholehearted governmental commitment to the transition to sustainability can help to solve the problem of unemployment.

¹L.R. Brown and P. Shaw, 1982.



Figure 12.6: Lester R. Brown, founder of the Worldwatch Institute, and for many years its President. He is now the leader of the Earth Policy Institute. His recent book, "Plan B", gives important information about the ecological crisis now facing the world. It may be downloaded free of charge from the website of the Earth Policy Institute.

In much the same spirit that Roosevelt (with Keynes' approval) used governmental powers to end the great depression, we must now urge our governments to use their powers to promote sustainability and to reduce the trauma of the transition to a steady-state economy. For example, an increase in the taxes on fossil fuels could make a number of renewable energy technologies economically competitive; and higher taxes on motor fuels would be especially useful in promoting the necessary transition from private automobiles to bicycles and public transportation. Tax changes could also be helpful in motivating smaller families.

The present economic recession offers us an opportunity to take steps towards the creation of a sustainable steady-state economic system. Government measures to avoid unemployment could at the same time shift the work force to jobs that promote sustainability, i.e., jobs in the areas listed by the Worldwatch Institute.

Governments already recognize their responsibility for education. In the future, they must also recognize their responsibility for helping young people to make a smooth transition from education to secure jobs. If jobs are scarce, work must be shared, in a spirit of solidarity, among those seeking employment; hours of work (and if necessary, living standards) must be reduced to insure a fair distribution of jobs. Market forces alone cannot achieve this. The powers of government are needed.

Population and goods per capita

In the distant future, the finite carrying capacity of the global environment will impose limits on the amount of resource-using and waste-generating economic activity that it will be possible for the world to sustain. The consumption of goods per capita will be equal to this limited total economic activity divided by the number of people alive at that time. Thus, our descendants will have to choose whether they want to be very numerous and very poor, or less numerous and more comfortable, or very few and very rich. Perhaps the middle way will prove to be the best.

Given the fact that environmental carrying capacity will limit the sustainable level of resource-using economic activity to a fixed amount, average wealth in the distant future will be approximately inversely proportional to population over a certain range of population values. Obviously, if the number of people is reduced to such an extent that it approaches zero, the average wealth will not approach infinity, since a certain level of population is needed to maintain a modern economy. However, if the global population becomes extremely large, the average wealth will indeed approach zero.

In the 1970's the equation $I = P \times A \times T$ was introduced in the course of a debate between Barry Commoner, Paul R. Ehrlich and John P. Holdren. Here I represents environmental impact, P is population, while A represents goods per capita, and T is an adjustable factor that depends on the technology used to produce the goods. The assertion of the previous paragraph can be expressed by solving for A and setting I equal to a constant: $A = I/(P \times T)$. In the distant future, the environmental impact I will not be allowed to increase, and therefore for a given value of T, A will be inversely proportional to P. If the environmental impact I is broken up into several components, a few of them have historically fallen with increasing values of $A \times P$ because of diminishing T (thus exhibiting the *environmental Kuznets curve*). However, most components of I, such as energy, land and resource use, have historically increased with increasing $A \times P$.

12.6 China and India

Table 2.1 shows the population of China at the start of various dynasties. In 125 AD, at the start of the Eastern Han Dynasty, the population was 48,690,789. The precision of this figure is surprising, and it is perhaps the result of the strength of the central government of China even at that early date. As seen in Table 2.1 the population seems to have fallen again, probably to famine and war. Fear of these terrible Malthusian forces explains the Chinese preference for a strong central government. At the start of the Qing dynasty in the 17th century, the population of China began to increase rapidly, probably because of improved flood control and irrigation methods. By 1901. the population of China had reached 426,447,325.

Figure 2.19 shows the growth of Chinese population between 1960 and the present. China's population continues to increase, dispute the government's one-child policy, and today the country has approximately 1.4 billion people. China's rate of population growth is currently only 0.59%.

The post-1949 Chinese government leaders at first viewed population growth as an asset. However, worries about falling water tables and the future availability of fresh water for agriculture, as well as the realization that rapid population growth would block economic development soon produced a policy switch; and the Chinese government began to strongly support both birth control and late marriage.

Since 1979, the Chinese government has advocated a one-child policy for both rural and urban areas. However, this policy admits many exceptions and has been most effective in cities, where the government is able to exert it power by giving apartments only to families with a single child. In 2016, the one-child policy began to be phased out.



Figure 12.7: The one-child policy: A Chinese mother and her only child at a market in Jiayuguan.



Figure 12.8: Historical estimates of China's population, in millions, from AD 2 until the present. After Ming and earlier period of Qing dynasty founded population moved around 100 million to 150 million until 1700s. In the period between 1749 and 1851, the population doubled in a century. During 1960-2015, the population doubled to nearly 1.4 billion.



Figure 12.9: This graph shows the population growth of China, in billions, since 1900. Despite China's one-child policy, the country's population continues to grow because of exceptions to the policy and because so many young people are now reaching reproductive age.



Figure 12.10: The historical and projected population of India as a function of time, from 200 AD to 2050, based on data from the Wikipedia article on *Demographics of India*. If the projections hold, there will be 1.4 billion people in India by 2050, making it the most populous country in the world. However, there is a danger that death rates may rise sharply because of famine and because of deaths due to rising temperatures.

Dynasty	Date (AD)	Households	Population
Eastern Han	125	9,647,838	48,690,789
Western Jin	280	2,458,480	16,163,863
Tang	639	3,120,151	13,252,894
Song	1003	6,864,160	14,278,040
Ming	1398	10,699,399	58,323,933
Qing	1661	not recorded	58,323,933
Qing	1722	not recorded	103,053,992
Qing	1812	not recorded	333,700,560
Qing	1901	not recorded	426,447,325

Table 12.1: China's Dynastic Census Data

Region	2000	2050	growth
Asia	3.73	5.26	41%
Africa	0.82	2.53	209%
Europe	0.73	0.72	-2%
Latin America	0.53	0.78	48%
North America	0.31	0.43	39%
Oceania	0.03	0.06	84%
World	6.14	9.77	60%

Table 12.2: World Population in 2050 (in billions)



Figure 12.11: This figure shows China's economic growth rate in recent years. The doubling time for a quantity growing at the rate of 6.8% per year is only 11 years. This high rate of economic growth, compounded by China's still-growing population, cannot continue without producing an ecological catastrophe, the beginnings of which can already be seen in China.

12.7 Population projections in Africa

Wikipedia's article on *Projections of Population Growth* states that "By 2070, the bulk of the world's population growth will take place in Africa: of the additional 2.4 billion people projected between 2015 and 2050, 1.3 billion will be added in Africa, 0.9 billion in Asia and only 0.2 billion in the rest of the world. Africa's share of global population is projected to grow from 16% in 2015 to 25% in 2050 and 39% by 2100, while the share of Asia will fall from 60% in 2015 to 54% in 2050 and 44% in 2100. The strong growth of the African population will happen regardless of the rate of decrease of fertility, because of the exceptional proportion of young people already living today. For example, the UN projects that the population of Nigeria will surpass that of the United States by 2050."

"During 2005-2050, twelve countries are expected to account for half of the world's projected population increase: India, China, United States, Indonesia, Nigeria, Pakistan, Brazil, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, Philippines, Mexico and Egypt, listed according to the size of their contribution to population growth."

The predictions shown in Table 2.2, especially the prediction that the population of Africa will be 2.53 billion people, raise some worrying questions. It seems likely that because of climate change, failure of the West African monsoon, desertification, and sale of African agricultural land to rich countries such China and Saudi Arabia, the food available to the people of Africa will diminish rather than increasing. Can the population of Africa really increase by 209% by 2050? Or will this be prevented by the terrible Malthusian forces of famine, disease and war? In some parts of Africa famine is already present.



Figure 12.12: A map from the Wikipedia article showing global fertility rates in 2015. The highest fertility rates (purple, 7-8 children per woman-life) occur in Africa.



Figure 12.13: A map showing the human development index (HDI) in various parts of the world. The index is based on educational levels, life expectancy, and GDP per capita. It can be seen that regions of high fertility generally have low HDI values.

12.8 What is the future of megacities?

A transformation in cities is going on. Over 80% of the people on the planet today are living in cities. Over 100 new cities will be created within 25 years in China alone. Over 20 new Megacities will redefine the consumer marketplace and society. Most of these cities of over 8 million people each will be in the developing world. With the huge migration to cities of the global population, what challenges will these cities face? What are the opportunities and risks? How should global organizations prepare for the future of cities?

Transition Towns

The Transition Town Movement of today is a response to the end of the fossil fuel era and the threat of economic collapse. It can be thought of as a modern branch of the Cooperative Movement. In 2006, the Transition Town of Totnes in Devon, England was the first to use this name, which implied a transition from globalism, consumerism and growth to a sustainable, local and self-sufficient economy. The ideal was to produce locally all the necessary food for the town, and as much of other necessities as possible. In this way, the energy expenditures involved in transportation could be avoided.

Today there are more than a thousand Transition Towns and they are located in 43 countries. Many of them have local currencies which are legal tender within the town. If the pioneers of this movement are right in saying that this is the only sustainable model for the future, we may wonder whether mega-cities will be able to survive in the long-term future.²

²https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Degrowth http://commondreams.org/views/2015/07/31/we-are-all-greece http://www.localfutures.org/ http://www.powells.com/biblio/7-9780871566430-2

Rank	Name	Country	Population
1	Tokyo	Japan	38,140,000
2	Shanghai	China	34,000,000
3	Jakarta	Indonesia	31,500,000
4	Delhi	India	27,200,000
5	Seoul	Korea	25,600,000
6	Guangzhou	China	25,000,000
7	Beijing	China	24,900,000
8	Manila	Philippines	24,100,000
9	Mumbai	India	23,900,000
10	New York City	United States	23,876,155
11	Shenzhen	China	23,300,000
12	Sao Paolo	Brazil	21,242,939

Table 12.3: The World's Largest Cities in 2016



Figure 12.14: Totnes, Devon, England: a transition town.

12.9 The threat of a large-scale global famine

Unless efforts are made to stabilize and ultimately reduce global population, there is a serious threat that climate change, population growth, and the end of the fossil fuel era could combine to produce a large-scale famine by the middle of the 21st century.

As glaciers melt in the Himalayas and the Andes, depriving India, China and South America of summer water supplies; as sea levels rise, drowning fertile rice-growing regions of Southeast Asia; as droughts reduce the food production of North America and Southern Europe; as groundwater levels fall in China, India, the Middle East and the United States; and as high-yield modern agriculture becomes less possible because fossil fuel inputs are lacking, the 800 million people who are currently undernourished may not survive at all.

Energy inputs of agriculture

Modern agriculture has become highly dependent on fossil fuels, especially on petroleum and natural gas. This is especially true of production of the high-yield grain varieties introduced in the Green Revolution, since these require especially large inputs of fertilizers, pesticides and irrigation. Today, fertilizers are produced using oil and natural gas, while pesticides are synthesized from petroleum feedstocks, and irrigation is driven by fossil fuel energy. Thus agriculture in the developed countries has become a process where inputs of fossil fuel energy are converted into food calories.

Predictions of drought in the Stern Review

According to a report presented to the Oxford Institute of Economic Policy by Sir Nicholas Stern on 31 January, 2006, areas likely to lose up to 30% of their rainfall by the 2050's because of climate change include much of the United States, Brazil, the Mediterranean region, Eastern Russia and Belarus, the Middle East, Southern Africa and Southern Australia. Meanwhile rainfall is predicted to increase up to 30% in Central Africa, Pakistan, India, Bangladesh, Siberia, and much of China.

Stern and his team point out that "We can... expect to see changes in the Indian monsoon, which could have a huge impact on the lives of hundreds of millions of people in India, Pakistan and Bangladesh. Most climate models suggest that the monsoon will change, although there is still uncertainty about exactly how. Nevertheless, small changes in the monsoon could have a huge impact. Today, a fluctuation of just 10% in either direction from average monsoon rainfall is known to cause either severe flooding or drought. A weak summer monsoon, for example, can lead to poor harvests and food shortages among the rural population - two-thirds of India's almost 1.1 billion people. Heavier-than-usual monsoon downpours can also have devastating consequences..."

In some regions, melting of glaciers can be serious from the standpoint of dry-season water supplies. For example, melts from glaciers in the Hindu Kush and the Himalayas now supply much of Asia, including China and India, with a dry-season water supply. Complete melting of these glacial systems would cause an exaggerated runoff for a few decades, after which there would be a drying out of some of the most densely populated regions of the world.

Ocean current changes and failure of monsoons

It is expected that climate change will affect ocean currents, and hence also affect monsoon rainfall. We are already experiencing a diversion of the Gulf Stream due to southward currents of cold water from melting ice in the Arctic. This has caused what is known as the *North Atlantic Anomaly*. While most regions of the world are experiencing rising temperatures, the North Atlantic and several northern European countries are exceptions to this rule, and have cooled. Complete failure of the Gulf Stream would lead to much colder temperatures in Europe.

Changes in ocean currents have already lead to the failure of the West African Monsoon, and this has already produced severe food insecurity in West Africa.

In the future, climate-changed ocean currents may lead to failures of monsoons in South-east Asia, and thus damage the food supply of almost two billion people.

Falling water tables around the world

Under many desert areas of the world are deeply buried water tables formed during glacial periods when the climate of these regions was wetter. These regions include the Middle East and large parts of Africa. Water can be withdrawn from such ancient reservoirs by deep wells and pumping, but only for a limited amount of time.

In oil-rich Saudi Arabia, petroenergy is used to drill wells for ancient water and to bring it to the surface. Much of this water is used to irrigate wheat fields, and this is done to such an extent that Saudi Arabia exports wheat. The country is, in effect, exporting its ancient heritage of water, a policy that it may, in time, regret. A similarly short-sighted project is Muammar Qaddafi's enormous pipeline, which will bring water from ancient sub-desert reservoirs to coastal cities.

In the United States, the great Ogallala aquifer is being overdrawn. This aquifer is an enormous stratum of water-saturated sand and gravel under-lying parts of northern Texas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Kansas, Colorado, Nebraska, Wyoming and South Dakota. The average thickness of the aquifer is about 70 meters. The rate of water withdrawal from the aquifer exceeds the rate of recharge by a factor of eight.

Thus we can see that in many regions, the earth's present population is living on its inheritance of water, rather than its income. This fact, coupled with rapidly increasing populations and climate change, may contribute to a very serious food crisis partway through the 21st century.

12.10 Reforming our food and agricultural systems

The medical journal The Lancet recently published a report which aimed at changing the diets of people throughout the world. The commission which produced the report brought together 37 experts in agriculture, environmental sustainability, human health, and political science from 16 countries. Over three years, they developed the "planetary health diet," which aims to address the global food system's devastating environmental impact as well as mass malnutrition.

"The food we eat and how we produce it determines the health of people and the planet, and we are currently getting this seriously wrong," declared Tim Lang, a co-author of the EAT-Lancet Commission and professor at City, University of London. "We need a significant overhaul, changing the global food system on a scale not seen before in ways appropriate to each country's circumstances."

"To be healthy," he explained, "diets must have an appropriate calorie intake and consist of a variety of plant-based foods, low amounts of animal-based foods, unsaturated rather than saturated fats, and few refined grains, highly processed foods, and added sugars."

"Humanity now poses a threat to the stability of the planet," co-lead commissioner Johan Rockström of the Stockholm Resilience Center told the Guardian. "[This requires] nothing less than a new global agricultural revolution."

Here are some of the commission's recommendations:

- 1. Seek international and national commitment to shift toward healthy diets that feature more plant-based foods including fruits, vegetables, nuts, seeds, and whole grains and less animal products.
- 2. Reorient agricultural priorities from producing high quantities of food to producing healthy food that nurtures human health and supports environmental sustainability.



Figure 12.15: We should eat more vegetables, fruits, whole grains and nuts, while consuming much less meat and dairy products. Beef is especially damaging to the global environment.

- 3. Sustainably intensify food production to increase high-quality output with a series of reforms that include becoming a net carbon sink from 2040 forward to align with the goals of the Paris climate agreement.
- 4. Strong and coordinated governance of land and oceans, including by implementing a "Half Earth" strategy for biodiversity conservation.
- 5. At least halve food losses and waste, in line with the U.N. Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), on both the production side and the consumption side.

Here are some excerpts from a 16 January 2019 article in The Guardian by Damian Cammeron:

Globally, the diet requires red meat and sugar consumption to be cut by half, while vegetables, fruit, pulses and nuts must double. But in specific places the changes are stark. North Americans need to eat 84% less red meat but six times more beans and lentils. For Europeans, eating 77% less red meat and 15 times more nuts and seeds meets the guidelines.

The diet is a "win-win", according to the scientists, as it would save at least 11 million people a year from deaths caused by unhealthy food, while preventing the collapse of the natural world that humanity depends upon. With 10 billion people expected to live on Earth by 2050, a continuation of today's unsustainable diets would inevitably mean even greater health problems and severe global warming.



Unhealthy diets are the leading cause of ill health worldwide, with 800 million people currently hungry, 2 billion malnourished and further 2 billion people overweight or obese. The world's science academies recently concluded that the food system is broken. Industrial agriculture is also devastating the environment, as forests are razed and billions of cattle emit climate-warming methane.

Future agriculture

When the major glaciers in the Himalayas have melted, they will no longer be able to give India and China summer water supplies; rising oceans will drown much agricultural land; and aridity will reduce the output of many regions that now produce much of the world's grain. Falling water tables in overdrawn aquifers, and loss of topsoil will add to the problem. We should be aware of the threat of a serious global food crisis in the 21st century if we are to have a chance of avoiding it.

The term *ecological footprint* was introduced by William Rees and Mathis Wackernagel in the early 1990's to compare demands on the environment with the earth's capacity to regenerate. In 2015, humanity used environmental resources at such a rate that it would take 1.6 earths to renew them. In other words, we have already exceeded the earth's carrying capacity. Since eliminating the poverty that characterizes much of the world today will require more resources per capita, rather than less. it seems likely that in the era beyond fossil fuels, the optimum global population will be considerably less than the present population of the world.



Figure 12.16: Our present trajectory is completely unsustainable. If we follow it, then by 2050 it would take almost three earths to regenerate our demands on resources. Source: footprintnetwork.org

Limitations on cropland

In 1944 the Norwegian-American plant geneticist Norman Borlaug was sent to Mexico by the Rockefeller Foundation to try to produce new wheat varieties that might increase Mexico's agricultural output. Borlaug's dedicated work on this project was spectacularly successful. He remained with the project for 16 years, and his group made 6,000 individual crossings of wheat varieties to produce high-yield disease-resistant strains.

In 1963, Borlaug visited India, bringing with him 100 kg. of seeds from each of his most promising wheat strains. After testing these strains in Asia, he imported 450 tons of the Lerma Rojo and Sonora 64 varieties: 250 tons for Pakistan and 200 for India. By 1968, the success of these varieties was so great that school buildings had to be commandeered to store the output. Borlaug's work began to be called a "Green Revolution". In India, the research on high-yield crops was continued and expanded by Prof. M.S. Swaminathan and his co-workers. The work of Green Revolution scientists, such Norman Borlaug and M.S. Swaminathan, has been credited with saving the lives of as many as a billion people.

Despite these successes, Borlaug believes that the problem of population growth is still a serious one. "Africa and the former Soviet republics", Borlaug states, "and the Cerrado, are the last frontiers. After they are in use, the world will have no additional sizable blocks of arable land left to put into production, unless you are willing to level whole forests, which you should not do. So, future food-production increases will have to come from higher yields. And though I have no doubt that yields will keep going up, whether they can go up enough to feed the population monster is another matter. Unless progress with



Figure 12.17: Forests are the lungs of our planet. They convert CO_2 into organic material and thus remove it from the atmosphere. It is therefore vitally important to protect existing forests and to plant new ones.

agricultural yields remains very strong, the next century will experience human misery that, on a sheer numerical scale, will exceed the worst of everything that has come before."

With regard to the prospect of increasing the area of cropland, a report by the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization (Provisional Indicative World Plan for Agricultural Development, FAO, Rome, 1970) states that "In Southern Asia,... in some countries of Eastern Asia, in the Near East and North Africa... there is almost no scope for expanding agricultural area... In the drier regions, it will even be necessary to return to permanent pasture the land that is marginal and submarginal for cultivation. In most of Latin America and Africa south of the Sahara, there are still considerable possibilities for expanding cultivated areas; but the costs of development are high, and it will often be more economical to intensify the utilization of areas already settled." Thus there is a possibility of increasing the area of cropland in Africa south of the Sahara and in Latin America, but only at the cost of heavy investment and at the additional cost of destruction of tropical rain forests.

Rather than an increase in the global area of cropland, we may encounter a future loss of cropland through soil erosion, salination, desertification, loss of topsoil, depletion of minerals in topsoil, urbanization and failure of water supplies. In China and in the Southwestern part of the United States, water tables are falling at an alarming rate. The Ogallala aquifer (which supplies water to many of the plains states in the central and southern parts of the United States) has a yearly overdraft of 160%. In the 1950's, both the U.S.S.R and Turkey attempted to convert arid grasslands into wheat farms. In both cases, the attempts were defeated by drought and wind erosion, just as the wheat farms of Oklahoma were overcome by drought and dust in the 1930's. If irrigation of arid lands is not performed with care, salt may be deposited, so that the land is ruined for agriculture. This type of desertification can be seen, for example, in some parts of Pakistan. Another type of desertification can be seen in the Sahel region of Africa, south of the Sahara. Rapid population growth in the Sahel has led to overgrazing, destruction of trees, and wind erosion, so that the land has become unable to support even its original population.

Especially worrying is a prediction of the International Panel on Climate Change concerning the effect of global warming on the availability of water: According to Model A1 of the IPCC, global warming may, by the 2050's, have reduced by as much as 30% the water available in large areas of world that now a large producers of grain.

Added to the agricultural and environmental problems, are problems of finance and distribution. Famines can occur even when grain is available somewhere in the world, because those who are threatened with starvation may not be able to pay for the grain, or for its transportation. The economic laws of supply and demand are not able to solve this type of problem. One says that there is no "demand" for the food (meaning demand in the economic sense), even though people are in fact starving.³

Energy-dependence of modern agriculture

A very serious problem with Green Revolution plant varieties is that they require heavy inputs of pesticides, fertilizers and irrigation. Because of this, the use of high-yield varieties contributes to social inequality, since only rich farmers can afford the necessary inputs. Monocultures, such as the Green Revolution varieties may also prove to be vulnerable to future epidemics of plant diseases, such as the epidemic that caused the Irish Potato Famine in 1845. Even more importantly, pesticides, fertilizers and irrigation all depend on the use of fossil fuels. One must therefore ask whether high agricultural yields can be maintained in the future, when fossil fuels are expected to become prohibitively scarce and expensive.

Modern agriculture has become highly dependent on fossil fuels, especially on petroleum and natural gas. This is especially true of production of the high-yield grain varieties introduced in the Green Revolution, since these require especially large inputs of fertilizers, pesticides and irrigation. Today, fertilizers are produced using oil and natural gas, while pesticides are synthesized from petroleum feedstocks, and irrigation is driven by fossil fuel energy. Thus agriculture in the developed countries has become a process where inputs of

 $^{^{3}} http://www.independent.co.uk/environment/climate-change/society-will-collapse-by-2040-due-to-catastrophic-food-shortages-says-study-10336406.html$

http://www.truth-out.org/news/item/32131-the-new-climate-normal-abrupt-sea-level-rise-and-predictions-of-civilization-collapse

http://www.commondreams.org/views/2015/08/13/dignity-democracy-and-food-interview-frances-moore-lappe



fossil fuel energy are converted into food calories.

The ratio of the fossil fuel energy inputs to the food calorie outputs depends on how many energy-using elements of food production are included in the accounting. David Pimental and Mario Giampietro of Cornell University estimated in 1994 that U.S. agriculture required 0.7 kcal of fossil fuel energy inputs to produce 1.0 kcal of food energy. However, this figure was based on U.N. statistics that did not include fertilizer feedstocks, pesticide feed-stocks, energy and machinery for drying crops, or electricity, construction and maintenance of farm buildings. A more accurate calculation, including these inputs, gives an input/output ratio of approximately 1.0. Finally, if the energy expended on transportation, packaging and retailing of food is included, Pimental and Giampietro found that the input/output ratio for the U.S. food system was approximately 10, and this figure did not include energy used for cooking.

The Brundtland Report's estimate of the global potential for food production assumes "that the area under food production can be around 1.5 billion hectares (3.7 billion acres - close to the present level), and that the average yields could go up to 5 tons of grain equivalent per hectare (as against the present average of 2 tons of grain equivalent)." In other words, the Brundtland Report assumes an increase in yields by a factor of 2.5. This would perhaps be possible if traditional agriculture could everywhere be replaced by energy-intensive modern agriculture using Green Revolution plant varieties. However, Pimental and Giampietro's studies show that modern energy-intensive agricultural techniques cannot be maintained after fossil fuels have been exhausted or after their use has been discontinued to avoid catastrophic climate change.

At the time when the Brundtland Report was written (1987), the global average of



Figure 12.18: Recent research on No-Till Agriculture points to many benefits that could result from this practice, especially higher CO_2 content in the topsoil.

2 tons of grain equivalent per hectare included much higher yields from the sector using modern agricultural methods. Since energy-intensive petroleum-based agriculture cannot be continued in the post-fossil-fuel era, future average crop yields will probably be much less than 2 tons of grain equivalent per hectare.

The 1987 global population was approximately 5 billion. This population was supported by 3 billion tons of grain equivalent per year. After fossil fuels have been exhausted, the total world agricultural output is likely to be considerably less than that, and therefore the population that it will be possible to support sustainably will probably be considerably less than 5 billion, assuming that our average daily per capita use of food calories remains the same, and assuming that the amount of cropland and pasturage remains the same (1.5 billion hectares cropland, 3.0 billion hectares pasturage).

The Brundtland Report points out that "The present (1987) global average consumption of plant energy for food, seed and animal feed amounts to 6,000 calories daily, with a range among countries of 3,000-15,000 calories, depending on the level of meat consumption." Thus there is a certain flexibility in the global population that can survive on a given total agricultural output. If the rich countries were willing to eat less meat, more people could be supported.⁴

 $^{{}^{4}} http://www.truth-out.org/news/item/32354-environmentalists-sue-epa-over-dead-zone-in-gulf-of-mexico$

Effects of climate change on agriculture

a) The effect of temperature increase

There is a danger that when climate change causes both temperature increases and increased aridity in regions like the US grain belt, yields will be very much lowered. Of the three main grain types (corn, wheat and rice) corn is the most vulnerable to the direct effect of increases in temperature. One reason for this is the mechanism of pollination of corn: A pollen grain lands on one end of a corn-silk strand, and the germ cell must travel the length of the strand in order to fertilize the kernel. At high temperatures, the corn silk becomes dried out and withered, and is unable to fulfill its biological function. Furthermore, heat can cause the pores on the underside of the corn leaf to close, so that photosynthesis stops.

According to a study made by Mohan Wali and coworkers at Ohio State University, the photosynthetic activity of corn increases until the temperature reaches 20°C. It then remains constant until the temperature reaches 35°C, after which it declines. At 40°C and above, photosynthesis stops altogether.

Scientists in the Phillipines report that the pollination of rice fails entirely at 40°C, leading to crop failures. Wheat yields are also markedly reduced by temperatures in this range.⁵

b) The effect of decreased rainfall

According to the Stern Report, some of the major grain-producing areas of the world might loose up to 30% of their rainfall by 2050. These regions include much of the United States, Brazil, the Mediterranean region, Eastern Russia and Belarus, the Middle East, Southern Africa and Australia. Of course possibilities for agriculture may simultaneously increase in other regions, but the net effect of climate change on the world's food supply is predicted to be markedly negative.

c) Unsustainable use of groundwater

It may seem surprising that fresh water can be regarded as a non-renewable resource. However, groundwater in deep aquifers is often renewed very slowly. Sometimes renewal requires several thousand years. When the rate of withdrawal of groundwater exceeds the rate of renewal, the carrying capacity of the resource has been exceeded, and withdrawal of water becomes analogous to mining a mineral. However, it is more serious than ordinary mining because water is such a necessary support for life.

In many regions of the world today, groundwater is being withdrawn faster than it can be replenished, and important aquifers are being depleted. In China, for example, groundwater levels are falling at an alarming rate. Considerations of water supply in relation to population form the background for China's stringent population policy. At a recent lecture, Lester Brown of the Worldwatch Institute was asked by a member of the audience to name the resource for which shortages would most quickly become acute. Most of the audience expected him to name oil, but instead he replied "water".

⁵http://ecowatch.com/2015/08/03/heat-wave-iran/

Lester Brown then cited China's falling water table. He predicted that within decades, China would be unable to feed itself. He said that this would not cause hunger in China itself: Because of the strength of China's economy, the country would be able to purchase grain on the world market. However Chinese purchases of grain would raise the price, and put world grain out of reach of poor countries in Africa. Thus water shortages in China will produce famine in parts of Africa, Brown predicted.

Under many desert areas of the world are deeply buried water tables formed during glacial periods when the climate of these regions was wetter. These regions include the Middle East and large parts of Africa. Water can be withdrawn from such ancient reservoirs by deep wells and pumping, but only for a limited amount of time.

In oil-rich Saudi Arabia, petroenergy is used to drill wells for ancient water and to bring it to the surface. Much of this water is used to irrigate wheat fields, and this is done to such an extent that Saudi Arabia exports wheat. The country is, in effect, exporting its ancient heritage of water, a policy that it may, in time, regret. A similarly short-sighted project is Muammar Qaddafi's enormous pipeline, which will bring water from ancient sub-desert reservoirs to coastal cities.

In the United States, the great Ogallala aquifer is being overdrawn. This aquifer is an enormous stratum of water-saturated sand and gravel under-lying parts of northern Texas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Kansas, Colorado, Nebraska, Wyoming and South Dakota. The average thickness of the aquifer is about 70 meters. The rate of water withdrawal from the aquifer exceeds the rate of recharge by a factor of eight.

Thus we can see that in many regions, the earth's present population is living on its inheritance of water, rather than its income. This fact, coupled with rapidly increasing populations and climate change, may contribute to a very serious food crisis partway through the 21st century.

d) Glacial melting and summer water supplies

The summer water supplies of both China and India are threatened by the melting of glaciers. The Gangotri glacier, which is the principle glacier feeding India's great Ganges River, is reported to be melting at an accelerating rate, and it could disappear within a few decades. If this happens, the Ganges could become seasonal, flowing only during the monsoon season. Chinese agriculture is also threatened by disappearing Himalayan glaciers, in this case those on the Tibet-Quinghai Plateau. The respected Chinese glaciologist Yao Tandong estimates that the glaciers feeding the Yangtze and Yellow Rivers are disappearing at the rate of 7% per year.⁶

The Indus and Mekong Rivers will be similarly affected by the melting of glaciers. Lack of water during the summer season could have a serious impact on the irrigation.

Mature forests contain vast amounts of sequestered carbon, not only in their trees, but also in the carbon-rich soil of the forest floor. When a forest is logged or burned to make way for agriculture, this carbon is released into the atmosphere.

 $^{^{6}} http://www.commondreams.org/news/2015/08/04/global-glaciers-melting-three-times-rate-20 th-century$

One fifth of the global carbon emissions are at present due to destruction of forests. This amount is greater than the CO_2 emissions for the world's transportation systems. An intact forest pumps water back into the atmosphere, increasing inland rainfall and benefiting agriculture. By contrast, deforestation, for example in the Amazonian rainforest, accelerates the flow of water back into the ocean, thus reducing inland rainfall. There is a danger that the Amazonian rainforest may be destroyed to such an extent that the region will become much more dry. If this happens, the forest may become vulnerable to fires produced by lightning strikes. This is one of the feedback loops against which the Stern Report warns: the drying and burning of the Amazonian rainforest may become irreversible, greatly accelerating climate change, if destruction of the forest proceeds beyond a certain point.

e) Erosion of topsoil.

Besides depending on an adequate supply of water, food production also depends on the condition of the thin layer of topsoil that covers the world's croplands. This topsoil is being degraded and eroded at an alarming rate: According to the World Resources Institute and the United Nations Environment Programme, "It is estimated that since World War II, 1.2 billion hectares... has suffered at least moderate degradation as a result of human activity. This is a vast area, roughly the size of China and India combined." This area is 27% of the total area currently devoted to agriculture. The report goes on to say that the degradation is greatest in Africa. The risk of topsoil erosion is greatest when marginal land is brought into cultivation, since marginal land is usually on steep hillsides which are vulnerable to water erosion when wild vegetation is removed.

David Pimental and his associates at Cornell University pointed out in 1995 that "Because of erosion-associated loss of productivity and population growth, the per capita food supply has been reduced over the past 10 years and continues to fall. The Food and Agricultural Organization reports that the per capita production of grains which make up 80% of the world's food supply, has been declining since 1984...During the past 40 years nearly one-third of the world's cropland (1.5 billion hectares) has been abandoned because of soil erosion and degradation. Most of the replacement has come from marginal land made available by removing forests. Agriculture accounts for 80% of the annual deforestation."

Topsoil can also be degraded by the accumulation of salt when irrigation water evaporates. The worldwide area of irrigated land has increased from 8 million hectares in 1800 to more than 100 million hectares today. This land is especially important to the world food supply because it is carefully tended and yields are large in proportion to the area. To protect this land from salination, it should be irrigated in such a way that evaporation is minimized.

Finally cropland with valuable topsoil is being be lost to urban growth and highway development, a problem that is made more severe by growing populations and by economic growth.

Every year, more than 100,000 square kilometers of rain forest are cleared and burned, an area which corresponds to that of Switzerland and the Netherlands combined. Almost half of the world's tropical forests have already been destroyed. Ironically, the land thus cleared often becomes unsuitable for agriculture within a few years. Tropical soils may seem to be fertile when covered with luxuriant vegetation, but they are usually very poor in nutrients because of leeching by heavy rains. The nutrients which remain are contained in the vegetation itself; and when the forest cover is cut and burned, the nutrients are rapidly lost.

Often the remaining soil is rich in aluminum oxide and iron oxide. When such soils are exposed to oxygen and sun-baking, a rock-like substance called Laterite is formed.

Secret land purchases in Africa

According to a report released by the Oakland Institute, in 2009 alone, hedge funds bought or leased nearly 60 million hectares of land in Africa, an area the size of France.

As populations increase, and as water becomes scarce, China, and other countries, such as Saudi Arabia are also buying enormous tracts of agricultural land, not only in Africa, but also in other countries.

These land purchases are very often kept secret from the local populations by corrupt governments.⁷

Some conclusions

There is a danger that just as global population reaches the unprecedented level of 9 billion or more, the agricultural base for supporting it may suddenly collapse. Ecological catastrophe, possibly compounded by war and other disorders, could produce famine and death on a scale unprecedented in history, a disaster of unimaginable proportions, involving billions rather than millions of people.

The resources of the earth and the techniques of modern science can support a global population of moderate size in comfort and security; but the optimum size is undoubtedly smaller than the world's present population. Given a sufficiently small global population, renewable sources of energy can be found to replace disappearing fossil fuels. Technology may also be able to find renewable substitutes for many disappearing mineral resources for a global population of moderate size. What technology cannot do, however, is to give a global population of 9 billion people the standard of living which the industrialized countries enjoy today.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. A. Gore, An Inconvenient Truth: The Planetary Emergency of Global Warming and What We Can Do About It, Rodale Books, New York, (2006).
- 2. A. Gore, Earth in the Balance: Forging a New Common Purpose, Earthscan, (1992).
- 3. A.H. Ehrlich and P.R. Ehrlich, *Earth*, Thames and Methuen, (1987).pro Simon and Schuster, (1990).

⁷http://www.latimes.com/world/asia/la-fg-china-foreign-farmland-20140329-story.html http://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-13688683

- 4. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Healing the Planet: Strategies for Resolving the Environmental Crisis*, Addison-Wesley, (1991).
- 5. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Betrayal of Science and Reason: How Anti-Environmental Rhetoric Threatens our Future*, Island Press, (1998).
- 6. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, One With Nineveh: Politics, Consumption and the Human Future, Island Press, (2004).
- 7. A.H. Ehrlich and U. Lele, Humankind at the Crossroads: Building a Sustainable Food System, in Draft Report of the Pugwash Study Group: The World at the Crossroads, Berlin, (1992).
- 8. P.R. Ehrlich, *The Population Bomb*, Sierra/Ballentine, New York, (1972).
- 9. P.R. Ehrlich, A.H. Ehrlich and J. Holdren, *Human Ecology*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1972).
- 10. P.R. Ehrlich, A.H. Ehrlich and J. Holdren, *Ecoscience: Population, Resources, Environment*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1977)
- 11. P.R. Ehrlich and A.H. Ehrlich, *Extinction*, Victor Gollancz, London, (1982).
- 12. D.H. Meadows, D.L. Meadows, J. Randers, and W.W. Behrens III, *The Limits to Growth: A Report for the Club of Rome's Project on the Predicament of Mankind*, Universe Books, New York, (1972).
- 13. D.H. Meadows et al., Beyond the Limits. Confronting Global Collapse and Envisioning a Sustainable Future, Chelsea Green Publishing, Post Mills, Vermont, (1992).
- 14. D.H. Meadows, J. Randers and D.L. Meadows, *Limits to Growth: the 30-Year Update*, Chelsea Green Publishing, White River Jct., VT 05001, (2004).
- 15. A. Peccei and D. Ikeda, *Before it is Too Late*, Kodansha International, Tokyo, (1984).
- 16. A. Peccei, *The Human Quality*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1977).
- 17. A. Peccei, One Hundred Pages for the Future, Pergamon Press, New York, (1977).
- V.K. Smith, ed., Scarcity and Growth Reconsidered, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, (1979).
- 19. R. Costannza, ed., *Ecological Economics: The Science and Management of Sustainability*, Colombia University Press, New York, (1991).
- 20. IPCC, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, (1001).
- 21. N. Stern et al., The Stern Review, www.sternreview.org.uk, (2006).
- 22. T.M. Swanson, ed., The Economics and Ecology of Biodiversity Decline: The Forces Driving Global Change, Cambridge University Press, (1995).
- P.M. Vitousek, H.A. Mooney, J. Lubchenco and J.M. Melillo, Human Domination of Earth's Ecosystems, Science, 277, 494-499, (1997).
- 24. P.M. Vitousek, P.R. Ehrlich, A.H. Ehrlich and P.A. Matson, Human Appropriation of the Products of Photosynthesis, Bioscience, 34, 368-373, (1986).
- D. King, Climate Change Science: Adapt, Mitigate or Ignore, Science, 303 (5655), pp. 176-177, (2004).
- 26. S. Connor, *Global Warming Past Point of No Return*, The Independent, (116 September, 2005).
- 27. D. Rind, Drying Out the Tropics, New Scientist (6 May, 1995).

- 28. J. Patz et al., Impact of Regional Climate Change on Human Health, Nature, (17 November, 2005).
- 29. M. McCarthy, *China Crisis: Threat to the Global Environment*, The Independent, (19 October, 2005).
- 30. L.R. Brown, The Twenty-Ninth Day, W.W. Norton, New York, (1978).
- 31. N. Myers, *The Sinking Ark*, Pergamon, New York, (1972).
- N. Myers, Conservation of Tropical Moist Forests, National Academy of Sciences, Washington D.C., (1980).
- 33. National Academy of Sciences, Energy and Climate, NAS, Washington D.C., (1977).
- 34. W. Ophuls, *Ecology and the Politics of Scarcity*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1977).
- 35. E. Eckholm, Losing Ground: Environmental Stress and World Food Prospects, W.W. Norton, New York, (1975).
- 36. E. Eckholm, *The Picture of Health: Environmental Sources of Disease*, New York, (1976).
- Economic Commission for Europe, Air Pollution Across Boundaries, United Nations, New York, (1985).
- G. Hagman and others, Prevention is Better Than Cure, Report on Human Environmental Disasters in the Third World, Swedish Red Cross, Stockholm, Stockholm, (1986).
- 39. G. Hardin, "The Tragedy of the Commons", Science, December 13, (1968).
- 40. K. Newland, Infant Mortality and the Health of Societies, Worldwatch Paper 47, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1981).
- 41. D.W. Orr, *Ecological Literacy*, State University of New York Press, Albany, (1992).
- 42. E. Pestel, Beyond the Limits to Growth, Universe Books, New York, (1989).
- 43. D.C. Pirages and P.R. Ehrlich, Ark II: Social Responses to Environmental Imperatives, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, (1974).
- 44. Population Reference Bureau, *World Population Data Sheet*, PRM, 777 Fourteenth Street NW, Washington D.C. 20007, (published annually).
- 45. R. Pressat, *Population*, Penguin Books Ltd., (1970).
- 46. M. Rechcigl (ed.), Man/Food Equation, Academic Press, New York, (1975).
- 47. J.C. Ryan, *Life Support: Conserving Biological Diversity*, Worldwatch Paper 108, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1992).
- 48. J. Shepard, *The Politics of Starvation*, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington D.C., (1975).
- 49. B. Stokes, Local Responses to Global Problems: A Key to Meeting Basic Human Needs, Worldwatch Paper 17, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1978).
- 50. L. Timberlake, Only One Earth: Living for the Future, BBC/ Earthscan, London, (1987).
- 51. UNEP, Environmental Data Report, Blackwell, Oxford, (published annually).
- 52. UNESCO, International Coordinating Council of Man and the Biosphere, MAB Report Series No. 58, Paris, (1985).

- 53. United Nations Fund for Population Activities, A Bibliography of United Nations Publications on Population, United Nations, New York, (1977).
- 54. United Nations Fund for Population Activities, *The State of World Population*, UNPF, 220 East 42nd Street, New York, 10017, (published annually).
- 55. United Nations Secretariat, World Population Prospects Beyond the Year 2000, U.N., New York, (1973).
- 56. J. van Klinken, *Het Dierde Punte*, Uitgiversmaatschappij J.H. Kok-Kampen, Netherlands (1989).
- 57. B. Ward and R. Dubos, Only One Earth, Penguin Books Ltd., (1973).
- 58. WHO/UNFPA/UNICEF, The Reproductive Health of Adolescents: A Strategy for Action, World Health Organization, Geneva, (1989).
- 59. E.O. Wilson, *Sociobiology*, Harvard University Press, (1975).
- 60. E.O. Wilson (ed.), *Biodiversity*, National Academy Press, Washington D.C., (1988).
- 61. E.O. Wilson, The Diversity of Life, Allen Lane, The Penguin Press, London, (1992).
- 62. G. Woodwell (ed.), The Earth in Transition: Patterns and Processes of Biotic Impoverishment, Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- 63. World Resources Institute (WRI), *Global Biodiversity Strategy*, The World Conservation Union (IUCN), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), (1992).
- 64. World Resources Institute, World Resources 200-2001: People and Ecosystems: The Fraying Web of Life, WRI, Washington D.C., (2000).
- 65. D.W. Pearce and R.K. Turner, *Economics of Natural Resources and the Environment*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, (1990).
- 66. P. Bartelmus, Environment, Growth and Development: The Concepts and Strategies of Sustainability, Routledge, New York, (1994).
- 67. H.E. Daly and K.N. Townsend, (editors), Valuing the Earth. Economics, Ecology, Ethics, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, (1993)
- 68. C. Flavin, *Slowing Global Warming: A Worldwide Strategy*, Worldwatch Paper 91, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1989).
- 69. S.H. Schneider, *The Genesis Strategy: Climate and Global Survival*, Plenum Press, (1976).
- 70. WHO/UNFPA/UNICEF, The Reproductive Health of Adolescents: A Strategy for Action, World Health Organization, Geneva, (1989).
- World Commission on Environment and Development, Our Common Future, Oxford University Press, (1987).
- 72. W. Jackson, Man and the Environment, Wm. C. Brown, Dubuque, Iowa, (1971).
- 73. T. Berry, The Dream of the Earth, Sierra Club Books, San Francisco, (1988).
- T.M. Swanson, ed., The Economics and Ecology of Biodiversity Decline: The Forces Driving Global Change, Cambridge University Press, (1995).
- 75. John Fielden, The Curse of the Factory System, (1836).
- A. Smith, The Theory of Moral Sentiments... (1759), ed. D.D. Raphael and A.L. MacPhie, Clarendon, Oxford, (1976).
- 77. A. Smith, An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations (1776), Everyman edn., 2 vols., Dent, London, (1910).

- 78. Charles Knowlton The Fruits of Philosophy, or The Private Companion of Young Married People, (1832).
- 79. John A. Hobson, John Ruskin, Social Reformer, (1898).
- 80. E. Pease, A History of the Fabian Society, Dutton, New York, (1916).
- 81. G. Claeys, ed., New View of Society, and other writings by Robert Owen, Penguin Classics, (1991).
- 82. W. Bowden, Industrial Society in England Towards the End of the Eighteenth Century, MacMillan, New York, (1925).
- 83. G.D. Cole, A Short History of the British Working Class Movement, MacMillan, New York, (1927).
- 84. P. Deane, The First Industrial Revolution, Cambridge University Press, (1969).
- 85. Marie Boaz, *Robert Boyle and Seventeenth Century Chemistry*, Cambridge University Press (1958).
- 86. J.G. Crowther, *Scientists of the Industrial Revolution*, The Cresset Press, London (1962).
- 87. R.E. Schofield, The Lunar Society of Birmingham, Oxford University Press (1963).
- 88. L.T.C. Rolt, Isambard Kingdom Brunel, Arrow Books, London (1961).
- 89. J.D. Bernal, Science in History, Penguin Books Ltd. (1969).
- 90. Bertrand Russell, The Impact of Science on Society, Unwin Books, London (1952).
- 91. Wilbert E. Moore, The Impact of Industry, Prentice Hall (1965).
- Charles Morazé, The Nineteenth Century, George Allen and Unwin Ltd., London (1976).
- 93. Carlo M. Cipolla (editor), *The Fontana Economic History of Europe*, Fontana/Collins, Glasgow (1977).
- Martin Gerhard Geisbrecht, The Evolution of Economic Society, W.H. Freeman and Co. (1972).
- 95. P.N. Stearns, The Industrial Revolution in World History, Westview Press, (1998).
- 96. E.P. Thompson, *The Making of the English Working Class*, Pennguin Books, London, (1980).
- 97. N.J. Smelser, Social Change and the Industrial Revolution: An Application of Theory to the British Cotton Industry, University of Chicago Press, (1959).
- 98. D.S. Landes, The Unbound Prometheus: Technical Change and Industrial Development in Western Europe from 1750 to the Present, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, (2003).
- 99. S. Pollard, *Peaceful Conquest: The Industrialization of Europe, 1760-1970*, Oxford University Press, (1981).
- M. Kranzberg and C.W. Pursell, Jr., eds., *Technology in Western Civilization*, Oxford University Press, (1981).
- 101. M.J. Daunton, Progress and Poverty: An Economic and Social History of Britain, 1700-1850, Oxford University Press, (1990).
- 102. L.R. Berlanstein, *The Industrial Revolution and Work in 19th Century Europe*, Routledge, (1992).

- 103. J.D. Bernal, *Science and Industry in the 19th Century*, Indiana University Press, Bloomington, (1970).
- 104. P.A. Brown, *The French Revolution in English History*, 2nd edn., Allen and Unwin, London, (1923).
- 105. E. Burke, Reflections on the Revolution in France and on the Proceedings of Certain Societies in London Relative to that Event..., Dent, London, (1910).
- 106. J.B. Bury, The Idea of Progress, MacMillan, New York, (1932).
- 107. I.R. Christie, Stress and Stability in Late Eighteenth Century Britain; Reflections on the British Avoidance of Revolution (Ford Lectures, 1983-4), Clarendon, Oxford, (1984).
- 108. H.T. Dickenson, *Liberty and Property, Political Ideology in Eighteenth Century Britain*, Holmes and Meier, New York, (1977).
- 109. W. Eltis, The Classical Theory of Economic Growth, St. Martin's, New York, (1984).
- 110. E. Halévy, A History of the English People in the Nineteenth Century, (transl. E.I. Watkin), 2nd edn., Benn, London, (1949).
- 111. E. Halévy, *The Growth of Philosophic Radicalism*, (transl. M. Morris), new edn., reprinted with corrections, Faber, London, (1952).
- 112. W. Hazlitt, *The Complete Works of William Hazlitt*, ed. P.P. Howe, after the edition of A.R. Walker and A. Glover, 21 vols., J.M. Dent, London, (1932).
- 113. W. Hazlitt, A Reply to the Essay on Population by the Rev. T.R. Malthus..., Longman, Hurst, Rees and Orme, London, (1807).
- 114. R. Heilbroner, The Worldly Philosophers: The Lives, Times and Ideas of the Great Economic Thinkers, 5th edn., Simon and Schuster, New York, (1980).
- 115. R.K. Kanth, Political Economy and Laissez-Faire: Economics and Ideology in the Ricardian Era, Rowman and Littlefield, Totowa N.J., (1986).
- 116. J.M. Keynes, Essays in Biography, in The Collected Writings of John Maynard Keynes, MacMillan, London, (1971-82).
- 117. F. Knight, University Rebel: The Life of William Frend, 1757-1841, Gollancz, London (1971).
- 118. M. Lamb, and C. Lamb, *The Works of Charles and Mary Lamb*, ed. E.V. Lucas, 7 vols., Methuen, London, (1903).
- A. Lincoln, Some Political and Social Ideas of English Dissent, 1763-1800, Cambridge University Press, (1938).
- 120. D. Locke, A Fantasy of Reason: The Life and Thought of William Godwin, Routledge, London, (1980).
- 121. J. Locke, Two Treatises on Government. A Critical Edition with an Introduction and Apparatus Criticus, ed. P. Laslett, Cambridge University Press, (1967).
- 122. J. Macintosh, Vindicae Gallicae. Defense of the French Revolution and its English Admirers against the Accusations of the Right Hon. Edmund Burke..., Robinson, London, (1791).
- 123. J. Macintosh, A Discourse on the Study of the Law of Nature and of Nations, Caldell, London, (1799).

- 124. T. Paine, The Rights of Man: being an Answer to Mr. Burke's Attack on The French Revolution, Jordan, London, part I (1791), part II (1792).
- 125. H.G. Wells, Anticipations of the Reaction of Mechanical and Scientific Progress on Human Life and Thought, Chapman and Hall, London, (1902).
- 126. B. Wiley, The Eighteenth Century Background: Studies of the Idea of Nature in the Thought of the Period, Chatto and Windus, London, (1940).
- 127. G.R. Morrow, The Ethical and Economic Theories of Adam Smith: A Study in the Social Philosophy of the 18th Century, Cornell Studies in Philosophy, 13, 91-107, (1923).
- 128. H.W. Schneider, ed., Adam Smith's Moral and Political Philosophy, Harper Torchbook edition, New York, (1948).
- 129. F. Rosen, *Classical Utilitarianism from Hume to Mill*, Routledge, (2003).
- 130. J.Z. Muller, The Mind and the Market: Capitalism in Western Thought, Anchor Books, (2002).
- 131. J.Z. Muller, Adam Smith in His Time and Ours: Designing the Decent Society, Princeton University Press, (1995).
- 132. S. Hollander, The Economics of Adam Smith, University of Toronto Press, (19773).
- 133. K. Haakonssen, *The Cambridge Companion to Adam Smith*, Cambridge University Press, (2006).
- 134. K. Haakonssen, The Science of a Legeslator: The Natural Jurisprudence of David Hume and Adam Smith, Cambridge University Press, (1981).
- 135. I. Hont and M. Ignatieff, Wealth and Virtue: The Shaping of Political Economy in the Scottish Enlightenment, Cambridge University Press, (1983).
- 136. I.S. Ross, The Life of Adam Smith, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1976).
- 137. D. Winch, Adam Smith's Politics: An Essay in Historiographic Revision, Cambridge University Press, (1979).
Chapter 13

MONEY CONTROLS MEDIA AND GOVERNMENTS

13.1 Benefits of equality

The Industrial Revolution opened up an enormous gap in military strength between the industrialized nations and the rest of the world. Taking advantage of their superior weaponry, Europe, the United States and Japan rapidly carved up the remainder of the world into colonies, which acted as sources of raw materials and food, and as markets for manufactured goods. Between 1800 and 1914, the percentage of the earth under the domination of colonial powers increased to 85 percent, if former colonies are included.

The English economist and Fabian, John Atkinson Hobson (1858-1940), offered a famous explanation of the colonial era in his book "Imperialism: A Study" (1902). According to Hobson, the basic problem that led to colonial expansion was an excessively unequal distribution of incomes in the industrialized countries. The result of this unequal distribution was that neither the rich nor the poor could buy back the total output of their society. The incomes of the poor were insufficient, and rich were too few in number. The rich had finite needs, and tended to reinvest their money. As Hobson pointed out, reinvestment in new factories only made the situation worse by increasing output.

Hobson had been sent as a reporter by the Manchester Guardian to cover the Second Boer War. His experiences had convinced him that colonial wars have an economic motive. Such wars are fought, he believed, to facilitate investment of the excess money of the rich in African or Asian plantations and mines, and to make possible the overseas sale of excess manufactured goods. Hobson believed imperialism to be immoral, since it entails suffering both among colonial peoples and among the poor of the industrial nations. The cure that he recommended was a more equal distribution of incomes in the manufacturing countries.

Interestingly, TED Talks (ideas worth spreading) was recently under fire from many progressive groups for censoring a short talk by the adventure capitalist, Nick Hanauer, entitled "Income Inequality". In this talk, Hanauer said exactly the same thing as John Hobson, but he applies the ideas, not to colonialism, but to current unemployment in the



Figure 13.1: World wealth levels in 2004. Countries with per capita wealth greater than 100,000 USD are shown in red, while those with per capita wealth less than 5,000 USD are shown in blue.

United States. Hanauer said that the rich are unable to consume the products of society because they are too few in number. To make an economy work, demand must be increased, and for this to happen, the distribution of incomes must become much more equal than it is today in the United States.

TED has now posted Hanauer's talk, and the interested reader can find another wonderful TED talk dealing with the same issues from the standpoint of health and social problems. In a splendid lecture entitled "How economic inequality harms societies", Richard Wilkinson demonstrates that there is almost no correlation between gross national product and a number of indicators of the quality of life, such as physical health, mental health, drug abuse, education, imprisonment, obesity, social mobility, trust, violence, teenage pregnancies and child well-being. On the other hand he offers comprehensive statistical evidence that these indicators are strongly correlated with the degree of inequality within countries, the outcomes being uniformly much better in nations where income is more equally distributed.

Warren Buffet famously remarked, "There's class warfare, all right. But it's my class, the rich class, that's making war, and we're winning." However, the evidence presented by Hobson, Hanauer and Wilkinson shows conclusively that no one wins in a society where inequality is too great, and everyone wins when incomes are more evenly distributed.

13.1. BENEFITS OF EQUALITY



Figure 13.2: In many countries, children live by scavaging from garbage dumps.



Figure 13.3: Even in rich countries, many millions of people live in poverty,

13.2 Extreme inequality today

Here are some quotations from a report by the Global Inequality organization: ¹

Inequality has been on the rise across the globe for several decades. Some countries have reduced the numbers of people living in extreme poverty. But economic gaps have continued to grow as the very richest amass unprecedented levels of wealth. Among industrial nations, the United States is by far the most top-heavy, with much greater shares of national wealth and income going to the richest 1 percent than any other country.

The world's richest 1 percent, those with more than \$1 million, own 45 percent of the world's wealth. Adults with less than \$10,000 in wealth make up 64 percent of the world's population but hold less than 2 percent of global wealth. The world's wealthiest individuals, those owning over \$100,000 in assets, total less than 10 percent of the global population but own 84 percent of global wealth. Credit Suisse defines "wealth" as the value of a household's financial assets plus real assets (principally housing), minus their debts.

"Ultra high net worth individuals" - the wealth management industry's term for people worth more than \$30 million - hold an astoundingly disproportionate share of global wealth. These wealth owners hold 11.3 percent of total global wealth, yet represent only a tiny fraction (0.003%) of the world population.

The world's 10 richest billionaires, according to Forbes, own \$745 billion in combined wealth, a sum greater than the total goods and services most nations produce on an annual basis. The globe is home to 2,208 billionaires, according to the 2018 Forbes ranking.

Those with extreme wealth have often accumulated their fortunes on the backs of people around the world who work for poor wages and under dangerous conditions. According to Oxfam, the wealth divide between the global billionaires and the bottom half of humanity is steadily growing. Between 2009 and 2017, the number of billionaires it took to equal the wealth of the world's poorest 50 percent fell from 380 to 42...

The United States has more wealth than any other nation. But America's top-heavy distribution of wealth leaves typical American adults with far less wealth than their counterparts in other industrial nations.

13.3 Oligarchy replaces democracy in many countries

The jaws of power

"Every government degenerates when trusted to the rulers of the people alone. The people themselves, therefore, are its only safe depositories." Thomas Jeffer-

¹https://inequality.org/facts/global-inequality/

son, (1743-1826)

"The jaws of power are always open to devour, and her arm is always stretched out, if possible, to destroy the freedom of thinking, speaking, and writing." John Adams, (1735-1826)

According to the Nuremberg Principles, the citizens of a country have a responsibility for the crimes that their governments commit. But to prevent these crimes, the people need to have some knowledge of what is going on. Indeed, democracy cannot function at all without this knowledge.

What are we to think when governments make every effort to keep their actions secret from their own citizens? We can only conclude that although they may call themselves democracies, such governments are in fact oligarchies or dictatorships.

At the end of World War I, it was realized that secret treaties had been responsible for its outbreak, and an effort was made to ensure that diplomacy would be more open in the future. Needless to say, these efforts did not succeed, and diplomacy has remained a realm of secrecy.

Many governments have agencies for performing undercover operations (usually very dirty ones). We can think, for example of the KGB, the CIA, M5, or Mossad. How can countries that have such agencies claim to be democracies, when the voters have no knowledge of or influence over the acts that are committed by the secret agencies of their governments?

Nuclear weapons were developed in secret. It is doubtful whether the people of the United States would have approved of the development of such antihuman weapons, or their use against an already-defeated Japan, if they had known that these things were going to happen. The true motive for the nuclear bombings was also kept secret. In the words of General Groves, speaking confidentially to colleagues at Los Alamos, the real motive was "to control the Soviet Union".

The true circumstances surrounding the start of the Vietnam war would never have been known if Daniel Ellsberg had not leaked the Pentagon Papers. Ellsberg thought that once the American public realized that their country's entry into the war was based on a lie, the war would end. It did not end immediately, but undoubtedly Ellsberg's action contributed to the end of the war.

We do not know what will happen to Julian Assange. If his captors send him to the US, and if he is executed there for the crime of publishing leaked documents (a crime that he shares with the New York Times), he will not be the first martyr to the truth. The ageing Galileo was threatened with torture and forced to recant his heresy - that the earth moves around the sun. Galileo spent the remainder of his days in house arrest. Gordiano Bruno was less lucky. He was burned at the stake for maintaining that the universe is larger than it was then believed to be. If Julian Assange becomes a martyr to the truth like Galileo or Bruno, his name will be honored by generations in the future, and the shame of his captors will be remembered too.

The deep state

Can a government, many of whose operations are secret, be a democracy? Obviously this is impossible. The recent attempts of the United States to arrest whistleblower Edward Snowden call attention to the glaring contradiction between secrecy and democracy.

In a democracy, the power of judging and controlling governmental policy is supposed to be in the hands of the people. It is completely clear that if the people do not know what their government is doing, then they cannot judge or control governmental policy, and democracy has been abolished. There has always been a glaring contradiction between democracy and secret branches of the government, such as the CIA, which conducts its assassinations and its dirty wars in South America without any public knowledge or control.

The gross, wholesale electronic spying on citizens revealed by Snowden seems to be specifically aimed at eliminating democracy. It is aimed at instilling universal fear and conformity, fear of blackmail and fear of being out of step, so that the public will not dare to oppose whatever the government does, no matter how criminal or unconstitutional.

Henry Kissinger famously remarked: "The illegal we do at once. The unconstitutional takes a little longer". Well, Henry, that may have been true in your time, but today the unconstitutional does not take long at all.

The Magna Carta is trashed. No one dares to speak up. Habeas Corpus is trashed. No one dares to speak up. The United Nations Charter is trashed. No one dares to speak up. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights is trashed. No one dares to speak up. The Fourth Amendment to the US Constitution is trashed. No one dares to speak up. The President claims the right to kill both US and foreign citizens, at his own whim. No one dares to speak up.

But perhaps this is unjust. Perhaps some people would dare to protest, except that they cannot get their protests published in the mainstream media. We must remember that the media are owned by the same corporate oligarchs who own the government.

George Orwell, you should be living today! We need your voice today! After Snowden's revelations, the sale of Orwell's "1984" soared. It is now on the bestseller list. Sadly, Orwell's dystopian prophesy has proved to be accurate in every detail.

What is the excuse for for the massive spying reported by Snowden, spying not only on US citizens but also on the citizens of other countries throughout the world? "We want to protect you from terrorism.", the government answers. But terrorism is not a real threat, it is an invented one. It was invented by the military-industrial complex because, at the end of the Cold War, this enormous money-making conglomerate lacked enemies.

Globally, the number of people killed by terrorism is vanishingly small compared to the number of children who die from starvation every year. It is even vanishingly small compared with the number of people who are killed in automobile accidents. It is certainly small compared with the number of people killed in wars aimed at gaining western hegemony over oil-rich regions of the world.

But in Shelley's words, "We are many; they are few!" The people who want democracy greatly outnumber those who profit from maintaining a government based on secrecy and fear. Let us "rise like lions after slumbers, in unvanguishable numbers". Let us abolish governmental secrecy and reclaim our democracy.

13.4 Media in the service of powerholders

Throughout history, art was commissioned by rulers to communicate, and exaggerate, their power, glory, absolute rightness etc, to the populace. The pyramids gave visual support to the power of the Pharaoh; portraits of rulers are a traditional form of propaganda supporting monarchies; and palaces were built as symbols of power.

Modern powerholders are also aware of the importance of propaganda. Thus the media are a battleground where reformers struggle for attention, but are defeated with great regularity by the wealth and power of the establishment. This is a tragedy because today there is an urgent need to make public opinion aware of the serious problems facing civilization, and the steps that are needed to solve these problems. The mass media could potentially be a great force for public education, but often their role is not only unhelpful - it is negative.

It is certainly possible to find a few television programs and newspaper articles that present the facts about climate change in a realistic way. For example *The Guardian* gives outstanding climate change coverage. However, the mass media could do very much more. One has to conclude that the media are neglecting their great responsibilities at a time of acute crisis for human civilization and the biosphere. The same can be said of our educational systems at both both the primary and advanced levels. We urgently need much more public education about the severe dangers that we face today.

13.5 Television as a part of our educational system

In the mid-1950's, television became cheap enough so that ordinary people in the industrialized countries could afford to own sets. During the infancy of television, its power was underestimated. The great power of television is due to the fact that it grips two senses simultaneously, both vision and hearing. The viewer becomes an almost-hypnotized captive of the broadcast.

In the 1950's, this enormous power, which can be used both for good and for ill, was not yet fully apparent. Thus insufficient attention was given to the role of television in education, in setting norms, and in establishing values. Television was not seen as an integral part of the total educational system. It is interesting to compare the educational systems of traditional cultures with those of modern industrial societies.

In traditional societies, multigenerational families often live together in the same dwelling. In general, there is a great deal of contact between grandparents and grandchildren, with much transmission of values and norms between generations. Old people are regarded with great respect, since they are considered to be repositories of wisdom, knowledge, and culture.

By contrast, modern societies usually favor nuclear families, consisting of only parents



Figure 13.4: The role of the media.



Figure 13.5: Liberty?

and children. Old people are marginalized. They live by themselves in communities or homes especially for the old. Their cultural education knowledge and norms are not valued because they are "out of date". In fact, during the life of a young person in one of the rapidly-changing industrial societies of the modern world, there is often a period when they rebel against the authority of their parents and are acutely embarrassed by their parents, who are "so old-fashioned that they don't understand anything".

Although the intergenerational transmission of values, norms, and culture is much less important in industrial societies than it is in traditional ones, modern young people of the West and North are by no means at a loss over where to find their values, fashions and role models. With every breath, they inhale the values and norms of the mass media. Totally surrounded by a world of television and film images, they accept this world as their own.

13.6 Neglect of climate change in the mass media

The predicament of humanity today has been called "a race between education and catastrophe": How do the media fulfil this life-or-death responsibility? Do they give us insight? No, they give us pop music. Do they give us an understanding of the sweep of evolution and history? No, they give us sport. Do they give us an understanding of the ecological catastrophes that threaten our planet because of unrestricted growth of population and industries? No, they give us sit-coms and soap operas. Do they give us unbiased news? No, they give us news that has been edited to conform with the interests of powerful lobbys. Do they present us with the urgent need to leave fossil fuels in the ground? No, they do not, because this would offend the powerholders. Do they tell of the danger of passing tipping points after which human efforts to prevent catastrophic climate change will be useless? No, they give us programs about gardening and making food.

A consumer who subscribes to the "package" of broadcasts sold by a cable company can often search through all 95 channels without finding a single program that offers insight into the various problems that are facing the world today. What the viewer finds instead is a mixture of pro-establishment propaganda and entertainment. Meanwhile the neglected global problems are becoming progressively more severe.

In general, the mass media behave as though their role is to prevent the peoples of the world from joining hands and working to change the world and to save it from thermonuclear war, environmental catastrophes and threatened global famine. The television viewer sits slumped in a chair, passive, isolated, disempowered and stupefied. The future of the world hangs in the balance, the fate of children and grandchildren hangs in the balance, but the television viewer feels no impulse to work actively to change the world or to save it. The Roman emperors gave their people bread and circuses to numb them into political inactivity. The modern mass media seem to be playing a similar role.



Figure 13.6: Network administrators have noticed that programs about climate change often have low viewer ratings. Since they see delivering high viewer ratings to their advertisers as their primary duty, these executives seldom allow programs dealing with the danger of catastrophic climate change. The duty to save the earth from environmental catastrophe is neglected for the sake of money. As Al Gore said, "Instead of having a well-informed electorate, we have a well-amused audience".

13.7 Climate change denial in mass media

The Wikipedia article on climate change denial describes it with the following words: "Although scientific opinion on climate change is that human activity is extremely likely to be the primary driver of climate change, the politics of global warming have been affected by climate change denial, hindering efforts to prevent climate change and adapt to the warming climate. Those promoting denial commonly use rhetorical tactics to give the appearance of a scientific controversy where there is none."

It is not surprising that the fossil fuel industry supports, on a vast scale, politicians and mass media that deny the reality of climate change. The amounts of money at stake are vast. If catastrophic climate change is to be avoided, coal, oil and natural gas "assets" worth trillions of dollars must be left in the ground. Giant fossil fuel corporations are desperately attempting to turn these "assets' into cash.



Preventing an ecological apocalypse

Here are some excerpts from an article entitled "Only Rebellion will prevent an ecological apocalypse" by George Monbiot, which was published on April 15 2019 in The Guardian²:

No one is coming to save us. Mass civil disobedience is essential to force a political response.

Had we put as much effort into preventing environmental catastrophe as we've spent on making excuses for inaction, we would have solved it by now. Everywhere I look, I see people engaged in furious attempts to fend off the moral challenge it presents...

As the environmental crisis accelerates, and as protest movements like YouthStrike4Climate and Extinction Rebellion make it harder not to see what we face, people discover more inventive means of shutting their eyes and shedding responsibility. Underlying these excuses is a deep-rooted belief that if we really are in trouble, someone somewhere will come to our rescue: "they" won't let it happen. But there is no they, just us.

The political class, as anyone who has followed its progress over the past three years can surely now see, is chaotic, unwilling and, in isolation, strategically incapable of addressing even short-term crises, let alone a vast existential predicament. Yet a widespread and wilful naivety prevails: the belief that voting is the only political action required to change a system. Unless it is accompanied by the concentrated power of protest - articulating precise de-

 $^{^{2}}$ https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/apr/15/rebellion-prevent-ecological-apocalypse-civil-disobedience

mands and creating space in which new political factions can grow - voting, while essential, remains a blunt and feeble instrument.

The media, with a few exceptions, is actively hostile. Even when broadcasters cover these issues, they carefully avoid any mention of power, talking about environmental collapse as if it is driven by mysterious, passive forces, and proposing microscopic fixes for vast structural problems. The BBC's Blue Planet Live series exemplified this tendency.

Those who govern the nation and shape public discourse cannot be trusted with the preservation of life on Earth. There is no benign authority preserving us from harm. No one is coming to save us. None of us can justifiably avoid the call to come together to save ourselves...

Predatory delay

Here are some excerpts from a May 3 2019 article by Bill Henderson entitled "Neoliberalism, Solution Aversion, Implicatory Denial and Predatory Delay"³:

Looking back at the history, that it's not really a failure of human beings and human nature that's the problem here. It's a hijacking of our political and economic system by the fossil fuel industry and a small number of like-minded people. It was our bad luck that this idea that markets solve all problems and that government should be left to wither away crested just at the moment when it could do the most damage.

Despite the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions globally if we are to lower the risks of catastrophic climate change, wealthy industrialized nations persist with a widespread public silence on the issue and fail to address climate change. This is despite there being ever more conclusive evidence of its severity. Why is there an undercurrent of inaction, despite the challenge of climate change being ever more daunting? One element is denial.

George Marshall discovered that there has not been a single proposal, debate or even position paper on limiting fossil fuel production put forward during international climate negotiations. From the very outset fossil fuel production lay outside the frame of the discussions and, as with other forms of socially constructed silence, the social norms among the negotiators and policy specialists kept it that way.

Global climate leadership is being redefined. There is a growing recognition that you cannot be a climate leader if you continue to enable new fossil fuel production, which is inconsistent with climate limits. If no major producers step up to stop the expansion of extraction and begin phasing out existing fields and mines, the Paris goals will become increasingly difficult to achieve.

 $^{^{3}} https://countercurrents.org/2019/05/03/neoliberalism-solution-aversion-implicatory-denial-and-predatory-delay-bill-henderson/$

Wealthy fossil fuel producers have a responsibility to lead, and this must include planning for a just and equitable managed decline of existing production.

The (emissions reduction) curve we've been forced onto bends so steeply, that the pace of victory is part of victory itself. Winning slowly is basically the same thing as losing outright. We cannot afford to pursue past strategies, aimed at limited gains towards distant goals. In the face of both triumphant denialism and predatory delay, trying to achieve climate action by doing the same things, the same old ways, means defeat. It guarantees defeat.

A fast, emergency-scale transition to a post-fossil fuel world is absolutely necessary to address climate change. But this is excluded from consideration by policymakers because it is considered to be too disruptive. The orthodoxy is that there is time for an orderly economic transition within the current shorttermist political paradigm. Discussion of what would be safe - less warming that we presently experience - is non-existent. And so we have a policy failure of epic proportions. Policymakers, in their magical thinking, imagine a mitigation path of gradual change, to be constructed over many decades in a growing, prosperous world...

13.8 Showing unsustainable lifestyles in mass media

Television and other mass media contribute indirectly to climate change denial by showing unsustainable lifestyles. Television dramas show the ubiquitous use of gasoline-powered automobiles and highways crowded with them. just as though there did not exist an urgent need to transform our transportation systems. Motor racing is shown. A program called "Top Gear" tells viewers about the desirability of various automobiles. In general, cyclists are not shown. In television dramas, the protagonists fly to various parts of the world for their holidays. The need for small local self-sustaining communities is not shown.

Advertisements in the mass media urge us to consume more, to fly, to purchase large houses, and to buy gasoline-driven automobiles, just as though such behavior ought to be the norm. Such norms are leading us towards environmental disaster.

13.9 Alternative media

Luckily, the mass media do not have a complete monopoly on public information. With a little effort, citizens who are concerned about the future can find alternative media. These include a large number if independent on-line news services that are supported by subscriber donations rather than by corporate sponsors. *YouTube* videos also represent an extremely important source of public information.



The Guardian

There are exceptions to the general rule that the mass media downplay or completely ignore the climate emergency. The Guardian is a newspaper with absolutely outstanding coverage of all issues related to climate change. No praise can be strong enough for the courageous environmental editorial policy of this famous old British newspaper.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. Abarbanel A, McClusky T (1950) *Is the world getting warmer?* Saturday Evening Post, 1 Jul, p22
- 2. Bagdikian BH (2004) The New Media Monopoly. Boston, MA, USA: Beacon
- 3. Bennett WL (2002) News: The Politics of Illusion, 5th edition. New York, NY, USA: Longman
- Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM (2004) Balance as bias: global warming and the US prestige press. Glob Environ Change 14: 125-136
- 5. Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM (2007) Climate change and journalistic norms: A case study of U.S. mass-media coverage. Geoforum (in press)
- Carey JW (1989) Communication as Culture: Essays on Media and Society. Boston, MA, USA: Unwin Hyman
- Carvalho A (2005) Representing the politics of the greenhouse effect: Discursive strategies in the British media. Critical Discourse Studies 2: 1-29
- 8. CEI (2006) We Call it Life. Washington, DC, USA: Competitive Enterprise Institute
- 9. Cowen RC (1957) Are men changing the earth's weather? Christian Science Monitor, 4 Dec, p13

- Cushman JH (1998) Industrial group plans to battle climate treaty. New York Times, 26 Apr, p1
- 11. Doyle G (2002) Media Ownership: The Economics and Politics of Convergence and Concentration in the UK and European Media. London, UK: Sage Publications
- Dunwoody S, Peters HP (1992) Mass media coverage of technological and environmental risks: A survey of research in the United States and Germany. Public Underst Sci 1: 199-230
- Entman RM (1993) Framing: toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. J Commun 43: 51-58
- 14. Fleming JR (1998) *Historical Perspectives on Climate Change*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press
- 15. Gelbspan R (1998) The Heat Is On. Cambridge, MA, USA: Perseus Books
- 16. Grove RH (2003) Green Imperialism. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- 17. Leggett J (2001) The Carbon War. New York, NY, USA: Routledge
- McChesney RW (1999) Rich Media, Poor Democracy: Communication Politics in Dubious Times. Urbana, IL, USA: University of Illinois Press
- 19. McComas K, Shanahan J (1999) Telling stories about global climate change: Measuring the impact of narratives on issue cycles. Communic Res 26: 30-57
- McCright AM (2007) Dealing with climate change contrarians. In Moser SC, Dilling L (eds) Creating a Climate for Change: Communicating Climate Change and Facilitating Social Change, pp 200-212. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- 21. McCright AM, Dunlap RE (2000) Challenging global warming as a social problem: An analysis of the conservative movement's counter-claims. Soc Probl 47: 499-522
- 22. McCright AM, Dunlap RE (2003) Defeating Kyoto: The conservative movement's impact on U.S. climate change policy. Soc Probl **50**: 348-373
- 23. Mooney C (2004) Blinded by science. Columbia Journalism Review 6(Nov/Dec), www.cjr.org
- 24. NSF (2004) Science and Engineering Indicators 2004. Washington, DC, USA: National Science Foundation Project for Excellence in Journalism (2006) The State of the News Media 2006. Washington, DC, USA:
- Project for Excellence in Journalism. www.stateofthenewsmedia.org Rajan SR (2006) Modernizing Nature. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press
- 26. Sandell C, Blakemore B (2006) ABC News reporting cited as evidence in congressional hearing on global warming. ABC News, 27 Jul, http://abcnews.go.com
- Shabecoff P (1988) Global warming has begun, expert tells senate. New York Times, 24 Jun, pA1
- 28. Shrader-Frechette KS (1993) *Burying Uncertainty*. Berkeley, CA, USA: University of California Press
- 29. Starr P (2004) The Creation of the Media: Political Origins of Modern Communications. New York, NY, USA: Basic Books
- Ungar S (1992) The rise and (relative) decline of global warming as a social problem. Sociol Q 33: 483-501

- 31. Weart SR (2003) *The Discovery of Global Warming*. Cambridge, MA, USA: Harvard University Press
- Weingart P, Engels A, Pansegrau P (2000) Risks of communication: Discourses on climate change in science, politics, and the mass media. Public Underst Sci 9: 261-283
- Wilkins L (1993) Between the facts and values: Print media coverage of the greenhouse effect, 1987-1990. Public Underst Sci 2: 71-84
- Wilson KM (1995) Mass media as sources of global warming knowledge. Mass Communication Review 22: 75-89
- 35. Wilson KM (2000) Communicating climate change through the media: Predictions, politics, and perceptions of risks. In Allan S, Adam B, Carter C (eds) Environmental Risks and the Media, pp 201-217. New York, NY, USA: Routledge
- Zehr SC (2000) Public representations of scientific uncertainty about global climate change. Public Underst Sci 9: 85-103
- 37. O.N. Larsen, ed., Violence and the Mass Media, Harper and Row, (1968).
- 38. R.M.. Liebert et al., *The Early Window: The Effects of Television on Children and Youth*, Pergamon, Elmsford, NY, (1982).
- 39. G. Noble, Children in Front of the Small Screen, Constable, London, (1975).
- 40. H.J. Schneider, Das Geschäft mit dem Verbrechen. Massenmedien und Kriminalität, Kinddler, Munich, (1980).
- 41. W. Schramm, ed., Grundfragen der Kommunikationsforschung, Mu- nich, (1973).
- 42. J.L. Singer and D.G. Singer, *Television, Imagination and Aggression: A Study of Preschoolers*, Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NY, (1981).
- 43. O.N. Larsen, ed., Violence and the Mass Media, Harper and Row, (1968).
- 44. H.J. Skornia, Television and Society, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1965).
- 45. D.L. Bridgeman, ed., *The Nature of Prosocial Behavior*, New York, Academic Press, (1983).
- 46. N. Esenberg, ed., *The Development of Prosocial Behavior*, New York, Academic Press, (1982).
- 47. W.H. Goodenough, Cooperation and Change: An Anthropological Approach to Community Development, New York, Russell Sage Founda- tion, (1963).
- J.R. Macauley and L. Berkowitz, *Altruism and Helping Behavior*, Aca- demic Press, New York, (1970).
- 49. P. Mussen and N. Eislen-Berg, *Roots of Caring, Sharing and Helping*, Freeman, San Francisco, (1977).
- 50. J.P. Rushdon and R.M. Sorentino, eds., *Altruism and Helping Behavior*, Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, (1981).
- 51. L. Wispé, ed, Altruism, Sympathy and Helping, Academic Press, New York, (1978).
- 52. J.-C. Guedon, La Planéte Cyber, Internet et Cyberspace, Gallimard, (1996).
- 53. J. Segal, Théorie de l'information: sciences, techniques et société, de la seconde guerre mondaile ' l'aube du XXI siécle, Thése de Doctorat, Université Lumi're Lyon II, (1998), (http://www.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/staff/segal/thesis/)

- H. von Foerster, editor, Cybernetics circular, causal and feed-back mechanisms in biological and social systems. Transactions of sixth- tenth conferences, Josiah J. Macy Jr. Foundation, New York, (1950-1954).
- 55. G. Bateson, Communication, the Social Matrix of Psychiatry, Norton, (1951).
- 56. G. Bateson, Steps to an Ecology of Mind, Chandler, San Francisco, (1972).
- 57. G. Bateson, Communication et Societé, Seuil, Paris, (1988).
- 58. R.M.. Liebert et al., *The Early Window: The Effects of Television on Children and Youth*, Pergamon, Elmsford, NY, (1982).
- 59. G. Noble, Children in Front of the Small Screen, Constable, London, (1975).
- 60. J.L. Singer and D.G. Singer, *Television, Imagination and Aggression: A Study of Preschoolers*, Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NY, (1981).

Chapter 14

MILITARISM AND THE GREEN NEW DEAL

14.1 Cutting military budgets

The cost of US wars since 2001

According to the National Priorities Project¹, the total cost of US wars between November 11, 2001 and April 8, 2019 has been 4.77 trillion US dollars, or written out in detail \$4,773,527,023,293.00. Every hour US taxpayers are paying 32.08 million dollars for the total costs of war. Globally, the world spent 1.9 trillion dollars on military budgets in 2018, according to the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute.

Every war is a war against children

War was always madness, always immoral, always the cause of unspeakable suffering, economic waste and widespread destruction, and always a source of poverty, hate, barbarism and endless cycles of revenge and counter-revenge. It has always been a crime for soldiers to kill people, just as it is a crime for murderers in civil society to kill people. No flag has ever been wide enough to cover up atrocities. Every war is a war against children.

But today, the development of all-destroying modern weapons has put war completely beyond the bounds of sanity and elementary humanity. The danger of a catastrophic nuclear war casts a dark shadow over the future of our species. It also casts a very black shadow over the future of the global environment. The environmental consequences of a massive exchange of nuclear weapons have been treated in a number of studies by meteorologists and other experts from both East and West. Scientists believe that the "nuclear winter" effect could kill a large proportion of the plants, animals and humans on earth.

¹https://www.nationalpriorities.org/cost-of/war/



Figure 14.1: In the fiscal year US 2015, military spending accounted for 54 percent of all federal discretionary spending, a total of \$598.5 billion. Military spending includes: all regular activities of the Department of Defense; war spending; nuclear weapons spending; international military assistance; and other Pentagon-related spending.



Figure 14.2: U.S. military spending dwarfs the budget of the #2 country - China. For every dollar China spends on its military, the U.S. spends \$2.77. The U.S. outpaces all other nations in military expenditures. World military spending totaled more than \$1.6 trillion in 2015. The U.S. accounted for 37 percent of the total. U.S. military expenditures are roughly the size of the next seven largest military budgets around the world, combined.



Figure 14.3: An attempt was made to audit Pentagon spending, but the firm entrusted with this task eventually pronounced it impossible because of confusing records and lack of records. Trillions of dollars are unaccounted for.



Figure 14.4: No War! No Warming! There are two important connections between war and global warming. Firstly, military organizations run on oil and are are the largest single users of fossil fuels. Secondly, and even more importantly, money saved by slashing military budgets would be more than enough to carry out programs to avoid catastrophic climate change.



Figure 14.5: Military-industrial complexes want war. Ordinary people do not want it. According to the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, global military expenses in 2018 amounted to 1.8 trillion dollars. This almost unimaginable river of money is the basic reason why the terrible suffering and waste of war is inflicted on the world's people.

14.1. CUTTING MILITARY BUDGETS



Figure 14.6: The actress Vanessa Redgrave was part of a 1968 protest against the Vietnam War.



Figure 14.7: We must do whatever is necessary to save the future.



Figure 14.8: Young protesters from the Sunrise Movement call on leaders to back the Green New Deal.

14.2 The Extinction Rebellion

In an open letter to governments, reported in The Guardian², leaders of the environmental movement said:

In our complex, interdependent global ecosystem, life is dying, with species extinction accelerating. The climate crisis is worsening much faster than previously predicted. Every single day 200 species are becoming extinct. This desperate situation can't continue.

Political leaders worldwide are failing to address the environmental crisis. If global corporate capitalism continues to drive the international economy, global catastrophe is inevitable.

Complacency and inaction in Britain, the US, Australia, Brazil, across Africa and Asia - all illustrate diverse manifestations of political paralysis, abdicating humankind's grave responsibility for planetary stewardship.

International political organizations and national governments must foreground the climate-emergency issue immediately, urgently drawing up comprehensive policies to address it. Conventionally privileged nations must voluntarily fund comprehensive environment-protection policies in impoverished nations, to compensate the latter for foregoing unsustainable economic growth, and paying recompense for the planet-plundering imperialism of materially privileged nations.

With extreme weather already hitting food production, we demand that governments act now to avoid any risk of hunger, with emergency investment in agro-ecological extreme-weather-resistant food production. We also call for an urgent summit on saving the Arctic icecap, to slow weather disruption of our harvests.

We further call on concerned global citizens to rise up and organize against current complacency in their particular contexts, including indigenous people's rights advocacy, decolonization and reparatory justice - so joining the global movement that's now rebelling against extinction (eg Extinction Rebellion in the UK).

We must collectively do whatever's necessary non-violently, to persuade politicians and business leaders to relinquish their complacency and denial. Their "business as usual" is no longer an option. Global citizens will no longer put up with this failure of our planetary duty.

Every one of us, especially in the materially privileged world, must commit to accepting the need to live more lightly, consume far less, and to not only uphold human rights but also our stewardship responsibilities to the planet.

The letter was signed by 100 academics, authors, politicians and campaigners from

 $^{^{2}} https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/dec/09/act-now-to-prevent-an-environmental-catastrophe$



Figure 14.9: Young protesters in London demanding action to prevent catastrophic climate change.

across the world. Among them were Vandana Shiva, Noam Chomsky, Naomi Klein and Bill McKibben.

14.3 The cost of inaction

In a sense, the cost of inaction is incalculably high. At stake is the entire future of human civilization and the biosphere. Our children's future and our grandchildren's future will be lost if we do not take rapid action to avoid catastrophic climate change. Nevertheless, scientists studying two of the most dangerous feedback loops, the albedo effect from melting of Arctic sea ice, and the release of methane from melting permafrost, have attempted to put a price tag on the cost of inaction under various scenarios. Their results were recently published in Nature³, and reported in The National Geographic⁴.

The National Geographic article, written by Stephen Leahey and published on April

³https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-09863-x

 $^{{}^{4}} https://www.msn.com/en-us/weather/topstories/a-warming-arctic-could-cost-the-world-trillions-of-dollars/ar-BBWcxsz?li=BBnbcA1$



GLOBAL ATMOSPHERIC CARBON DIOXIDE SETS NEW RECORD HIGH IN 2017

NOAA Climate.gov, adapted from State of the Climate 2017

Figure 14.10: Today the atmospheric concentration of CO_2 is 413 ppm., roughly double the pre-industrial concentration. The last time that it was this high was in the Pliocene Epoch 5.3 to 2.6 million years ago. Sea levels were then 20 meters higher than they are right now, and trees were growing at the South Pole. Unless we quickly lower carbon emissions, most coastal cities and low-lying countries will be lost to rising seas.

24, 2019, states the following:

Scientists have long warned that climate change is likely to bring expensive impacts, from rising seas to stronger storms. And a new study comes with a hefty price tag.

A warming Arctic is shifting from white to dark as sea ice melts and landcovered snow retreats, and that means it can absorb even more of the sun's heat. Plus, the Arctic's vast permafrost area is thawing, releasing more heattrapping carbon and methane. These climate-change-driven feedbacks in the Arctic are accelerating warming even faster and may add nearly \$70 trillion to the overall costs of climate change - even if the world meets the Paris Agreement climate targets, a new study says.

However, if efforts can be made to keep climate change limited to 2.7 degrees Fahrenheit (1.5C), the extra cost of Arctic warming drops to \$25 trillion, new research published in Nature Communications reports. A trillion is a thousand billion. For comparison, the global GDP in 2016 was around \$76 trillion.

"Massive changes are underway in the Arctic. Permafrost and loss of sea ice and snow are two known tipping elements in the climate system," said lead author Dmitry Yumashev of the Pentland Centre for Sustainability in Business, Lancaster University in the United Kingdom.

"We wanted to know what Arctic warming could do to the rest of the world," said Yumashev.

Climate "tipping elements" are also known as tipping points or feedbacks, where a change in a natural system triggers further warming. Last year, a study documented ten tipping points and noted that these can act like a row of dominoes, one pushing another system over. Once started, these tipping points are nearly impossible to stop and risk what researchers called a "Hothouse Earth" state - in which the global average temperature is 4 to 5 degrees Celsius higher, with regions like the Arctic averaging 10 degrees C higher than today.

The Arctic is warming at least twice as fast as the global average. Sea ice has been in decline since the 1990s, exposing a million square miles of ocean. As more solar energy is absorbed it creates what's called the surface albedo feedback...

The \$25 to \$70 trillion cost of Arctic warming adds four to six percent to the total cost of climate change - which is estimated to reach \$1,390 trillion by the year 2300 if emissions cuts are not better than the Paris Agreement. However, the costs of the current business-as-usual path could be more than \$2,000 trillion.



Figure 14.11: Cumulative carbon emissions in gigatons under various scenarios.

Global carbon debt increasing by \$16 trillion annually

Another estimate of the cost of climate inaction has been made by Dr. Gideon Polya in an article entitled "Inescapable \$200-250 Trillion Global Carbon Debt Increasing by \$16 Trillion Annually"⁵. Here are some quotations from the article:

Carbon Debt is simply the damage-related cost of greenhouse gas (GHG) pollution that if not addressed now will inescapably have to be paid by future generations. However GHG emissions continue to rise inexorably and there is no global program to draw down CO2 and other GHGs from the atmosphere. While young people are now vociferously demanding massive climate action, inescapable global Carbon Debt is \$200-\$250 trillion and increasing by \$16 trillion each year.

Unlike Conventional Debt that can be variously expunged by bankruptcy, printing money or default, Carbon Debt is inescapable - thus, for example, national commitments to GHG pollution reduction made to the 2015 Paris Climate Conference amount to a temperature rise of over 3 degrees Centigrade (3C), and unless huge sea walls are built Netherlands-style, coastal cities of the world housing hundreds of millions of people will be submerged by rising

 $^{^{5}} https://countercurrents.org/2019/04/27/inescapable-200-250-trillion-global-carbon-debt-increasing-by-16-trillion-annually-gideon-polya/$



Figure 14.12: Global mean temperature simulations under the range of climate scenarios considered. BAU stands for "Business As Usual".

sea levels (notably in Asia), mega-delta agricultural lands vital for feeding Humanity will be subject to inundation and salinization, and low-lying Island States will cease to exist

While outright, anti-science climate change denialism is politically entrenched in climate criminal Trump America and its climate criminal lackey Australia, most governments around the world are politically committed to effective climate change denialism through climate change inaction. That climate change inaction is most clearly quantitated in terms of Carbon Debt, but the very term has been white-washed out of public perception by US owned or subverted Mainstream media. Thus the Australian ABC (the taxpayer-funded Australian equivalent of the UK BBC) is self-assertedly "progressive" but a Search of the ABC for the term "Climate Debt" reveals zero (0) reportage. A Search of the self-assertedly "ethical" UK BBC for the term "Climate Debt" yields 9 items with none later than 2009, defining the term or quantifying global or national Carbon Debt.

Explanations for this extraordinary mainstream media lying by omission over Carbon Debt can be variously advanced, ranging from entrenched mendacity by US- and corporate- subverted media to cognitive dissonance in the face of a worsening climate emergency. However I am confident in predicting that if governments do not take action on the world's massive Carbon Debt then intergenerational justice action by the utterly betrayed and robbed young people of the world will make the present Extinction Rebellion climate demonstrations in London look like a proverbial Teddy Bear's Picnic. A young people-led Climate Revolution (non-violent one hopes) is coming...

14.4 Up to one million species face extinction

According to a recent United Nations report⁶

The Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) report warns of "an imminent rapid acceleration in the global rate of species extinction."

The pace of loss "is already tens to hundreds of times higher than it has been, on average, over the last 10 million years," it notes.

"Half-a-million to a million species are projected to be threatened with extinction, many within decades."

⁶https://news.yahoo.com/one-million-species-risk-extinction-due-humans-draft-131407174.html

14.5 Refugees from climate change

The United Nations High Commission on Refugees

In an article on *Climate Change and Disasters* the United Nations High Commission on Refugees makes the following statement:

"The Earth's climate is changing at a rate that has exceeded most scientific forecasts. Some families and communities have already started to suffer from disasters and the consequences of climate change, forced to leave their homes in search of a new beginning.

"For UNHCR, the consequences of climate change are enormous. Scarce natural resources such as drinking water are likely to become even more limited. Many crops and some livestock are unlikely to survive in certain locations if conditions become too hot and dry, or too cold and wet. Food security, already a concern, will become even more challenging.

"People try to adapt to this situation, but for many this will mean a conscious move to another place to survive. Such moves, or the effects of climate change on natural resources, may spark conflict with other communities, as an increasing number of people compete for a decreasing amount of resources.

"Since 2009, an estimated one person every second has been displaced by a disaster, with an average of 22.5 million people displaced by climate- or weather-related events since 2008 (IDMC 2015). Disasters and slow onsets, such as droughts in Somalia in 2011 and 2012, floods in Pakistan between 2010 and 2012, and the earthquake in Nepal in 2015, can leave huge numbers of people traumatized without shelter, clean water and basic supplies."

14.6 Populations displaced by sea level rise

In a recent article⁷ discussed the long-term effects of sea level rise and the massive refugee crisis that it might create. By 2060, about 1.4 billion people could be climate change refugees, according to the paper, and that number could reach 2 billion by 2100.

The lead author, Prof. Emeritus Charles Geisler of Cornell University says: "The colliding forces of human fertility, submerging coastal zones, residential retreat, and impediments to inland resettlement is a huge problem. We offer preliminary estimates of the lands unlikely to support new waves of climate refugees due to the residues of war, exhausted natural resources, declining net primary productivity, desertification, urban sprawl, land concentration, 'paving the planet' with roads and greenhouse gas storage zones offsetting permafrost melt."

We should notice that Prof. Geisler's estimate of 2 billion climate refugees by 2100 includes all causes, not merely sea level rise. However, the number of refugees from sea level rise alone will be very large, since all the world's coastal cities, and many river deltas will be at risk.

 $^{^7{\}rm Geisler}$ C. et al., Impediments to inland resettlement under conditions of accelerated sea level rise , Land Use Policy, Vol 55, July 2017, Pages 322-330

14.7 Populations displaced by drought and famine

Climate change could produce a refugee crisis that is "unprecedented in human history", Barack Obama has warned as he stressed global warming was the most pressing issue of the age.

Speaking at an international food conference in Milan, the former US President said rising temperatures were already making it more difficult to grow crops and rising food prices were "leading to political instability".

If world leaders put aside "parochial interests" and took action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by enough to restrict the rise to one or two degrees Celsius, then humanity would probably be able to cope.

Failing to do this, Mr Obama warned, increased the risk of "catastrophic" effects in the future, "not only real threats to food security, but also increases in conflict as a consequence of scarcity and greater refugee and migration patterns".

"If you think about monsoon patterns in the Indian subcontinent, maybe half a billion people rely on traditional rain patterns in those areas,"

14.8 Populations displaced by rising temperatures

A new study published in Nature: Climate Change has warned that up to 75% of the world's population could face deadly heat waves by 2100 unless greenhouse gas emissions are rapidly controlled.⁸. The following is an excerpt from the article:

"Here we conducted a global analysis of documented lethal heat events to identify the climatic conditions associated with human death and then quantified the current and projected occurrence of such deadly climatic conditions worldwide. We reviewed papers published between 1980 and 2014, and found 783 cases of excess human mortality associated with heat from 164 cities in 36 countries.

"Based on the climatic conditions of those lethal heat events, we identified a global threshold beyond which daily mean surface air temperature and relative humidity become deadly. Around 30% of the world's population is currently exposed to climatic conditions exceeding this deadly threshold for at least 20 days a year.

"By 2100, this percentage is projected to increase to 48% under a scenario with drastic reductions of greenhouse gas emissions and 74% under a scenario of growing emissions. An increasing threat to human life from excess heat now seems almost inevitable, but will be greatly aggravated if greenhouse gases are not considerably reduced." ⁹

⁸Mora, C. et al., *Global risk of deadly heat*, Nature: Climate Change, 19 June 2017

⁹See also https://phys.org/news/2017-08-deadly-south-asia-century.html and

https://cleantechnica.com/2017/09/28/extreme-heatwaves-like-recent-lucifer-heatwave-become-normal-europe-2050s/
14.9 Populations displaced by war

A recent article in *The Guardian*¹⁰ discusses the relationship between climate change and war, Here are some excerpts from the article:

"Climate change is set to cause a refugee crisis of 'unimaginable scale', according to senior military figures, who warn that global warming is the greatest security threat of the 21st century and that mass migration will become the 'new normal'.

"The generals said the impacts of climate change were already factors in the conflicts driving a current crisis of migration into Europe, having been linked to the Arab Spring, the war in Syria and the Boko Haram terrorist insurgency.

"Military leaders have long warned that global warming could multiply and accelerate security threats around the world by provoking conflicts and migration. They are now warning that immediate action is required.

"Climate change is the greatest security threat of the 21st century,' said Maj Gen Muniruzzaman.

"Muniruzzaman, chairman of the Global Military Advisory Council on climate change and a former military adviser to the president of Bangladesh. He said one meter of sea level rise will flood 20% of his nation. 'We're going to see refugee problems on an unimaginable scale, potentially above 30 million people.'

"Previously, Bangladesh's finance minister, Abul Maal Abdul Muhith, called on Britain and other wealthy countries to accept millions of displaced people.

"Brig Gen Stephen Cheney, a member of the US Department of State's foreign affairs policy board and CEO of the American Security Project, said: 'Climate change could lead to a humanitarian crisis of epic proportions. We're already seeing migration of large numbers of people around the world because of food scarcity, water insecurity and extreme weather, and this is set to become the new normal'.

14.10 Political reactions to migration

Brexit

Across the developed world, the reaction to threatened migration of refugees from climate change has been less than generous, to say the least. The recent decision of Britain to leave the European Union was motivated largely by the fear of British workers that EU laws would force their country to accept large numbers of refugees.

Swings to the right in Europe

In Germany, Angela Merkel's generous policies towards refugees have cost her votes, while an openly racist party, the Alternative for Germany (AfD) party, has gained in strength. Frauke Petry, 40, the party's leader, has said border guards might need to turn guns on

¹⁰Thursday, 1 December, 2016

anyone crossing a frontier illegally. The party's policy platform says "Islam does not belong in Germany" and calls for a ban on the construction of mosques.

In September, 2017, eight people from the neo-Nazi Freital Group were put on trial in Dresden for bomb attacks on homes for asylum applicants. Hundreds of similar assaults occur in Germany every year, but they had never before been tried as terrorism in a federal court.

In the German election, which took place on Sunday, October 1, 2017, Angela Merkel won a fourth term as Chancellor, but her party won only 33% of the votes, a percentage much reduced from the 41% won in the election of 2013. Angela Merkel was paying a high price for her refugee-friendly policies.

Meanwhile the far right anti-immigration AfD party made a historic breakthrough, winning 13.5% of the vote, thus becoming the first overtly nationalist party to sit in the Bundestag in 60 years. The Greens have already complained that "Nazis have returned to parliament". In fact, members of the AfD party have begun to say that Germans should stop being ashamed of their country's Nazi past.

In France, the National Front is a nationalist party that uses populist rhetoric to promote its anti-immigration and anti-European Union positions. The party favors protectionist economic policies and would clamp down on government benefits for immigrants.

Similarly, in the Netherlands, the anti-European Union, anti-Islam Party for Freedom has called for closing all Islamic schools and recording the ethnicity of all Dutch citizens. In early November, the party was leading in polls ahead of next year's parliamentary elections.

Other far-right anti-immigrant parties in Europe include Golden Dawn (Greece), Jobbic (Hungary), Sweden Democrats (Sweden), Freedom Party (Austria), and People's Party - Our Slovakia (Slovakia). All of these parties have gained in strength because of the widespread fear of immigration.

Populism in the United States

The election of Donald Trump, who ran for President in 2016 on an openly racist and anti-immigrant platform, can also be seen as the result of fear of immigration, especially on the part of industrial workers.

A more humane response to the refugee crisis

In the long-term future, climate change will make the refugee crisis much more severe. Heat and drought will make large regions of the world uninhabitable, and will threaten many populations with famine. The severity of the refugee crisis will depend on how quickly we reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

While making many parts of the world uninhabitable, long-term climate change will make other regions more suitable for human habitation and agriculture. For example,

farming will become more possible in Siberia, Greenland, the Canadian Arctic, Alaska and Patagonia. A humane response to the refugee crisis could include the generous opening of these regions to refuges.

The global population of humans is currently increasing by almost a billion people every decade. Global population must be stabilized, and in the long run, gradually reduced. Money currently wasted (or worse than wasted) on armaments could be used instead to promote universal primary health care, and with it, universal access to the knowledge and materials needed for family planning.

Finally, reduced consumption of meat, particularly beef, would shorten the food chain thus make more food available for famine relief.

14.11 Roosevelt saves his nation and the world

Born into a very wealthy Dutch-American family Franklin Delano Roosevelt (1882-1945) attended Groton School, Harvard College and Columbia Law School. After practicing law in New York, he was elected to the NY State Senate. During World War I, he served as Assistant Secretary of the Navy. In 1920 he was the Democratic Party's Candidate for US Vice President, but he and James G. Cox were defeated by Warren Harding's ticket.

In 1921, FDR contracted polio and lost the use of his legs. His mother urged him to leave politics and return to the family estate at Hyde Park, but he vigorously resisted this suggestion and struggled to continue despite his handicap. In 1928, Roosevelt was elected Governor of New York. As Governor, he instituted many reforms to combat the economic problems that had followed the 1929 Black Friday stock market crash.

After winning a second term as Governor of New York State in 1930, FDR became the front-running candidate for the US Presidency in i932. In accepting the Democratic Party nomination at the Chicago convention, he said: "I pledge you, I pledge myself to a new deal for the American people... This is more than a political campaign. It is a call to arms."

Here are some excerpts from FDR's First Inaugural Address, Saturday, March 4th, 1933:

I am certain that my fellow Americans expect that on my induction into the Presidency I will address them with a candor and a decision which the present situation of our Nation impels. This is preeminently the time to speak the truth, the whole truth, frankly and boldly. Nor need we shrink from honestly facing conditions in our country today. This great Nation will endure as it has endured, will revive and will prosper. So, first of all, let me assert my firm belief that the only thing we have to fear is fear itself - nameless, unreasoning, unjustified terror which paralyzes needed efforts to convert retreat into advance. In every dark hour of our national life a leadership of frankness and vigor has met with that understanding and support of the people themselves which is essential to victory. I am convinced that you will again give that support to leadership in these critical days.

In such a spirit on my part and on yours we face our common difficulties. They concern, thank God, only material things. Values have shrunken to fantastic levels; taxes have risen; our ability to pay has fallen; government of all kinds is faced by serious curtailment of income; the means of exchange are frozen in the currents of trade; the withered leaves of industrial enterprise lie on every side; farmers find no markets for their produce; the savings of many years in thousands of families are gone.

More important, a host of unemployed citizens face the grim problem of existence, and an equally great number toil with little return. Only a foolish optimist can deny the dark realities of the moment. ..

Recognition of the falsity of material wealth as the standard of success goes hand in hand with the abandonment of the false belief that public office and high political position are to be valued only by the standards of pride of place and personal profit; and there must be an end to a conduct in banking and in business which too often has given to a sacred trust the likeness of callous and selfish wrongdoing. Small wonder that confidence languishes, for it thrives only on honesty, on honor, on the sacredness of obligations, on faithful protection, on unselfish performance; without them it cannot live.

Restoration calls, however, not for changes in ethics alone. This Nation asks for action, and action now.

Our greatest primary task is to put people to work. This is no unsolvable problem if we face it wisely and courageously. It can be accomplished in part by direct recruiting by the Government itself, treating the task as we would treat the emergency of a war, but at the same time, through this employment, accomplishing greatly needed projects to stimulate and reorganize the use of our natural resources.

Hand in hand with this we must frankly recognize the overbalance of population in our industrial centers and, by engaging on a national scale in a redistribution, endeavor to provide a better use of the land for those best fitted for the land. The task can be helped by definite efforts to raise the values of agricultural products and with this the power to purchase the output of our cities. It can be helped by preventing realistically the tragedy of the growing loss through foreclosure of our small homes and our farms. It can be helped by insistence that the Federal, State, and local governments act forthwith on the demand that their cost be drastically reduced. It can be helped by the unifying of relief activities which today are often scattered, uneconomical, and unequal. It can be helped by national planning for and supervision of all forms of transportation and of communications and other utilities which have a definitely public character. There are many ways in which it can be helped, but it can never be helped merely by talking about it. We must act and act quickly.

Roosevelt's New Deal programs aimed at "the three R's": **relief** of the poor, **reform** of financial institutions, and **recovery** of confidence. New Deal programs aimed at employing

people on infrastructure projects that included the following:

- The Civilian Concervation Corps
- The Civil Works Administration
- The Farm Security Administration
- The National Industrial Recovery Act of 1933
- The Social Security Administration
- The Works Progress Administration of 1937 (WPA)

Wikipedia states that "The WPA financed a variety of projects such as hospitals, schools and roads, and employed more than 8.5 million workers who built 650,000 miles of highways and roads, 125,000 public buildings as well as bridges, reservoirs, irrigation systems, parks, playgrounds and so on."

Roosevelt's New Deal serves a model for a Green New Deal that can save human civilization and the biosphere from catastrophic climate change, an emergency even more severe than those faced by Roosevelt. We can afford the Green New Deal. What we cannot afford is inaction.



Figure 14.13: Franklin Delano Roosevelt (FDR) in 1933. Wikipedia says of him: "Roosevelt is widely considered to be one of the most important figures in American history, as well as among the most influential figures of the 20th century. Though he has been subject to substantial criticism, he is generally rated by scholars as one of the three greatest U.S. presidents, along with George Washington and Abraham Lincoln."



Figure 14.14: Eleanor and Franklin with two of their children in 1908. Eleanor was called Roosevelt even before her marriage. She was the niece of US President Theodore Roosevelt, a distant cousin of Franklin. She is remembered as an outstanding advocate of racial equality, journalistic freedom and human rights.



Figure 14.15: A photograph of FDR with his dog Fala and Ruthie Bie, the daughter of caretakers at his Hyde Park estate. Roosevelt was careful never to be seen using his wheelchair in public. Although disabled, he managed to give a public impression of buoyant energy and confidence. One of his slogans, which he used to end the depression, was "The only thing that we have to fear is fear itself!"



Figure 14.16: The 1932 electoral vote. Roosevelt also won landslide victorys in 1936, 1940 and 1944. FDR died in office, shortly before the end of World War II. His administration's support for England during the the dark hours of the Battle of Britain had prevented Hitler's forces from invading the UK. In 1945, Eleanor Roosevelt helped to carry through FDR's plans for setting up the United Nations, and she was one of the two main drafters of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.



Figure 14.17: A photo of Eleanor Roosevelt with Charles Malik and their grandchildren. Malik and Eleanor Roosevelt worked together to draft the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.



Figure 14.18: Pete Seeger entertaining Eleanor Roosevelt (center), honored guest at a racially integrated Valentine's Day party marking the opening of a Canteen of the United Federal Labor, CIO, in then-segregated Washington, D.C., 1944.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. Josh Holder, Niko Commenda and Jonathan Watts, *The three-degree world: the cities that will be drowned by global warming*, Guardian, 3 November (2017).
- 2. Pacific Islands Development Forum, Suva Declaration on Climate Change, 4 September (2015).
- 3. Credit Suisse, Global Wealth Report 2018
- 4. Oxfam, Richest 1 percent bagged 82 percent of wealth created last year poorest half of humanity got nothing, 22 January (2018)
- 5. James Hansen, *Climate change in a nutshell: the gathering storm*, Columbia University, 18 December (2018).
- 6. Output of the technical working group meeting, The Royal Society, London, 6th July, 2009, The Coral Reef Crisis: scientific justification for critical CO2 threshold levels of less than 350ppm
- 7. P. Dasgupta, Population, Resources and Poverty, Ambio, 21, 95-101, (1992).
- 8. L.R. Brown, Who Will Feed China?, W.W. Norton, New York, (1995).
- 9. L.R. Brown, et al., Saving the Planet. How to Shape and Environmentally Sustainable Global Economy, W.W. Norton, New York, (1991).
- 10. L.R. Brown, *Postmodern Malthus: Are There Too Many of Us to Survive?*, The Washington Post, July 18, (1993).
- 11. L.R. Brown and H. Kane, *Full House. Reassessing the Earth's Population Carrying Capacity*, W.W. Norton, New York, (1991).
- 12. L.R. Brown, Seeds of Change, Praeger Publishers, New York, (1970).

- 13. L.R. Brown, *The Worldwide Loss of Cropland*, Worldwatch Paper 24, Worldwatch Institute, Washington, D.C., (1978).
- 14. L.R. Brown, and J.L. Jacobson, *Our Demographically Divided World*, Worldwatch Paper 74, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1986).
- L.R. Brown, and J.L. Jacobson, *The Future of Urbanization: Facing the Ecological and Economic Constraints*, Worldwatch Paper 77, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1987).
- 16. L.R. Brown, and others, *State of the World*, W.W. Norton, New York, (published annually).
- 17. H. Brown, The Human Future Revisited. The World Predicament and Possible Solutions, W.W. Norton, New York, (1978).
- 18. H. Hanson, N.E. Borlaug and N.E. Anderson, *Wheat in the Third World*, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, (1982).
- A. Dil, ed., Norman Borlaug and World Hunger, Bookservice International, San Diego/Islamabad/Lahore, (1997).
- 20. N.E. Borlaug, *The Green Revolution Revisitied and the Road Ahead*, Norwegian Nobel Institute, Oslo, Norway, (2000).
- N.E. Borlaug, Ending World Hunger. The Promise of Biotechnology and the Threat of Antiscience Zealotry, Plant Physiology, 124, 487-490, (2000).
- M. Giampietro and D. Pimental, The Tightening Conflict: Population, Energy Use and the Ecology of Agriculture, in Negative Population Forum, L. Grant ed., Negative Population Growth, Inc., Teaneck, N.J., (1993).
- H.W. Kendall and D. Pimental, Constraints on the Expansion of the Global Food Supply, Ambio, 23, 198-2005, (1994).
- 24. D. Pimental et al., *Natural Resources and Optimum Human Population*, Population and Environment, **15**, 347-369, (1994).
- 25. D. Pimental et al., Environmental and Economic Costs of Soil Erosion and Conservation Benefits, Science, 267, 1117-1123, (1995).
- 26. D. Pimental et al., *Natural Resources and Optimum Human Population*, Population and Environment, **15**, 347-369, (1994).
- 27. D. Pimental and M. Pimental, *Food Energy and Society*, University Press of Colorado, Niwot, Colorado, (1996).
- D. Pimental et al., Environmental and Economic Costs of Soil Erosion and Conservation Benefits, Science, 267, 1117-1123, (1995).
- 29. RS and NAS, *The Royal Society and the National Academy of Sciences on Population Growth and Sustainability*, Population and Development Review, **18**, 375-378, (1992).
- 30. A.M. Altieri, Agroecology: The Science of Sustainable Agriculture, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, (1995).
- 31. G. Conway, The Doubly Green Revolution, Cornell University Press, (1997).
- 32. J. Dreze and A. Sen, Hunger and Public Action, Oxford University Press, (1991).
- 33. G. Bridger, and M. de Soissons, *Famine in Retreat?*, Dent, London, (1970).
- 34. W. Brandt, World Armament and World Hunger: A Call for Action, Victor Gollanz Ltd., London, (1982).

- 35. A.K.M.A. Chowdhury and L.C. Chen, *The Dynamics of Contemporary Famine*, Ford Foundation, Dacca, Pakistan, (1977)
- 36. J. Shepard, *The Politics of Starvation*, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington D.C., (1975).
- 37. M.E. Clark, Ariadne's Thread: The Search for New Modes of Thinking, St. Martin's Press, New York, (1989).
- 38. J.-C. Chesnais, The Demographic Transition, Oxford, (1992).
- 39. C.M. Cipola, *The Economic History of World Population*, Penguin Books Ltd., (1974).
- 40. E. Draper, Birth Control in the Modern World, Penguin Books, Ltd., (1972).
- 41. Draper Fund Report No. 15, *Towards Smaller Families: The Crucial Role of the Private Sector*, Population Crisis Committee, 1120 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Washington D.C. 20036, (1986).
- 42. E. Eckholm, Losing Ground: Environmental Stress and World Food Prospects, W.W. Norton, New York, (1975).
- 43. E. Havemann, *Birth Control*, Time-Life Books, (1967).
- 44. J. Jacobsen, *Promoting Population Stabilization: Incentives for Small Families*, Worldwatch Paper 54, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1983).
- 45. N. Keyfitz, Applied Mathematical Demography, Wiley, New York, (1977).
- 46. W. Latz (ed.), Future Demographic Trends, Academic Press, New York, (1979).
- 47. World Bank, Poverty and Hunger: Issues and Options for Food Security in Developing Countries, Washington D.C., (1986).
- 48. J.E. Cohen, *How Many People Can the Earth Support?*, W.W. Norton, New York, (1995).
- 49. J. Amos, *Climate Food Crisis to Deepen*, BBC News (5 September, 2005).
- J. Vidal and T. Ratford, One in Six Countries Facing Food Shortage, The Guardian, (30 June, 2005).
- 51. J. Mann, Biting the Environment that Feeds Us, The Washington Post, July 29, 1994.
- 52. G.R. Lucas, Jr., and T.W. Ogletree, (editors), *Lifeboat Ethics. The Moral Dilemmas of World Hunger*, Harper and Row, New York.
- 53. J.L. Jacobson, *Gender Bias: Roadblock to Sustainable Development*, Worldwatch Paper 110, Worldwatch Institute, Washington D.C., (1992).
- 54. J. Gever, R. Kaufmann, D. Skole and C. Vorosmarty, *Beyond Oil: The Threat to Food and Fuel in the Coming Decades*, Ballinger, Cambridge MA, (1986).
- 55. M. ul Haq, *The Poverty Curtain: Choices for the Third World*, Columbia University Pres, New York, (1976).
- 56. H. Le Bras, La Planète au Village, Datar, Paris, (1993).
- 57. E. Mayr, *Population, Species and Evolution*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, (1970).
- Patz, J. A., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Holloway, T. and Foley, J. A. Impact of regional climate change on human health. Nature 438, 310-317 (2005).
- 59. Basu, R. and Samet, J. M. Relation between elevated ambient temperature and mortality: a review of the epidemiologic evidence. Epidemiol. Rev. 24, 190-202 (2002).

- Kovats, R. S. and Hajat, S. *Heat stress and public health: a critical review*. Annu. Rev. Publ. Health 29, 41-55 (2008).
- Leon, L. R. Pathophysiology of Heat Stroke Vol. 7 (Colloquium Series on Integrated Systems Physiology: From Molecule to Function to Disease, Morgan Claypool Life Sciences, 2015).
- Ostro, B. D., Roth, L. A., Green, R. S. and Basu, R. Estimating the mortality effect of the July 2006 Californi a heat wave. Environ. Res. 109, 614-619 (2009).
- 63. Glas er, J. et al. Climate change and the emergent epidemic of CKD from heat stress in rural communities: the case for heat stress nephropathy. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 11, 1472-1483 (2016).
- 64. Robine, J.-M. et al. Death toll exceeded 70,000 in Europe during the summer of 2003.
 C. R. Biol. 331, 171-178 (2008).
- Sillmann, J. and Roeckner, E. Indices for extreme events in projections of anthropogenic climate change. Climatic Change 86, 83-104 (2008).
- 66. Meeh l, G. A. and Teb aldi, C. More intense, more frequent, and longer lasting heat waves in the 21st century. Science **305**, 994-997 (2004).
- 67. Orlowsky, B. and Seneviratne, S. Global changes in extreme events: regional and seasonal dimension. Climatic Change 110, 669-696 (2012).
- Tebaldi, C., Hayhoe, K., Arblaster, J. M. and Meehl, G. A. Going to the extremes. Climatic Change 79, 185-211 (2006).
- Tebaldi, C. and Wehner, M. F. Benefits of mitigation for future heat extremes under RCP4.5 compared to RCP8.5. Climatic Change http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1605-5 (2016).
- Sterl, A. et al. When can we expect extremely high sur face temperatures? Geophys. Res. Lett. 35, L14703 (2008).
- Huang, C. et al. Projecting future heat-related mortality under climate change scenarios: a systematic review. Environ. Health Persp. 119, 1681-1690 (2011).
- Guo, Y. et al. Global variation in the effects of ambient temperature on mortality: a systematic evaluation. J. Epidemiol. 25, 781-789 (2014).
- Luber, G. snd McGeehin, M. Climate change and extreme heat events. Am. J. Prev. Med. 35, 429-435 (2008).-
- 74. Bouchama, A. and Knochel, J. P. *Heat stroke*. New. Engl. J. Med. **346**, 1978-1988 (2002).
- Bobb, J. F., Peng, R. D., Bell, M. L. and Dominici, F. Heat-related mortality and adaptation to heat in the United States. Environ. Health Persp. 122, 811-816 (2014).
- Gasparrini, A. et al. Temporal vari ation in heat-mortality associations: a multicountry study. Environ. Health Persp. 123, 1200-1207 (2015).
- 77. Lowe, D., Ebi, K. L. and Forsberg, B. Heatwave early warning systems and adaptation advice to reduce human health consequences of he atwaves. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 8, 4623-4648 (2011).
- Hanna, E. G. and Tait, P. W. Limitations to thermoregulation and acclimatization challenge human adaptation to global warming. Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health. 12, 8034-8074 (2015).

- Sherwood, S. C. and Huber, M. An adaptability limit to climate change due to heat stress. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 9552-9555 (201
- Whitman, S. et al. Mortality in Chicago attributed to the July 1995 heat wave. Am. J. Public Health 87, 1515-1518 (1997).
- Dousset, B. et al. Satellite monitoring of summer he at waves in the Paris metropolitan area. Int. J. Climatol. **31**, 313-323 (2011).
- Shaposhnikov, D. et al. Mortality related to air pollution with the Moscow he at wave and wildfire of 2010. Epidemiology 25, 359-364 (2014).
- 83. Barnett, A. G., Tong, S. and Clements, A. What measure of temperature is the best predic tor of mortality? Environ. Res. **110**, 604-611 (2010).
- Willett, K. M. and Sherwood, S. Exceedance of heat index thresholds for 15 regions under a warming climate using the wet-bulb globe temperature. Int. J. Climatol. 32, 161-177 (2012).
- Argüeso, D., Di Luca, A., Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. and Evans, J. P. Seasonal mean temperature changes control future heatwaves. Geophys. Res. Lett. 43, 7653-7660 (2016).
- 86. Jones, B. and O'Neill, B. Spatially explicit global population scenarios consistent with t he Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. Environ. Res. Lett. **11**, 084003 (2016).
- Diffenbaugh, N. S. and Field, C. B. Changes in ecological ly critical terrestrial climate conditions. Science **341**, 486-492 (2013).
- 88. Mitchell, D. et al. Attributing human mortality during extreme heat waves to anthropogenic climate change. Environ. Res. Lett. **11**, 074006 (2016).

Chapter 15

EXTRACTION OF FOSSIL FUELS MUST STOP

15.1 Blood for oil

There is a close relationship between petroleum and war. James A. Paul, Executive Director of the Global Policy Forum, has described this relationship very clearly in the following words:

"Modern warfare particularly depends on oil, because virtually all weapons systems rely on oil-based fuel - tanks, trucks, armored vehicles, self-propelled artillery pieces, airplanes, and naval ships. For this reason, the governments and general staffs of powerful nations seek to ensure a steady supply of oil during wartime, to fuel oil-hungry military forces in far-flung operational theaters."

"Just as governments like the US and UK need oil companies to secure fuel for their global war-making capacity, so the oil companies need their governments to secure control over global oilfields and transportation routes. It is no accident, then, that the world 's largest oil companies are located in the world 's most powerful countries."

"Almost all of the world 's oil-producing countries have suffered abusive, corrupt and undemocratic governments and an absence of durable development. Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, Libya, Iraq, Iran, Angola, Colombia, Venezuela, Kuwait, Mexico, Algeria - these and many other oil producers have a sad record, which includes dictatorships installed from abroad, bloody coups engineered by foreign intelligence services, militarization of government and intolerant right-wing nationalism."

The resource curse

The way in which the industrialized countries maintain their control over less developed nations can be illustrated by the "resource curse", i.e. the fact that resource-rich developing countries are no better off economically than those that lack resources, but are cursed with corrupt and undemocratic governments. This is because foreign corporations extracting local resources under unfair agreements exist in a symbiotic relationship with corrupt local officials.

One might think that taxation of foreign resource-extracting firms would provide developing countries with large incomes. However, there is at present no international law governing multinational tax arrangements. These are usually agreed to on a bilateral basis, and the industrialized countries have stronger bargaining powers in arranging the bilateral agreements.

15.2 Attacks on Iran, past and present

The assassination of General Qasem Soleimani

On Friday, 3 January, 2020, progressives in the United States and all peace-loving people throughout the world were horrified to learn that Donald Tromp had added to his long list of crimes and imbecilities by ordering the assassination of General Qasem Soleimani, who is a hero in his own country, Iran. The murder, which was carried out by means of a drone strike on Friday, immediately and drastically increased the probability of a new large-scale war in the Middle East and elsewhere. Against this background, I would like to review the history of oil-motivated attacks on Iran.

The desire to control Iran's oil

Iran has an ancient and beautiful civilization, which dates back to 5,000 BC, when the city of Susa was founded. Some of the earliest writing that we know of, dating from from approximately 3,000 BC, was used by the Elamite civilization near to Susa. Today's Iranians are highly intelligent and cultured, and famous for their hospitality, generosity and kindness to strangers. Over the centuries, Iranians have made many contributions to science, art and literature, and for hundreds of years they have not attacked any of their neighbors. Nevertheless, for the last century, they have been the victims of foreign attacks and interventions, most of which have been closely related to Iran's oil and gas resources. The first of these took place in the period 1921-1925, when a British-sponsored coup overthrew the Qajar dynasty and replaced it by Reza Shah.

Reza Shah (1878-1944) started his career as Reza Khan, an army officer. Because of his high intelligence he quickly rose to become commander of the Tabriz Brigade of the Persian Cossacks. In 1921, General Edmond Ironside, who commanded a British force of 6,000 men fighting against the Bolsheviks in northern Persia, masterminded a coup (financed by Britain) in which Reza Khan lead 15,000 Cossacks towards the capital. He overthrew the government, and became minister of war. The British government backed this coup because it believed that a strong leader was needed in Iran to resist the Bolsheviks. In 1923, Reza Khan overthrew the Qajar Dynasty, and in 1925 he was crowned as Reza Shah, adopting the name Pahlavi.

Reza Shah believed that he had a mission to modernize Iran, in much the same way

that Kamil Ata Turk had modernized Turkey. During his 16 years of rule in Iran, many roads were built, the Trans-Iranian Railway was constructed, many Iranians were sent to study in the West, the University of Tehran was opened, and the first steps towards industrialization were taken. However, Reza Shahs methods were sometimes very harsh.

In 1941, while Germany invaded Russia, Iran remained neutral, perhaps leaning a little towards the side of Germany. However, Reza Shah was sufficiently critical of Hitler to offer safety in Iran to refugees from the Nazis. Fearing that the Germans would gain control of the Abadan oil fields, and wishing to use the Trans-Iranian Railway to bring supplies to Russia, Britain invaded Iran from the south on August 25, 1941. Simultaneously, a Russian force invaded the country from the north. Reza Shah appealed to Roosevelt for help, citing Iran's neutrality, but to no avail. On September 17, 1941, he was forced into exile, and replaced by his son, Crown Prince Mohammed Reza Pahlavi. Both Britain and Russia promised to withdraw from Iran as soon as the war was over. During the remainder of World War II, although the new Shah was nominally the ruler of Iran, the country was governed by the allied occupation forces.

Reza Shah, had a strong sense of mission, and felt that it was his duty to modernize Iran. He passed on this sense of mission to his son, the young Shah Mohammed Reza Pahlavi . The painful problem of poverty was everywhere apparent, and both Reza Shah and his son saw modernization of Iran as the only way to end poverty.

In 1951, Mohammad Mosaddegh became Prime Minister of Iran through democratic elections. He was from a highly-placed family and could trace his ancestry back to the shahs of the Qajar dynasty. Among the many reforms made by Mosaddegh was the nationalization of the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company's possessions in Iran. Because of this, the AIOC (which later became British Petroleum), persuaded the British government to sponsor a secret coup that would overthrow Mosaddegh. The British asked US President Eisenhower and the CIA to join M16 in carrying out the coup claiming that Mosaddegh represented a communist threat (a ludicrous argument, considering Mosaddegh's aristocratic background). Eisenhower agreed to help Britain in carrying out the coup, and it took place in 1953. The Shah thus obtained complete power over Iran.

The goal of modernizing Iran and ending poverty was adopted as an almost-sacred mission by the young Shah, Mohammed Reza Pahlavi, and it was the motive behind his White Revolution in 1963, when much of the land belonging to the feudal landowners and the crown was distributed to landless villagers. However, the White Revolution angered both the traditional landowning class and the clergy, and it created fierce opposition. In dealing with this opposition, the Shahs methods were very harsh, just as his fathers had been. Because of alienation produced by his harsh methods, and because of the growing power of his opponents, Shah Mohammed Reza Pahlavi was overthrown in the Iranian Revolution of 1979. The revolution of 1979 was to some extent caused by the British-American coup of 1953.

One can also say that the westernization, at which both Shah Reza and his son aimed, produced an anti-western reaction among the conservative elements of Iranian society. Iran was "falling between two stools", on the one hand western culture and on the other hand the country's traditional culture. It seemed to be halfway between, belonging to neither. Finally in 1979 the Islamic clergy triumphed and Iran chose tradition. Meanwhile, in 1963, the US had secretly backed a military coup in Iraq that brought Saddam Hussein's Ba'ath Party to power. In 1979, when the western-backed Shah of Iran was overthrown, the United States regarded the fundamentalist Shiite regime that replaced him as a threat to supplies of oil from Saudi Arabia. Washington saw Saddam's Iraq as a bulwark against the Shiite government of Iran that was thought to be threatening oil supplies from pro-American states such as Kuwait and Saudi Arabia.

In 1980, encouraged to do so by the fact that Iran had lost its US backing, Saddam Hussein's government attacked Iran. This was the start of an extremely bloody and destructive war that lasted for eight years, inflicting almost a million casualties on the two nations. Iraq used both mustard gas and the nerve gases Tabun and Sarin against Iran, in violation of the Geneva Protocol. Both the United States and Britain helped Saddam Hussein's government to obtain chemical weapons.

The present attacks on Iran by Israel and the United States, both actual and threatened, have some similarity to the war against Iraq, which was launched by the United States in 2003. In 2003, the attack was nominally motivated by the threat that nuclear weapons would be developed, but the real motive had more to do with a desire to control and exploit the petroleum resources of Iraq, and with Israel's extreme nervousness at having a powerful and somewhat hostile neighbor. Similarly, hegemony over the huge oil and gas reserves of Iran can be seen as one the main reasons why the United States is presently demonizing Iran, and this is combined with Israel's almost paranoid fear of a large and powerful Iran. Looking back on the "successful" 1953 coup against Mosaddegh, Israel and the United States perhaps feel that sanctions, threats, murders and other pressures can cause a regime change that will bring a more compliant government to power in Iran - a government that will accept US hegemony. But aggressive rhetoric, threats and provocations can escalate into full-scale war.

I do not wish to say that Iran's present government is without serious faults. However, any use of violence against Iran would be both insane and criminal. Why insane? Because the present economy of the US and the world cannot support another large-scale conflict; because the Middle East is already a deeply troubled region; and because it is impossible to predict the extent of a war which, if once started, might develop into World War III, given the fact that Iran is closely allied with both Russia and China. Why criminal? Because such violence would violate both the UN Charter and the Nuremberg Principles. There is no hope at all for the future unless we work for a peaceful world, governed by international law, rather than a fearful world, where brutal power holds sway.

An attack on Iran could escalate

We recently passed the 100th anniversary World War I, and we should remember that this colossal disaster escalated uncontrollably from what was intended to be a minor conflict. There is a danger that an attack on Iran would escalate into a large-scale war in the Middle East, entirely destabilizing a region that is already deep in problems.

The unstable government of Pakistan might be overthrown, and the revolutionary Pak-

15.3. THE AGONY OF IRAQ

istani government might enter the war on the side of Iran, thus introducing nuclear weapons into the conflict. Russia and China, firm allies of Iran, might also be drawn into a general war in the Middle East.

In the dangerous situation that could potentially result from an attack on Iran, there is a risk that nuclear weapons would be used, either intentionally, or by accident or miscalculation. Recent research has shown that besides making large areas of the world uninhabitable through long-lasting radioactive contamination, a nuclear war would damage global agriculture to such a extent that a global famine of previously unknown proportions would result.

Thus, nuclear war is the ultimate ecological catastrophe. It could destroy human civilization and much of the biosphere. To risk such a war would be an unforgivable offense against the lives and future of all the peoples of the world, US citizens included.

15.3 The agony of Iraq

There is a close relationship between petroleum and war. James A. Paul, Executive Director of the Global Policy Forum, has described this relationship very clearly in the following words:

"Modern warfare particularly depends on oil, because virtually all weapons systems rely on oil-based fuel - tanks, trucks, armored vehicles, self-propelled artillery pieces, airplanes, and naval ships. For this reason, the governments and general staffs of powerful nations seek to ensure a steady supply of oil during wartime, to fuel oil-hungry military forces in far-flung operational theaters."

"Just as governments like the US and UK need oil companies to secure fuel for their global war-making capacity, so the oil companies need their governments to secure control over global oilfields and transportation routes. It is no accident, then, that the world's largest oil companies are located in the world's most powerful countries."

"Almost all of the world's oil-producing countries have suffered abusive, corrupt and undemocratic governments and an absence of durable development. Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, Libya, Iraq, Iran, Angola, Colombia, Venezuela, Kuwait, Mexico, Algeria - these and many other oil producers have a sad record, which includes dictatorships installed from abroad, bloody coups engineered by foreign intelligence services, militarization of government and intolerant right-wing nationalism."

Iraq, in particular, has been the scene of a number of wars motivated by the West's thirst for oil. During World War I, 1914-1918, the British captured the area (then known as Mesopotamia) from the Ottoman Empire after four years of bloody fighting. Although Lord Curzon (a member of the British War Cabinet who became Foreign Minister immediately after the war) denied that the British conquest of Mesopotamia was motivated by oil, there is ample evidence that British policy was indeed motivated by a desire for control of the region's petroleum. For example, Curzon's Cabinet colleague Sir Maurice Hankey stated in a private letter that oil was "a first-class war aim". Furthermore, British forces continued to fight after the signing of the Murdos Armistice.

In this way, they seized Mosul, the capital of a major oil-producing region, thus frustrating the plans of the French, who had been promised the area earlier in the secret Sykes-Picot Agreement. Lord Curzon was well aware of the military importance of oil, and following the end of the First World War he remarked: "The Allied cause has floated to victory on a wave of oil".

The Sykes-Picot Agreement essentially took away from the Arabs the autonomy that they had been promised if they fought on the side of the Allies against the Turks. Today this secret double-cross continues to be a great source of bitterness. ¹

During the period between 1918 and 1930, fierce Iraqi resistance to the occupation was crushed by the British, who used poison gas, airplanes, incendiary bombs, and mobile armored cars, together with forces drawn from the Indian Army. Winston Churchill, who was Colonial Secretary at the time, regarded the conflict in Iraq as an important test of modern military-colonial methods.

An article in The Guardian explains that "Churchill was particularly keen on chemical weapons, suggesting that they be used 'against recalcitrant Arabs as an experiment... I am strongly in favour of using poison gas against uncivilized tribes..."²

In 1932, Britain granted nominal independence to Iraq, but kept large military forces in the country and maintained control of it through indirect methods. In 1941, however, it seemed likely that Germany might try to capture the Iraqi oilfields, and therefore the British again seized direct political power in Iraq by means of military force. It was not only Germany that Britain feared, but also US attempts to gain access to Iraqi oil.

The British fear of US interest in Iraqi oil was soon confirmed by events. In 1963 the US secretly backed a military coup in Iraq that brought Saddam Hussein's Ba'ath Party to power. In 1979 the western-backed Shah of Iran was overthrown, and the United States regarded the fundamentalist Shi'ite regime that replaced him as a threat to supplies of oil from Saudi Arabia.

Washington saw Saddam's Iraq as a bulwark against the militant Shi'ite extremism of Iran that was threatening oil supplies from pro-American states such as Kuwait and Saudi Arabia.

In 1980, encouraged to do so by the fact that Iran had lost its US backing, Saddam Hussein's government attacked Iran. This was the start of an extremely bloody and destructive war that lasted for eight years, inflicting almost a million casualties on the two nations. Iraq used both mustard gas and the nerve gases Tabun and Sarin against Iran, in violation of the Geneva Protocol.

Both the United States and Britain helped Saddam Hussein's government to obtain chemical weapons. A chemical plant, called Falluja 2, was built by Britain in 1985, and this plant was used to produce mustard gas and nerve gas. Also, according to the Rigel Report to the US Senate, May 25, (1994), the Reagan Administration turned a blind eye to the export of chemical weapon precursors to Iraq, as well as anthrax and plague cultures

 $^{^1}$ https://www.khanacademy.org/humanities/history/euro -hist/middle-east-20th-century/v/

sykes-picot-agreement-and-the-balfour-declaration

²http://www.theguardian.com/world/2003/apr/19/iraq.arts

that could be used as the basis for biological weapons. According to the Riegel Report, "records available from the supplier for the period 1985 until the present show that during this time, pathogenic (meaning disease producing) and toxigenic (meaning poisonous), and other biological research materials were exported to Iraq perusant to application and licensing by the US Department of Commerce."

In 1984, Donald Rumsfeld, Reagan's newly appointed Middle East Envoy, visited Saddam Hussein to assure him of America's continuing friendship, despite Iraqi use of poison gas. When (in 1988) Hussein went so far as to use poison gas against civilian citizens of his own country in the Kurdish village of Halabja, the United States worked to prevent international condemnation of the act. Indeed US support for Saddam was so unconditional that he obtained the false impression that he had a free hand to do whatever he liked in the region.

On July 25, 1990, US Ambassador April Glaspie met with Saddam Hussein to discuss oil prices and how to improve US-Iraq relations. According to the transcript of the meeting, Ms Galspie assured Saddam that the US "had no opinion on the Arab-Arab conflicts, like your border disagreement with Kuwait." She then left on vacation. Mistaking this conversation for a green light, Saddam invaded Kuwait eight days later.

By invading Kuwait, Hussein severely worried western oil companies and governments, since Saudi Arabia might be next in line. As George Bush senior said in 1990, at the time of the Gulf War, "Our jobs, our way of life, our own freedom and the freedom of friendly countries around the world would all suffer if control of the world's great oil reserves fell into the hands of Saddam Hussein."

On August 6, 1990, the UN Security Council imposed comprehensive economic sanctions against Iraq with the aim of forcing Iraq to withdraw from Kuwait. Meanwhile, US Secretary of State James A. Baker III used arm-twisting methods in the Security Council to line up votes for UN military action against Iraq. In Baker's own words, he undertook the process of "cajoling, extracting, threatening and occasionally buying votes".

On November 29, 1990, the Council passed Resolution 678, authorizing the use of "all necessary means" (by implication also military means) to force Iraq to withdraw from Kuwait. There was nothing at all wrong with this, since the Security Council had been set up by the UN Charter to prevent states from invading their neighbors. However, one can ask whether the response to Saddam Hussein's invasion of Kuwait would have been so wholehearted if oil had not been involved.

There is much that can be criticized in the way that the Gulf War of 1990-1991 was carried out. Besides military targets, the US and its allies bombed electrical generation facilities with the aim of creating postwar leverage over Iraq. The electrical generating plants would have to be rebuilt with the help of foreign technical assistance, and this help could be traded for postwar compliance. In the meantime, hospitals and water-purification plants were without electricity. Also, during the Gulf War, a large number of projectiles made of depleted uranium were fired by allied planes and tanks. The result was a sharp increase in cancer in Iraq.

Finally, both Shi'ites and Kurds were encouraged by the Allies to rebel against Saddam Hussein's government, but were later abandoned by the allies and slaughtered by Saddam.

The most terrible misuse of power, however, was the US and UK insistence the sanctions against Iraq should remain in place after the end of the Gulf War. These two countries used their veto power in the Security Council to prevent the removal of the sanctions. Their motive seems to have been the hope that the economic and psychological impact would provoke the Iraqi people to revolt against Saddam. However that brutal dictator remained firmly in place, supported by universal fear of his police and by massive propaganda. The effect of the sanctions was to produce more than half a million deaths of children under five years of age, as is documented by UNICEF data. The total number of deaths that the sanctions produced among Iraqi civilians probably exceeded a million, if older children and adults are included.³

Ramsey Clark, who studied the effects of the sanctions in Iraq from 1991 onwards, wrote to the Security Council that most of the deaths "are from the effects of malnutrition including marasmas and kwashiorkor, wasting or emaciation which has reached twelve per cent of all children, stunted growth which affects twenty-eight per cent, diarrhea, dehydration from bad water or food, which is ordinarily easily controlled and cured, common communicable diseases preventable by vaccinations, and epidemics from deteriorating sanitary conditions. There are no deaths crueler than these. They are suffering slowly, helplessly, without simple remedial medication, without simple sedation to relieve pain, without mercy."

In discussing Iraq, we mentioned oil as a motivation for western interest. Similar considerations hold also for Afghanistan. US-controlled oil companies have long had plans for an oil pipeline from Turkmenistan, passing through Afghanistan to the Arabian Sea, as well as plans for a natural gas pipeline from Turkmenistan through Afghanistan to Pakistan.

The September 11 terrorist attacks resulted in a spontaneous worldwide outpouring of sympathy for the United States, and within the US, patriotic support of President George W. Bush at a time of national crisis. Bush's response to the attacks seems to have been to inquire from his advisors whether he was now free to invade Iraq. According to former counterterrorism chief, Richard Clarke, Bush was "obsessed" with Iraq as his principal target after 9/11.

The British Prime Minister, Tony Blair, was a guest at a private White House dinner nine days after the terrorist attacks on New York and Washington. Sir Christopher Meyer, former UK Ambassador to Washington, was also present at the dinner. According to Meyer, Blair said to Bush that they must not get distracted from their main goal - dealing with the Taliban and al-Quaeda in Afghanistan, and Bush replied: "I agree with you Tony. We must deal with this first. But when we have dealt with Afghanistan, we must come back to Iraq." Faced with the prospect of wars in both Iraq and Afghanistan, Blair did not protest, according to Meyer.

During the summer of 2002, Bush and Blair discussed Iraq by telephone. A senior

 $^{^3}$ https://www.transcend.org/tms/2014/09/usauk-committed-genocide-against-iraq-people/http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article37511.htm

official from Vice-President Dick Cheney's office who read the transcript of the call is quoted by the magazine Vanity Fair as saying: "The way it read was that come what may, Saddam was going to go; they said that they were going forward, they were going to take out the regime, and they were doing the right thing. Blair did not need any convincing. There was no 'Come on, Tony, we've got to get you on board'. I remember reading it and then thinking, 'OK, now I know what we're going to be doing for the next year." On June 1, 2002, Bush announced a new US policy which not only totally violated all precedents in American foreign policy but also undermined the United Nations Charter and international law6. Speaking at the graduation ceremony of the US Military Academy at West Point he asserted that the United States had the right to initiate a preemptive war against any country that might in the future become a danger to the United States. "If we wait for threats to fully materialize", he said, "we will have waited too long." He indicated that 60 countries might fall into this category, roughly a third of the nations of the world.

The assertion that the United States, or any other country, has the right to initiate preemptive wars specifically violates Chapter 1, Articles 2.3 and 2.4, of the United Nations Charter. These require that "All members shall settle their disputes by peaceful means in such a manner that international peace, security and justice are not endangered", and that "All members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations." The UN Charter allows a nation that is actually under attack to defend itself, but only until the Security Council has had time to act.

Bush's principle of preemptive war was promptly condemned by the Catholic Church. Senior Vatican officials pointed to the Catholic teaching that "preventive" war is unjustifiable, and Archbishop Renato Martino, prefect of the Vatican Council for Justice and Peace, stated firmly that "unilateralism is not acceptable".

However, in the United States, the shocking content of Bush's West Point address was not fully debated. The speech was delivered only a few months after the 9/11 terrorist attacks, and the US supported whatever exceptional measures its President thought might be necessary for the sake of national security. American citizens, worried by the phenomenon of terrorism, did not fully appreciate that the principle of preemptive war could justify almost any aggression, and that in the long run, if practiced by all countries, it would undermine the security of the United States as well as that of the entire world.

During the spring of 2003, our television and newspapers presented us with the spectacle of an attack by two technologically superior powers on a much less industrialized nation, a nation with an ancient and beautiful culture. The ensuing war was one-sided. Missiles guided by laser beams and signals from space satellites were more than a match for less sophisticated weapons. Speeches were made to justify the attack. It was said to be needed because of weapons of mass destruction (some countries are allowed to have them, others not). It was said to be necessary to get rid of a cruel dictator (whom the attacking powers had previously supported and armed). But the suspicion remained that the attack was resource-motivated. It was about oil, or at least largely about oil. The war on Iraq was also designed to destroy a feared enemy of Israel.

The Nobel Peace Prize winner, Maidread Corrigan Maguire estimates that US and UK

OUR WAR AGAINST NATURE



Figure 15.1: Over 200,000 Iraqis gathering at Jadariya, a neighborhood in the Baghdad city center at a rally against the presence of U.S. troops in the country, in Baghdad, Iraq on January 24, 2020. Demonstrators rejected foreign influence in their country's affairs.

actions between 1990 and 2012 killed 3.3 million people, including 750,000 children.

Venezuela also targeted because of oil

An article entitled U.S.-backed coup in Venezuela moves into "final phase" April 30, 2019 12:04 PM CST By C.J. Atkins⁴ stated that

In an all-or-nothing gamble, the Trump administration's man in Caracas, opposition leader Juan Guaidó, has opened what he's calling the "final phase" of the effort to overthrow the elected government of Venezuela.

Pipeline wars

It is disturbing to see how little effect the the earth's present critical climate emergency has on the behavior of politicians and the mass media. Recent data show that the earth is heating much faster than expected, and that this is most pronounced in the Arctic and Antarctic regions. An extremely dangerous methane hydrate feedback loop could be initiated by melting permafrost and by the warming Arctic seas. This feedback loop could

⁴https://www.peoplesworld.org/article/u-s-backed-coup-in-venezuela-moves-into-final-phase/





lead to uncontrollable and catastrophic climate change. But although the use of fossil fuels must stop within one or two decades if a planetary disaster is to be avoided, pipeline wars continue as usual.⁵

 $^{^{5}} http://www.commondreams.org/news/2016/03/14/nasa-drops-major-bomb-march-toward-ever-warmer-planet$

http://www.theguardian.com/environment/2016/mar/15/record-breaking-temperatures-have-robbed-the-arctic-of-its-winter



Figure 15.3: A map showing the major oil-producing countries of the Middle East and North Africa. The percent of global oil production is indicated. Many of the countries shown have some degree of civil unrest or civil war.



Figure 15.4: Burning of coal in China has contributed to rapid industrial growth, but besides being a major factor in the threat of catastrophic climate change, it has produced hundreds of thousands of deaths each year through air pollution (an estimated 366,000 in 2013).

15.4 The Middle East

According to current estimates, 81.5% of the world's proven crude oil reserves are located in OPEC Member Countries, with the bulk of OPEC oil reserves in the Middle East, amounting to 65.5% of the OPEC total.

15.5 China

China's large reserves of coal lie near to the surface, and are thus very easily accessible. Mining of coal has driven the country's rapid industrial growth, but it has also produced a severe public health problem because of air pollution.

In April, 2017, China's rate of economic growth was $6.9\%^6$. This rate of growth, if continued, would mean that China's economy would double every ten years. and increase by a factor of 1024 every century. Obviously this is impossible. Never-ending economic growth on a finite planet is a logical absurdity. China's high economic growth rate, is driven by its use of coal, and this must quickly stop if ecological disaster is to be avoided.

15.6 India

The MIT Technology Review recently published an important article entitled *India's Energy Crisis*⁷.

The article makes alarming reading in view of the world's urgent need to make a very rapid transition from fossil fuels to 100% renewable energy. We must make this change quickly in order to avoid a tipping point beyond which catastrophic climate change will be unavoidable.

The MIT article states that "Since he took power in May, 2014, Prime Minister Narendra Modi has made universal access to electricity a key part of his administration's ambitions. At the same time, he has pledged to help lead international efforts to limit climate change. Among other plans, he has promised to increase India's total power generating capacity to 175 gigawatts, including 100 gigawatts of solar, by 2022. (That's about the total power generation of Germany.)"

However India plans to expand its industrial economy, and to do this, it is planning to very much increase its domestic production and use of coal. The MIT article continues, pointing out that

However India plans to expand its industrial economy, and to do this, it is planning to very much increase its domestic production and use of coal. The MIT article continues, pointing out that "Such growth would easily swamp efforts elsewhere in the world to curtail carbon emissions, dooming any chance to head off the dire effects of global climate change. (Overall, the world will need to reduce its current annual emissions of 40 billion tons by 40

⁶https://tradingeconomics.com/china/gdp-growth-annual

⁷http://www.technologyreview.com/featuredstory/542091/indias-energy-crisis/



Figure 15.5: India's installed and future energy mix, as visualized by the World Coal Association

to 70 percent between now and 2050.) By 2050, India will have roughly 20 percent of the world's population. If those people rely heavily on fossil fuels such as coal to expand the economy and raise their living standards to the level people in the rich world have enjoyed for the last 50 years, the result will be a climate catastrophe regardless of anything the United States or even China does to decrease its emissions. Reversing these trends will require radical transformations in two main areas: how India produces electricity, and how it distributes it."

The Indian Minister of Power, Piyush Goyal, is an enthusiastic supporter of renewable energy expansion, but he also supports, with equal enthusiasm, the large-scale expansion of domestic coal production in India.

Meanwhile, the consequences of global warming are being felt by the people of India. For example, last May, a heat wave killed over 1,400 people and melted asphalt streets.⁸

Have India's economic planners really thought about the long-term future? Have they considered the fact that drastic climate change could make India completely uninhabitable?

15.7 Russia

According to Wikipedia, "The petroleum industry in Russia is one of the largest in the world. Russia has the largest reserves, and is the largest exporter, of natural gas. It has the second largest coal reserves, the eighth largest oil reserves, and is one of the largest producer of oil. It is the third largest energy user."

One of the difficulties of reducing Russia's fossil fuel production is that the Russian economy depends so heavily on its oil and gas industries. Many European countries also depend on natural gas from Russia for winter heating of homes and workplaces.

⁸https://www.rt.com/news/262641-india-heat-wave-killed/



Figure 15.6: Protesters at the 2017 G20 meeting in Hamburg Germany.



Figure 15.7: Oil production on the shelf in the Russian Arctic.

15.8 North America

Canadian oil sands

Canada's oil-sands deposits contain an amount of carbon comparable to the world's total reserves of conventional oil. Oil is currently being extracted by methods that release four times as much carbon into the atmosphere as is contained in the refined oil from the deposits. Nevertheless, the government of Canada wholeheartedly supports extraction of oil from the tar sands.

The position of the Canadian government has been strongly criticized by leading climate scientist Professor James Hansen. A recent article in *The Guardian*⁹, reported him as saying; "To leave our children with a manageable situation, we need to leave the unconventional fuel in the ground. Canada's ministers are acting as salesmen for those people who will gain from the profits of that industry. But I don't think they are looking after the rights and wellbeing of the population as a whole.

"The thing we are facing overall is that the fossil fuel industry has so much money that they are buying off governments. Our democracies are seriously handicapped by the money that is driving decisions in Washington and other capitals."

Fracking in the United States

According to the US Department of Energy (DOE), in 2013 at least two million oil and gas wells in the US have been hydraulically fractured, and that of new wells being drilled, up to 95% are hydraulically fractured. The output from these wells makes up 43% of the oil production and 67% of the natural gas production in the United States.

Because of earthquakes and poisoning of water supplies caused by fracking, this practice has been banned by several states in the US, and nine countries or regions in Europe: France, Bulgaria, Roumania, Germany, The Czech Republic, Luxembourg, Northern Ireland, Spain and Switzerland,

 $^{{}^{9}} https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2013/may/19/tar-sands-exploitation-climate-scientistical states and the state$



Figure 15.8: Get rich quick at the oil sands.



Figure 15.9: The sharply increased number of earthquakes in the United States has been linked to fracking. The use of fracking has also caused poisoning of water supplies.

15.9 Latin America

Venezuela's Belt of Tar

The Orinoco River Basin in Venezuela contains the world's largest deposit of extra-heavy oil and tar. The amount of carbon contained in this deposit is comparable to the carbon content of all the world's known reserves of conventional oil, and also larger than the carbon contained in Canada's oil sands.

The Belt of Tar follows the line of the Orinoco river. It is approximately 600 kilometers (370 mi) from east to west, and 70 kilometers (43 mi) from north to south, with an area about 55,314 square kilometers (21,357 sq mi). The Orinoco deposit is estimated to contain 1.2 trillion barrels of extra-heavy oil.

The government of Venezuela has no plans for halting extraction from the Belt of Tar. On the contrary, detailed plans have been made for expanded exploitation of the deposit¹⁰.

Extraction of oil in Brazil

According to a recent article in *The Guardian*¹¹ "The discovery of tens of billions of barrels of oil in fields far off the coast of Rio de Janeiro was billed as one of the biggest finds of this century when it was announced in 2006.

"Many hoped it would deliver a bonanza for education and health and make Brazil one of world's major economies.

"But with the country's biggest energy company, Petrobras, mired in debt and scandal, the low price of oil and the dangers of a second Deepwater Horizon, the viability of this massive undertaking has never been under more scrutiny."

The Brazilian offshore deposits are called "presalt oil", since they lie under a thick layer of salt deposits.

According to the article in *The Guardian*, "Suggestions by climate campaigners that this reservoir of fossil fuel is a 'carbon bomb' that should be left in the ground, are dismissed as hypocrisy."

The article quotes the geologist who discovered the off-shore fields as saying "The big countries of the world today developed without any concern for the environment. The base of US development was the oil in the Gulf of Mexico. The base of the UK's industrial revolution was coal. How can they now say we can't use our own pre-salt?"

¹⁰https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/PDVSA

 $^{^{11} \}rm https://www.theguardian.com/environment/ng-interactive/2015/jun/25/brazils-gamble-on-deepwater-oil-guanabara-bay$

15.9. LATIN AMERICA



Figure 15.10: Venezuela's Belt of Tar under the Orinoco River Basin is the world's largest deposit of extra-heavy oil and tar.

15.10 The European Union

Coal in Germany and Poland

In 2016, Germany produced 176,100,000 tonnes of coal while Poland produced 131,100,000 tonnes. In the past, Poland experienced severe ecological effects from acid rain due to the burning of coal. Polish forests were destroyed by the effects of acid rain, and the facades of statues and buildings in Krakow and elsewhere were dissolved by the acid. Today the situation is improving, but the two countries are still heavily dependent on coal.

North Sea oil

According to Wikipedia, "The British and Norwegian sections hold most of the remainder of the large oil reserves. It is estimated that the Norwegian section alone contains 54% of the sea's oil reserves and 45% of its gas reserves- More than half of the North Sea oil reserves have been extracted, according to official sources in both Norway and the UK. For Norway, the Norwegian Petroleum Directorate [28] gives a figure of 4,601 million cubic meters of oil (corresponding to 29 billion barrels) for the Norwegian North Sea alone (excluding smaller reserves in Norwegian Sea and Barents Sea) of which 2,778 million cubic meters (60%) has already been produced prior to January 2007. UK sources give a range of estimates of reserves, but even using the most optimistic 'maximum' estimate of ultimate recovery, 76% had been recovered at end 2010.[citation needed] Note the UK figure includes fields which are not in the North Sea (onshore, West of Shetland).

15.11 Major producers of fossil fuels

The top 20 oil-producing nations in 2016

Wikipedia's article entitles *List of countries by oil production* gives information shown in the table below. In the table. which is based on data from the International Energy Agency, production is measured in barrels of oil per day

1	Russia	$10,\!551,\!497$
2	Saudi Arabia	$10,\!460,\!710$
3	United States	8,875,817
4	Iraq	$4,\!451,\!516$
5	Iran	$3,\!990,\!956$
6	China	$3,\!980,\!650$
7	Canada	3,662,694
8	United Arab Emirates	$3,\!106,\!077$
9	Kuwait	$2,\!923,\!825$
10	Brazil	$2,\!515,\!459$
11	Venezuela	$2,\!276,\!967$
12	Mexico	$2,\!186,\!877$
13	Nigeria	$1,\!999,\!885$
14	Angola	1,769,615
15	Norway	$1,\!647,\!975$
16	Kazakhstan	$1,\!595,\!199$
17	Qatar	1,522,902
18	Algeria	$1,\!348,\!361$
19	Oman	1,006,841
20	United Kingdom	939,760

The top 10 coal producing nations in 2016

Wikipedia gives a similar list of coal producing nations. Only the top 10 are shown here, since these countries completely dominate global coal production. In the table, production is measured in millions of tonnes per year.

1	China	3411.0
2	India	692.4
3	United States	660.6
4	Australia	492.8
5	Indonesia	434.0
6	Russia	385.4
7	South Africa	251.3
8	Germany	176.1
9	Poland	131.1
10	Kazakhstan	102.4
	World	$7,\!460.4$

The world production of coal is falling. In 2014 it was 8,164.9 tonnes, in 2015, 7,861.1 tonnes, and in 2016 7,460.4 tonnes. Nevertheless, global production of coal remains worry-ingly high. If catastrophic climate change is to be avoided, it must stop altogether within one or two decades. At the moment the world is still producing roughly 1 tonne of coal per capita each year.

List of countries by natural gas production

Here is a similar table for natural gas. Production is measured in m^3 per year. The final column indicates the date of the data.

1	United States	$728,\!200,\!000,\!000$	2014
2	Russia	578,700,000,000	2014
3	Iran	$438,\!000,\!000,\!000$	2017
4	Canada	$143,\!100,\!000,\!000$	2012
5	Qatar	$133,\!200,\!000,\!000$	2011
6	Norway	114,700,000,000	2012
7	China	$107,\!200,\!000,\!000$	2012
8	Saudi Arabia	103,200,000,000	2012
9	Algeria	82,760,000,000	2011
10	Netherlands	80,780,000,000	2012
	World	4,359,000,000,000	2010

15.12 Fossil fuel extraction must stop!

"Leave the oil in the soil! Leave the coal in the hole! Leave the gas under the grass!" That was message of protesters at the 2017 G20 meeting. But from the facts shown in this chapter, we can see that on the whole, fossil fuels are not being left in the ground, where they have to remain if an ecological disaster is to be avoided. On the contrary, the extraction of coal, oil and gas continues almost as though the climate emergency did not exist. Most politicians, with their eyes focused on the present, seem blind to future dangers. They think primarily about the jobs and living standards of their constituents, and about the next election. Meanwhile, the future of human civilization is neglected and remains in peril.¹²

The fact that historically, the highly industrialized nations were primarily responsible for atmospheric CO_2 increases does not excuse the developing countries from their responsibility for saving the future. Today China's coal, India's coal, Venezuela's tar sands and Brazil's pre-salt oil are among the greatest threats, and in these countries as elsewhere, extraction must stop.

We have to wake up! Business as usual cannot continue!

The Production Gap

Here are some quotations from the Executive Summary of the 80-page United Nations report, *The Production* Gap^{13} :

396

 $^{^{12} {\}rm See}~{\rm https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree}/2017/{\rm sep}/18/{\rm enough-tiptoeing-around-lets-make-this-clear-coal-kills-people}$

¹³http://productiongap.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Production-Gap-Report-2019.pdf
Governments are planning to produce about 50% more fossil fuels by 2030 than would be consistent with a 2 degree C pathway and 120% more than would be consistent with a 1.5 degree C pathway...

- This production gap is largest for coal. By 2030, countries plan to produce 150% (5.2 billion tonnes) more coal than would be consistent with a 2 degrees C pathway, and 280% (6.4 billion tonnes) more than would be consistent with a 1.5 degree C pathway.
- Oil and gas are also on track to exceed carbon budgets, as countries continue to invest in fossil fuel infrastructure that 'locks in" oil and gas use. The effects of this lock-in widen the production gap over time, until countries are producing 43% (36 million barrels per day) more oil and 47% (1,800 billion cubic meters) more gas by 2040 than would be consistent with a 2 degree C pathway.

This global production gap is even larger than the already-significant global emissions gap, due to minimal policy attention on curbing fossil fuel production.

Suggestions for further reading

- 1. P.B. Smith, J.D. Schilling and A.P. Haines, *Introduction and Summary*, in *Draft* Report of the Pugwash Study Group: The World at the Crossroads, Berlin, (1992).
- 2. World Resources Institute, *World Resources*, Oxford University Press, New York, (published annually).
- 3. J.R. Craig, D.J. Vaughan and B.J. Skinner, *Resources of the Earth: Origin, Use and Environmental Impact, Third Edition*, Prentice Hall, (2001).
- 4. W. Youngquist, Geodestinies: The Inevitable Control of Earth Resources Over Nations and Individuals, National Book Company, Portland Oregon, (1997).
- 5. M. Tanzer, *The Race for Resources. Continuing Struggles Over Minerals and Fuels*, Monthly Review Press, New York, (1980).
- 6. C.B. Reed, *Fuels, Minerals and Human Survival*, Ann Arbor Science Publishers Inc., Ann Arbor Michigan, (1975).
- A.A. Bartlett, Forgotten Fundamentals of the Energy Crisis, American Journal of Physics, 46, 876-888, (1978).
- 8. N. Gall, We are Living Off Our Capital, Forbes, September, (1986).
- 9. E.J. Hobsbawn, The Age of Empire, 1875-1914, Vintage Books, (1989).
- 10. L. James, The Rise and Fall of the British Empire, St Martin's Press, (1997).
- 11. N. Ferguson, *Empire: The Rise and Demise of the British World Order and the Lessons for Global Power*, Basic Books, (2003).
- 12. S. Schama, The Fate of Empire, 1776-2000, Miramax, (2002).
- 13. A.P. Thorton, *The Imperial Idea and Its Enemies: A Study in British Power*, Palgrave Macmillan, (1985).

- 14. H. Mejcher, Imperial Quest for Oil: Iraq, 1910-1928, Ithaca Books, London, (1976).
- 15. P. Sluglett, Britain in Iraq, 1914-1932, Ithaca Press, London, (1976).
- D.E. Omissi, British Air Power and Colonial Control in Iraq, 1920-1925, Manchester University Press, Manchester, (1990).
- 17. V.G. Kiernan, Colonial Empires and Armies, 1815-1960, Sutton, Stroud, (1998).
- 18. R. Solh, Britain's 2 Wars With Iraq, Ithaca Press, Reading, (1996).
- 19. D. Hiro, *The Longest War: The Iran-Iraq Military Conflict*, Routledge, New York, (1991).
- 20. T.E. Lawrence, A Report on Mesopotamia by T.E. Lawrence, Sunday Times, August 22, (1920).
- 21. D. Fromkin, A Peace to End All Peace: The Fall of the Ottoman Empire and the Creation of the Modern Middle East, Owl Books, (2001).
- 22. T. Rajamoorthy, Deceit and Duplicity: Some Reflections on Western Intervention in Iraq, Third World Resurgence, March-April, (2003).
- 23. P. Knightley and C. Simpson, *The Secret Lives of Lawrence of Arabia*, Nelson, London, (1969).
- 24. G. Lenczowski, The Middle East in World Affairs, Cornell University Press, (1962).
- 25. John A. Hobson, Imperialism; A Study, (1902).
- 26. P. Cain and T. Hopkins, British Imperialism, 1688-200, Longman, (2000).
- 27. N. Ferguson, Empire: The Rise and Demise of the British World Order and the Lessons for Global Power, Basic Books, (2003).
- 28. G. Kolko, Another Century of War, New Press, (2002).
- 29. G. Kolko, Confronting the Third World: United States Foreign Policy, 1945-1980, Pantheon Books, (1988).
- 30. M.T. Klare, *Resource Wars: The New Landscape of Global Conflict*, Owl Books reprint edition, New York, (2002).
- 31. Y. Nakash, The Shi'is of Iraq, Princeton University Press, (1994).
- 32. D. Fromkin, A Peace to End All Peace: The Fall of the Ottoman Empire and the Creation of the Modern Middle East, Owl Books, (2001).
- 33. S.K. Aburish, Saddam Hussein: The Politics of Revenge, Bloomsbury, London, (2001).
- M. Muffti, Sovereign Creations: Pan-Arabism and Political Order in Syria and Iraq, Cornell University Press, (1996).
- 35. C. Clover, *Lessons of the 1920 Revolt Lost on Bremer*, Financial Times, November 17, (2003).
- 36. J. Kifner, Britain Tried First. Iraq Was No Picnic Then, New York Times, July 20, (2003).
- J. Feffer, B. Egrenreich and M.T. Klare, *Power Trip: US Unilateralism and Global Strategy After September 11*, Seven Stories Press, (2003).
- 38. J.D. Rockefeller, *Random Reminiscences of Men and Events*, Doubleday, New York, (1909).
- M.B. Stoff, Oil, War and American Security: The Search for a National Policy on Oil, 1941-1947, Yale University Press, New Haven, (1980).

- 40. W.D. Muscable, George F. Kennan and the Making of American Foreign Policy, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1992).
- 41. J. Stork, Middle East Oil and the Energy Crisis, Monthly Review, New York, (1976).
- 42. F. Benn, Oil Diplomacy in the Twentieth Century, St. Martin's Press, New York, (1986).
- 43. R. Sale, Saddam Key in Early CIA Plot, United Press International, April 10, (2003).
- 44. K. Roosevelt, *Countercoup: The Struggle for the Control of Iran*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1979).
- 45. J. Fitchett and D. Ignatius, *Lengthy Elf Inquiry Nears Explosive Finish*, International Herald Tribune, February 1, (2002).
- 46. M.T. Klare, *Resource Wars: The New Landscape of Global Conflict*, Owl Books reprint edition, New York, (2002).
- 47. M. Klare, Bush-Cheney Energy Strategy: Procuring the Rest of the World's Oil, Foreign Policy in Focus, (Interhemispheric Resource Center/Institute for Policy Studies/SEEN), Washington DC and Silver City NM, January, (2004).
- 48. M. Klare, *Endless Military Superiority*, The Nation magazine, July 15, (2002).
- 49. M.T. Klare, *Geopolitics Reborn: The Global Struggle Over Oil and Gas Pipelines*, Current History, December issue, 428-33, (2004).
- 50. P. Grose, Allen Dulles: The Life of a Gentleman Spy, Houghton Mifflin, Boston, (1994).
- S. Warren, Exxon's Profit Surged in 4th Quarter, Wall Street Journal, February 12, (2004).
- 52. R. Suskind, The Price of Loyalty: George W. Bush, the White House and the Education of Paul O'Neill, Simon and Schuster, New York, (2004).
- 53. D. Morgan and D.B. Ottaway, In Iraqi War Scenario, Oil is Key Issue as U.S. Drillers Eye Huge petroleum Pool, Washington Post, September 15, (2002).
- 54. D. Rose, Bush and Blair Made Secret Pact for Iraqi War, The Observer, April 4, (2004).
- 55. E. Vulliamy, P. Webster and N.P. Walsh, *Scramble to Carve Up Iraqi Oil Reserves Lies Behind US Diplomacy*, The Observer, October 6, (2002).
- 56. Y. Ibrahim, Bush's Iraq Adventure is Bound to Backfire, International Herald Tribune, November 1, (2002).
- 57. P. Beaumont and F. Islam, *Carve-Up of Oil Riches Begins*, The Observer, November 3, (2002).
- 58. M. Dobbs, US Had Key Role in Iraq Buildup, Washington Post, December 30, (2002).
- 59. R. Sale, Saddam Key in Early CIA Plot, United Press International, April 10, (2003).
- 60. R. Morris, A Tyrant Forty Years in the Making, New York Times, March 14, (2003).
- H. Batatu, The Old Social Classes and the Revolutionary Movements of Iraq, Princeton University Press, (1978).
- 62. D.W. Riegel, Jr., and A.M. D'Amato, US Chemical and Biological Warfare-Related Dual Use Exports to Iraq and their Possible Impact on the Health Consequences of the Persian Gulf War, Report to US Senate ("The Riegel Report"), May 25, (1994).

- 63. P.E. Tyler, Officers Say US Aided Iraq in War Despite Use of Gas, New York Times, August 18, (2002).
- 64. D. Priest, Rumsfeld Visited Baghdad in 1984 to Reassure Iraqis, Documents Show, Washington Post, December 19, (2003).
- 65. S. Zunes, *Saddam's Arrest Raises Troubling Questions*, Foreign Policy in Focus, http://www.globalpolicy.org/, December (2003).
- 66. D. Leigh and J. Hooper, Britain's Dirty Secret, Guardi an, March 6, (2003).
- J. Battle, (Ed.), Shaking Hands With Saddam Hussein: The US Tilts Towards Iraq, 1980-1984, National Security Archive Electronic Briefing Book No. 82, February 25, (2003).
- 68. J.R. Hiltermann, America Didn't Seem to Mind Poison Gas, International Herald Tribune, January 17, (2003).
- 69. D. Hiro, Iraq and Poison Gas, Nation, August 28, (2002).
- 70. T. Weiner, *Iraq Uses Techniques in Spying Against its Former Tutor, the US*, Philadelphia Inquirer, February 5, (1991).
- 71. S. Hussein and A. Glaspie, *Excerpts From Iraqi Document on Meeting with US Envoy*, The New York Times, International, September 23, (1990).
- 72. D. Omissi, Baghdad and British Bombers, Guardian, January 19, (1991).
- 73. D. Vernet, *Postmodern Imperialism*, Le Monde, April 24, (2003).
- 74. J. Buchan, Miss Bell's Lines in the Sand, Guardian, March 12, (2003).
- 75. C. Tripp, Iraq: The Imperial Precedent, Le Monde Diplomatique, January, (2003).
- G.H.W. Bush and B. Scowcroft, Why We Didn't Remove Saddam, Time, 2 March, (1998).
- J.A. Baker III, The Politics of Diplomacy: Revolution, War and Peace, 1989-1992, G.P. Putnam's Sons, New York, (1995).
- H. Thomas, Preventive War Sets Serious Precedent, Seattle Post Intelligencer, March 20, (2003).
- 79. R.J. Barnet, Intervention and Revolution: The United States in the Third World, World Publishing, (1968).
- 80. T. Bodenheimer and R. Gould, *Rollback: Right-wing Power in U.S. Foreign Policy*, South End Press, (1989).
- 81. G. Guma, Uneasy Empire: Repression, Globalization, and What We Can Do, Toward Freedom, (2003).
- 82. W. Blum, A Brief History of U.S. Interventions: 1945 to the Present, Z magazine, June, (1999).
- 83. W. Blum, Killing Hope: U.S. Military and CIA Intervention Since World War II
- 84. J.M. Cypher, *The Iron Triangle: The New Military Buildup*, Dollars and Sense magazine, January/February, (2002).
- 85. L. Meyer, *The Power of One*, (World Press Review), Reforma, Mexico City, August 5, (1999).
- 86. W. Hartung, F. Berrigan and M. Ciarrocca, *Operation Endless Deployment: The War With Iraq Is Part of a Larger Plan for Global Military Dominance*, The Nation magazine, October 21, (2002).

- 87. I. Ramonet, *Servile States*, Le Monde diplomatique, Fromkin Paris, October (2002), World Press Review, December, (2002).
- 88. J.K. Galbraith, *The Unbearable Costs of Empire*, American Prospect magazine, November, (2002).
- 89. G. Monbiot, *The Logic of Empire*, The Guardian, August 6, (2002), World Press Review, October, (2002).
- 90. W.R. Pitt, The Greatest Sedition is Silence, Pluto Press, (2003).
- 91. J. Wilson, Republic or Empire?, The Nation magazine, March 3, (2003).
- 92. W.B. Gallie, Understanding War: Points of Conflict, Routledge, London, (1991).
- 93. R. Falk and S.S. Kim, eds., *The War System: An Interdisciplinary Approach*, Westview, Boulder, CO, (1980).
- 94. J.D. Clarkson and T.C. Cochran, eds., War as a Social Institution, Colombia University Press, New York, (1941).
- 95. S. Melman, The Permanent War Economy, Simon and Schuster, (1974). Morgan
- 96. H. Mejcher, Imperial Quest for Oil: Iraq, 1910-1928, Ithaca Books, London, (1976).
- 97. D. Hiro, *The Longest War: The Iran-Iraq Military Conflict*, Routledge, New York, (1991).
- 98. M. Klare, Bush-Cheney Energy Strategy: Procuring the Rest of the World's Oil, Foreign Policy in Focus, (Interhemispheric Resource Center/Institute for Policy Studies/SEEN), Washington DC and Silver City NM, January, (2004).
- 99. J. Fitchett and D. Ignatius, *Lengthy Elf Inquiry Nears Explosive Finish*, International Herald Tribune, February 1, (2002).
- 100. T. Rajamoorthy, Deceit and Duplicity: Some Reflections on Western Intervention in Iraq, Third World Resurgence, March-April, (2003).
- P. Knightley and C. Simpson, The Secret Lives of Lawrence of Arabia, Nelson, London, (1969).
- 102. G. Lenczowski, The Middle East in World Affairs, Cornell University Press, (1962).
- 103. D. Rose, Bush and Blair Made Secret Pact for Iraq War, Observer, April 4, (2004).
- 104. B. Gellman, Allied Air War Struck Broadly in Iraq; Officials Acknowledge Strategy Went Beyond Purely Military Targets, Washington Post, June 23, (1991).
- 105. M. Fletcher and M. Theodoulou, Baker Says Sanctions Must Stay as Long as Saddam Holds Power, Times, May 23, (1991).
- 106. J. Pienaar and L. Doyle, UK Maintains Tough Line on Sanctions Against Iraq, Independent, May 11, (1991).
- 107. B. Blum (translator), Ex-National Security Chief Brzezinski Admits: Afghan Islamism Was Made in Washington, Nouvel Observateur, January 15, (1998).
- 108. G. Vidal, *Dreaming War: Blood for Oil and the Bush-Cheney Junta*, Thunder's Mouth Press, (2002).
- 109. H. Thomas, *Preventive War Sets Serious Precedent*, Seattle Post-Intelligencer, March 20, (2003).
- 110. C. Johnson, The Sorrows of Empire: Militarism, Secrecy, and the End of the Republic, Henry Hold and Company, New York, (2004).

- 111. C. Johnson, *Blowback: The Costs and Consequences of American Empire*, Henry Hold and Company, New York, (2000).
- 112. M. Parenti, Against Empire: The Brutal Realities of U.S. Global Domination, City Lights Books, 261 Columbus Avenue, San Francisco, CA94133, (1995).
- 113. E. Ahmad, *Confronting Empire*, South End Press, (2000).
- 114. W. Greider, Fortress America, Public Affairs Press, (1998).
- 115. J. Pilger, *Hidden Agendas*, The New Press, (1998).
- 116. S.R. Shalom, *Imperial Alibis*, South End Press, (1993).
- 117. C. Boggs (editor), Masters of War: Militarism and Blowback in the Era of American Empire, Routledge, (2003).
- 118. J. Pilger, The New Rulers of the World, Verso, (2992).
- 119. G. Vidal, Perpetual War for Perpetual Peace: How We Got To Be So Hated, Thunder's Mouth Press, (2002).
- 120. W. Blum, Rogue State: A Guide to the World's Only Superpower, Common Courage Press, (2000).
- 121. M. Parenti, *The Sword and the Dollar*, St. Martin's Press, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010, (1989).
- 122. T. Bodenheimer and R. Gould, *Rollback: Right-wing Power in U.S. Foreign Policy*, South End Press, (1989).
- 123. G. Guma, Uneasy Empire: Repression, Globalization, and What We Can Do, Toward Freedom, (2003).
- 124. W. Blum, A Brief History of U.S. Interventions: 1945 to the Present, Z magazine, June, (1999).
- 125. W. Blum, Killing Hope: U.S. Military and CIA Intervention Since World War II
- 126. J.M. Cypher, *The Iron Triangle: The New Military Buildup*, Dollars and Sense magazine, January/February, (2002).
- 127. L. Meyer, *The Power of One*, (World Press Review), Reforma, Mexico City, August 5, (1999).
- 128. C. Johnson, Time to Bring the Troops Home, The Nation magazine, May 14, (2001).
- 129. W. Hartung, F. Berrigan and M. Ciarrocca, *Operation Endless Deployment: The War With Iraq Is Part of a Larger Plan for Global Military Dominance*, The Nation magazine, October 21, (2002).
- 130. C. Johnson, The Sorrows of Empire: Militarism, Secrecy, and the End of the Republic, Henry Hold and Company, New York, (2004).
- 131. C. Johnson, *Blowback: The Costs and Consequences of American Empire*, Henry Hold and Company, New York, (2000).
- 132. I. Ramonet, *Servile States*, Le Monde diplomatique, Paris, October (2002), World Press Review, December, (2002).
- 133. J.K. Galbraith, *The Unbearable Costs of Empire*, American Prospect magazine, November, (2002).
- 134. G. Monbiot, *The Logic of Empire*, The Guardian, August 6, (2002), World Press Review, October, (2002).
- 135. W.R. Pitt and S. Ritter, War on Iraq, Context Books

15.12. FOSSIL FUEL EXTRACTION MUST STOP!

- 136. W.R. Pitt, The Greatest Sedition is Silence, Pluto Press, (2003).
- 137. J. Wilson, *Republic or Empire?*, The Nation magazine, March 3, (2003).
- 138. R. Dreyfuss, Just the Beginning: Is Iraq the Opening Salvo in a War to Remake the World?, The American Prospect magazine, April, (2003).
- 139. D. Moberg, The Road From Baghdad: The Bush Team Has Big Plans For the 21st Century. Can the Rest of the World Stop Them?, These Times magazine, May, (2003).
- 140. J.M. Blair, The Control of Oil, Random House, New York, (1976).
- 141. R.S. Foot, S.N. MacFarlane and M. Mastanduno, US Hegemony and International Organizations: The United States and Multilateral Institutions, Oxford University Press, (2003).
- 142. P. Bennis and N. Chomsky, *Before and After: US Foreign Policy and the September* 11th Crisis, Olive Branch Press, (2002).
- 143. J. Garrison, America as Empire: Global Leader or Rouge Power?, Berrett-Koehler Publishers, (2004).
- 144. A.J. Bacevich, American Empire: The Realities and Consequences of US Diplomacy, Harvard University Press, (2002).
- 145. D.R. Francis, *Hidden Defense Costs Add Up to Double Trouble*, Christian Science Monator, February 23, (2004).
- 146. A. Sampson, The Seven Sisters: The Great Oil Companies of the World and How They Were Made, Hodder and Staughton, London, (1988).
- 147. D. Yergin, *The Prize*, Simon and Schuster, New York, (1991).
- 148. E. Abrahamian, *Iran Between Two Revolutions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1982).

Index

A billion added every fifteen years, 281 A Life On Our Planet, 150 A million species could face extinction, 358 A million species now threatened, 147 A new Joan of Arc, 90 Abandon the pursuit of growth, 62 Abolition of child labor, 281 Abolition of war, 284 Abrupt climate change, 38, 192, 198 Absolute limits, 284, 285 Absolutely sovereign nation-states, 120 Accelerated melting, 34, 196 Acidification of oceans, 30 Act on the science, 62Adams, John, 328 Advertisers on mass media, 337 Advertising, 291 Africa, 259, 262, 266, 268 Africa, population projections, 300 Agony of Iraq, 379 Agricultural land, 317 Agricultural monocultures, 287 Agricultural output, 286 Agricultural yields, 263, 309 Agriculture, 263 Air travel, 87 Air travel and pandemics, 210 Al Gore, 43, 44 Alaska, 363 Albedo effect, 27, 34, 39, 196, 198 Albedo feedback loop, 62 Alcohol-driven automobiles, 283 Alfred Lotka, 242 Algeria, 375 Alimentary canal, 243

All-destroying modern weapons, 343 Alley, Richard B., 191 Alliance for Climate Protection, 43 Already-defeated Japan, 329 Alternative for Germany party, 362 Alternative media, 337 Alternative Nobel Prize, 183 Amazon fires, 62 Amazon rainforest, 78, 102 Amazon rainforest dieback, 38, 198 America's top-heavy wealth distribution, 328 American Security Project, 361 Amsterdam, 62 Amy Goodman, 140 An Inconvenient Truth, 43 Anderson, Kevin, 116, 117 Angela Merkel, 15 Angola, 375 Animal feed, 264 Animal products, 306 Antarctic ice cap, 191 Antarctic icecap, 286 Antarctic sea ice loss, 38, 198 Anthropocene Extinction, 33 Anti-ecological policies, 62 Anti-science climate change denialism, 358 Antihuman weapons, 329 Antonio Guterres, 13, 15, 227 Apocalyptic fires and floods, 14 Apollo Gia Project, 191 Aquifers, 262, 265 Aquifers overdrawn, 190, 306, 308, 310, 314, 315Arab Spring, 361 Arable land, 259

Archbishop of Buenes Aires, 165 Arctic icecap, 286 Arctic methane release, 38, 198 Arctic permafrost, 117 Arctic permafrost thawing quickly, 62 Arctic sea ice loss, 34, 38, 191, 196, 198 Arctic temperatures, 191 Arctic temperatures match Florida's, 62 Are we evil?, 88 Area of cropland, 262, 310 Area of irrigated land, 316 Area under food production, 264 Argentina, 258 Arid grasslands, 262, 310 Aridity, 189, 265, 308, 314 Arrhenius, Svante, 51, 87 Articles dominated by trivia, 121 Artificial needs, 291 Asphalt melting, 388 Assange, Julian, 329 Assassinations, 330 Assumptions of classical economics, 243 Astonishing deceit, 143 Atmosphere of Venus, 179 Atmospheric water vapor, 34, 195 Attenborough, Lord Richard, 129 Attenborough, Sir David, 90, 120, 129, 139, 141 Australia, 258 Australian bush fires, 62 Australian politicians, 62 Availability of water, 311 Average crop yields, 312 Bangladesh, 189, 305 Bangladesh threatened, 193 Bangladesh under water, 117 Bangladesh, 30 million refugees, 361 Banking and governments, 235 Barack Obama, 176 Baron Hugo van Lawick, 155 BBC, 141, 358 BBC Television Division, 129

Beef is environmentally harmful, 306 Before the Flood, 175 Behavior of early humans, 153 Behavior of wild chimpanzees, 153 Belt of Tar, 392 Benefits of equality, 325, 326 Bergoglio, Jorge Mario, 165 Best Documentary Feature, 44 Best Original Song, 44 Betterment of the poor, 165 Beyond the fossil fuel era, 239 Big coal and oil corporations, 121 Bilateral agreements, 376 Biodiversity, 57, 115, 146, 172, 286, 287 Biodiversity and climate change, 62 Biodiversity conservation, 307 Biodiversity is collapsing, 14 Biodiversity loss, 30, 148 Biodiversity-based civilization, 62 Biological annihilation, 33 Biological diversity, 33, 287 Biology, 285 Biomass, 243, 283, 286 Biophysical capacity, 114 Biosphere, 27 Biosphere is being sacrificed, 109 Biotas, 287 Birth control, 273, 280, 284, 295, 363 Birth control programs, 273, 280 Black Friday, 363 Blood for oil, 375 Bolsonaro, Jair, 62, 78, 102 Boreal forest dieback, 38, 198 Borel's Statistical Mechanics, 245 Borlaug, Norman, 259 Bottom half of humanity, 328 Boulding, Kenneth E., 235, 279 Brazil, 259, 283 Brazil's economy, 392 Brazil's offshore oil, 392 Brazil's presalt oil, 396 Bread and circuses, 333 Brexit and refugees, 361

British North Sea oil, 394 British used poison gas in Iraq, 380 Brown, Lester R., 265, 292 Brundtland Report, 264, 312, 313 Buffet, Warren, 326 Bulgaria bans fracking, 390 Burned at the stake, 329 Burning of rainforests, 286 Bush fires in Australia, 62 Bush was obsessed with Iraq, 382 Business as usual, 62, 396 Cairo population conference, 274, 280 Cambridge University, 129, 159, 281 Canada, 258 Canadian Arctic, 363 Canadian government, 390 Canadian oil sands, 390 Capital, 285 Carbon budget, 92, 123 Carbon dioxide, 286 Carbon emissions, 189 Carbon footprint, 92 Carbon neutrality, 14 Carbon neutrality by 2050, 16 Carbon pollution accelerating, 19 Carbon tax, 176 Carbon-negative world, 116 Carbon-rich soils, 315 Caring for ecosystems, 173 Carrying capacity, 258, 281, 285, 291, 292, 308 Catastrophic climate change, 15, 27, 44, 53, 62, 87, 101, 113, 125, 187, 240, 312, 336, 387, 395 Catastrophic damage, 281 Catastrophic famine, 283 Cattle emit methane, 308 Ceballos, Gerardo, 33 Cerrado, 259 Change is coming, 109 Change the system, 109 Changes of diet, 363

Chemical energy, 285 Cheney, Brig. Gen. Stephen, 361 Chimpanzee's relationship to humans, 153 China, 189, 262, 265, 273, 280, 295, 300, 305 China's coal, 396 China's current population, 295 China's dynastic census data, 295 China's economic growth, 295 China's falling water table, 314 China's one-child policy, 295 China's population growth, 295 China's population policy, 314 China's population, historical, 295 China's strong central government, 295 China's use of coal, 387 China, rapid industrial expansion, 387 Chomsky, Noam, 353 Chronic flooding, 192 CIA, 329, 330 Civil Works Administration, 187, 365 Civilian Construction Corps, 187, 365 Civilization coming to an end, 118 Class warfare, 326 Clean energy, 119 Climate change, 13, 15, 27, 56, 189, 240, 262, 265, 286, 287, 300, 315 Climate change and agriculture, 314 Climate Change and Disasters, 359 Climate change and war, 361 Climate change denial, 139, 185, 334 Climate change emission pledges, 30 Climate Change: The Facts, 142 Climate crisis, 15, 92, 94, 118, 187, 352 Climate Crisis Summit, 62 Climate emergency, 62, 101, 112, 119, 187, 396 Climate financing, 31 Climate justice, 88 Climate Justice Now, 109 Climate refugees, 359 Climate Summit, 15 Climate tipping points, 39, 198 Climate-driven refugees, 78, 102

Climate-linked disasters, 140 Closed system, 242 Club of Rome, 290 Coal business failing, 15 Coal per capita, 395 Coal produced in Germany, 394 Coal produced in Poland, 394 Coal producers, 395 Coal production in India, 387 Coal reserves, 286 Coal reserves in China, 387 Coastal cities threatened, 192, 359 Coastal cities under water, 353 Cold War, 330 Collapse of our civilization, 90, 120, 141 Colombia, 375 Colombia University, Climate Science, 179 Colonialism, 325 Come together and save ourselves, 53, 336 Come with a plan, 62, 101Commoner, Barry, 294 Computer networks, 242 Computer software, 291 Concerns are justified, 98 Confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths, 210 Conflict and refugees, 360 Conflicts and climate change, 359 Conservation, 292 Construction and maintenance, 263 Consume far less, 352 Consume more, 337 Consumerism, 302 Consumption of fossil fuels, 243 Consumption of goods, 294 Consumption of meat, 313 Consumption of plant energy, 313 Consumption per capita, 122 Contamination of groundwater, 193 Continued extraction of fossil fuels, 387 Control government policy, 330 Conventional petroleum, 286 Cooking, 263 Cooperative banks, 244

Cooperative Movement, 302 COP24, 107, 119, 141 Corbyn, Jeremy, 112 Corn silk, 265 Coronavirus pandemic, 146 Corporate oligarchs, 185, 330 Corrupt governments, 317, 375 Cost could be \$2,000 trillion, 355 Cost of inaction, 353 Cost of US wars since 2001, 343 Costa Rica, 62 Countercurrents, 62 Counterfeit money, 236 COVID-19 pandemic, 209 Creativity, 291 Cretaceous-Paleogene Extinction, 31 Crimes against humanity, 62 Crisis of civilization, 237 Crisis predicted, 281 Critical moment, 148 Crop failures, 189, 265 Cropland, 268, 283 Cropland per capita, 239, 268, 273, 280, 283 Cropland, area of, 262 Cropland, limitations on, 262 Cuba has sent doctors to help, 227 Cultural inertia, 121, 125 Culturally-driven growth, 281 Culturally-driven population growth, 237 Cumulative carbon emissions, 355 Currency reform, 244 Current annual emissions, 388 Cut subsidies to oil, 176 Cutting military budgets, 343 Cyclical components of phenomena, 245 Cyclones, 14 Czech Republic bans fracking, 390 Daly, Herman E., 247

Darly, Herman E., 247 Danish islands threatened, 194 Darkened snow, 34, 196 Dasgupta, Sir Partha, 281 David Pimental, 312, 316 Davos Economic Forum, 90 Deadly climate conditions, 360 Deadly heat waves, 360 Debt at compound interest, 244 Debt crisis, 243 Decay of real wealth, 244 Decline of Arctic sea ice, 62 Decreased rainfall and agriculture, 314 Deep state, 330 Deepwater Horizon, 392 Deforestation, 166, 268, 287, 316 Deforestation in Brazil, 62 Deforestation in the US, 288 Degradation of free energy, 243 Degradation of topsoil, 268, 316 Degraded form, 243 Degraded land, 283 Delaware-sized iceberg, 192 Deliberately misled on climate change, 62 Demand, 262 Democracy Now, 187 Democracy requires knowledge, 329 Democratic Party primary election, 185 Democratic Republic of the Congo, 300 Demographic trap, 273, 280 Dependence on petroleum, 239 Depleted uranium, 381 Depletion of minerals in soil, 262 Depletion of topsoil, 310 Depression, 185, 292 Depression of 1929, 292 Desertification, 262, 300, 310, 359 Deserts are spreading, 14 Desperate situation can't continue, 352 Destruction of biodiversity, 146 Destruction of forests, 139, 268, 315 Destruction of habitats, 33 Destruction of nature, 62 Destruction of rain forests, 310 Devastating impacts of climate change, 176 Developed countries, 311 Developing countries, 375 Developing world, 30

Development, 273, 279 DiCaprio, Leonardo, 175 Dictatorships, 329, 375 Dietary changes, 363 Dirty wars, 330 Dirzo, Rudolfo, 33 Disappearing mineral resources, 283 Disaster, 139 Disasters might wake public, 139 Disease, 281, 284, 300 Disease and malnutrition, 283 Disease-resistant strains, 259 Disease-resistant varieties, 309 Disempowered TV viewers, 333 Disorder, 242 Dispersal of minerals, 243 Displaced persons, 359 Disruptive labor strikes, 62 Distribution problems, 262 Ditch meat, 150 Doctor Doolittle, 153 Don't underestimate your power, 62 Donald Trump, 143 Double-think totalitarian state, 114 Draft animals, 283 Dramatic changes to our diets, 150 Drinking water, 359 Drought, 30, 262, 304, 310 Dry-season water supply, 189, 305 Drying of forests and fires, 38, 197 Durable goods, 245 Dysentery, 273, 279 Earth has a fever, 50Earth's atmosphere, 179 Earthquakes, 390 Eastern Asia, 262 EAT-Lancet Commission, 306 Ecological breakdown, 118 Ecological catastrophe, 283, 317 Ecological catastrophes, 333 Ecological conscience, 121, 201 Ecological constraints, 284

Ecological footprint, 114, 308 Economic collapse, 249, 302 Economic costs of flooding, 194 Economic development, 295 Economic growth, 285, 289, 316 Economic reform, 240 Economic stability, 240 Economic system reform needed, 210 Economic tipping point, 52 Economics without growth, 289 Economists addicted to growth, 235 Economy as a digestive system, 243 Economy of Brazil, 392 Economy of exclusion, 176 Economy's circulatory system, 249 Economy's digestive tract, 249 Ecosphere, 249 Ecosystem functioning, 33 Education, 294 Education for women, 274, 280, 281 Edward Snowden, 330 Egypt, 300 Ehrlich, Paul R., 33, 294 Electrical networks, 242 Eliminating democracy, 330 Ellsberg, Daniel, 329 Emergency, 112 Emergency-scale transition, 54, 337 Emissions have to stop, 88 Emissions reduction curve, 53, 337 Empty-world economics, 285, 291 End fossil fuel subsidies, 14 End of the fossil fuel era, 185, 243, 286, 304 End this war against the planet, 15 Endangering nature, 129 Endless growth is impossible, 235 Endosomatic parts, 242 Energy, 31 Energy conservation, 286 Energy efficiency, 292 Energy for transportation, 302 Energy inputs of agriculture, 263, 304 Energy used for cooking, 312

Energy-dependence of agriculture, 311 Energy-intensive agriculture, 258, 264, 312 Entertaining ourselves to death, 121 Entropic transformation, 245 Entropy, 243 Entropy and economics, 240, 242, 245 Environmental catastrophe, 52, 335 Environmental changes, 287 Environmental conscience, 227 Environmental crisis accelerates, 52, 335 Environmental degradation, 284, 285 Environmental disaster, 337 Environmental impact, 289 Environmental Kuznets curve, 294 Environmental sustainability, 306 EPICA and Vostok ice cores, 44 Epidemics of plant diseases, 311 Equilibrium economics, 292 Equilibrium with the environment, 291 Equity, 88 Era beyond fossil fuels, 308 Eradication of indigenous people, 62 Erosion, 268 Erosion of topsoil, 316 Ethiopia, 300 Europe's right-wing parties, 362 European dependence on natural gas, 388 European Parliament, 99 Evangelli Gaudium, 176 Everyone who can vote must vote, 62 Excess human mortality, 360 Excessive human development, 129 Excessive use of pesticides, 62 Existential risk, 113 Existential risk to civilization, 116 Exosomatic parts, 242 Expansion of the money supply, 243 Exponential growth, 79, 122, 289, 291 Exponential increase, 244 Exporting coal and beef, 62 Extinction, 286 Extinction of marine species, 32 Extinction of species, 287

Extinction of terrestrial vertebrates, 32 Extinction Rebellion, 112, 143, 352 Extinction: The Facts, 146 Extinctions, 189 Extraction costs, 286 Extravagant gadgetry, 245 Extreme heatwaves, 117 Extreme weather conditions, 189 Extreme-weather events, 62, 78, 116, 352 Factories, 242 Failure of epic proportions, 114 Failure of monsoons, 190, 305 Failure of water supplies, 310 Failure to respond adequately, 120 Fake news, 115 Falling water tables, 295, 305, 308 Family planning, 239, 273, 280, 284, 363 Famine, 57, 125, 258, 265, 274, 280, 281, 283, 284, 295, 300, 304, 309, 311, 314, 317 Famine, disease and war, 239 FAO, 262 Farm buildings, 263 Farm Security Administration, 187, 365 Fascination with Africa, 153 Fascist forces, 62 Favelas, 273, 279 FDR, 363 FDR's First Inaugural Address, 363 FDR's New Deal, 185, 187 Feedback loop, definition, 34, 195 Feedback loops, 27, 117, 201, 240, 315 Feedstocks for fertilizer, 263 Feedstocks for pesticides, 263 Fertility rates, 300 Fertilizers, 263, 304, 311 Field machinery, 263 Figures. Christina, 62 Finance and distribution, 311 Financial reforms and regulations, 187 Finite food supply, 289 Fire destruction a dominant factor, 62 Fire storms, 62

Fires, 14 Fiscal policies and decisions, 14 Floods, 14, 30 Florida under water, 117 Florida, Richard, 291 Fly more, 337 Focus on what needs to be done, 107 Food and Agricultural Organization, 310, 316 Food and agriculture reform, 306 Food calorie outputs, 263 Food calories per capita, 264, 313 Food crisis, 306 Food insecurity in West Africa, 190, 305 Food losses and waste, 307 Food per capita, 268 Food production, 259, 281 Food security, 359, 360 Food supply, 284 Food supply and population, 237 Food system is broken, 308 Food-exporting countries, 258 Forest die-back, 117 Forest fires, 38, 197, 315 Forest loss, 268, 315 Forests, 285 Former Soviet Republic, 259 Fossil fuel corporations, 185, 334 Fossil fuel energy inputs, 263 Fossil fuel extraction must stop, 90, 120 Fossil fuel industry, 390 Fossil fuel producers, 394 Fossil fuels, 14, 16, 79, 120, 122, 240, 243, 263, 281, 283, 285, 286, 294, 308, 311, 317 Fossil fuels, continued extraction, 387 Fossil hominids, 155 Fossil-fuel-based civilization, 62 Fourth Amendment trashed, 330 Fracking, 390 Fracking banned by 9 countries, 390 Fractional reserve banking, 235, 243 Fragile ecological systems, 240 Framework Convention, 30

France bans fracking, 390 Frederick Soddy, 243 Free energy, 242, 243 Free energy and wealth, 243 Free market, 289 Freedom Party (Austria), 362 Friday school strikes, 19 Fridays for the Future, 94 From Argentina to Rome, 165 Fruit, 307 Fuelwood, 283 Full employment, 62 Full-world economics, 249, 285, 291 Future dangers, 396 Future food-production, 309 Future generations, 99 Future human needs, 114 Future of human civilization, 396 Future of megacities, 302 Galespie, April, 381 Galileo, 329 Gandhi, 129 Garden of Earthly Delights, 176 Gas production, 396 Geisler, Charles, 359 Gell-Mann, Murray, 237 Gene-splicing techniques, 210 General economic development, 281 General Groves, 329 Genetic diversity, 287 Geneva Convention, 226 Geothermal energy, 243 German production of coal, 394 Germany bans fracking, 390 Get rid of fashion, 245 Giampietro, Mario, 263 Glacial epochs, 287 Glacial melting, 191, 315 Glacial periods, 266 Glaciation, 33 Glaciers, melting of, 189, 305 Glaring contradiction, 330

Glickson, Andrew, 62 Global carbon debt, 356 Global catastrophic risk, 118 Global ceasefire, 227 Global Challenges Foundation, 117, 118 Global climate strike, 94 Global environment, 292 Global fertility rates, 300 Global inequalities, 98 Global Inequality organization, 328 Global mean temperature simulations, 355 Global production of coal, 395 Global surface temperature, 62 Global temperature, 27 Global warming, 30, 98, 189, 262, 286, 311, 360 Global warming and security, 361 Global Work Party, 183 Goddard Institute, Space Studies, 179 Gold standard, 244 Golden Dawn party (Greece), 362 Gombe research project, 155 Goodall, Jane, 153 Goodman, Amy, 112, 187 Goods, 289 Goods per capita, 294 Gordiano Bruno, 329 Gore, Al, 43 Government intervention, 286 Governmental responsibility, 292 Governments left to wither, 53, 336 Gradual decrease in population, 245 Grain production, 268 Grameen bank, 244 Grasslands, 262 Great Depression, 187 Greatly needed projects, 364 Greed, 236 Green economy, 16 Green New Deal, 62, 185, 187, 343, 365 Green Revolution, 259, 263, 309, 311, 312 Greenhouse effect, 34, 196 Greenhouse gas emissions, 360, 362

Greenhouse gases, 166, 286 Greenland, 363 Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, 62 Greenland ice cap, 191 Greenland ice cores, 38, 198 Greenland ice feedback loop, 195 Greenland ice more vulnerable, 194 Greenland's icecap melting fast, 194 Greta Thunberg, 15, 19 Greta Thunberg's TED talk, 87 Grey Owl, 129 Gross National Product, 235 Gross national product, 289 Gross, wholesale spying, 330 Groundwater, 265 Groundwater levels, 314 Growing populations, 316 Growth, 289 Growth implies future collapse, 121 Growth of population and industry, 121 Growth-oriented economics, 292 Guardian, 112 Gulf War, 381 Guterres warns world leaders, 15 Guterres, Antonio, 13, 15, 62, 90, 101, 102, 120, 227 Habias Corpus trashed, 330 Halt extraction of fossil fuels, 90, 120 Halving CO2 by 2030, 98 Hanauer, Nick, 326 Hansen's testimony to Congress, 180 Hansen, James, 116, 179, 390 Hardtalk interview with Jane Goodall, 164 Harvard Economic Barometer, 245 Health, 31 Health and social problems, 326 Healthcare a human right, 185

Heartbreaking footage, 146

Heat waves in Sweden, 109

Henderson, Bill, 53, 336

Heat deaths in India, 388

Heat waves, 30, 189

Hepatitis, 273, 279 Herman E. Daly, 247 Hieronymus Bosch, 176 High-yield agriculture, 304 High-yield varieties, 259, 263, 309 Higher status for women, 274, 281 Highway development, 269, 316 Hillsides, 268 Himalayas, 189, 305 Hindu Kush, 189, 305 Hobson, John A., 325 Holdren, John P., 294 Holocene Extinction, 33 Hong Kong, 273, 280 House of Commons, 112 Household items, 244 Hubbert peaks, 283, 286, 290 Hubbert, M. King, 286 Human Development Index, 300 Human economy, 285 Human ego is boundless, 122 Human misery, 259 Human rights trashed, 330 Human society a superorganism, 242 Human suffering, 281 Humane response to refugees, 362 Humanitarian crisis, 361 Humans cause global warming, 30 Hunger, 62 Hunter-gatherer societies, 281 Hurricanes, 14 Hurricanes becoming more severe, 194 Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria, 139 Hurricanes more severe, 115 Hussein, Saddam, 380 Hydropower, 286 Hyperbolic trajectory, 281 I=PAT, 294 Ice cores, 191 Ice mass of Antarctica, 62

Ice mass of Greenland, 62

Illegal lumbering condoned, 62

Illegal we do at once, 330 Imagine what we could do together, 89 Immediate action required, 90, 120, 361 Imperialism, A Study, 325 Increasing by \$16 trillion per year, 356 Index standard, 244 India, 189, 259, 295, 300, 305 India's coal, 396 India's Energy Crisis, 387 India's population, historical, 295 India's Prime Minister Modi, 387 Indian Minister of Power, 388 Indian monsoon disruption, 38, 198 Indigenous peoples, 201 Indiscriminate cutting of trees, 62 Indonesia, 273, 280, 300, 375 Industrial infrastructure, 120 Industrial Recovery Act, 187 Industrial Revolution, 237, 281, 325, 392 Industrial sector, 289 Industrial workers, 291 Industrialized countries, 283, 325, 375 Inequality, 326 Information and free energy, 242 Information-driven population growth, 237, 281Information-related work, 291 Infrastructure, 185, 187, 273, 279 Inland rainfall, 268 Inorganic fertilizer, 263 Input/output ratio, 263 Insect apocalypse, 62 Insect pollination of crops, 62 Institutional inertia, 120, 125 Intense flooding, 115 Interdependent global ecosystem, 352 International agreements, 31 International law, 376 Inundation of coastal cities, 30 Invest in renewables, 176 IPCC, 30, 43, 54, 98, 115, 118, 119, 189, 262, 311IPCC report from Inchon, 2018, 78, 101

Iran, 375 Iraq, 375 Iraq's war with Iran, 380 Irish Potato Famine, 263, 287, 311 Irreversible adverse climate change, 116 Irreversible biodiversity loss, 30 Irrigation, 263, 268, 304, 311 Irrigation of arid lands, 310 Israel and oil motivated Iraq war, 383 It's not too late, 143 IUCN, 33 Jacquetta Hawkes, 129 James Hansen, 179, 390 James Hansen's TED talk, 180 James van Allen, 179 Jane Goodall, 153 Jane Goodall Institute, 159 Jane Goodall's key discoveries, 155 Japan, 273, 280 Jaws of power, 329 Jefferson, Thomas, 328, 329 Job security, 294 Jobbic party (Hungary), 362 Jobs in renewable energy, 62 Jorge Mario Bergoglio, 165 Joseph Schumpeter, 245 Jungle burned for agriculture, 288 Keeling curve, 44 Keeling, David, 51 Keep that oil in the ground, 90, 120 Keynes, John Maynard, 292 KGB, 329 Kissinger, Henry, 330 Klein, Naomi, 140, 353 Kristensen, Thorkil, 285, 290 Kuwait, 375 Kuznets curve, 294 Labor, 285 Lack of action, 30 Lancet report on food reform, 306

Land Use Policy, 359

Landslide electoral victories, 365 Lang, Tim, 306 Lapham, Robert J., 273, 280 Large-scale famine, 304 Last frontier, 259 Late Devonian Extinction, 31 Late marriage, 239, 295 Laterite, 269 Laterization, 316 Laterization of soil, 269 Latin America, 262 Leakey, Louis, 153 Leeching by rain, 269 Lenton, Timothy Michael, 38, 198 Leonardo DiCaprio, 175 Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation, 240 Lerma Rogo, 259 Less animal products, 306 Lester Brown, 314 Lethal heat events, 360 Libya, 266, 375 Life.styles from mass media, 333 Lightning strikes, 315 Limitations on cropland, 262 Limiting fossil fuel production, 53, 336 Limiting global warming to 1.5° C, 78, 101 Limits for adaption, 118 Limits to Growth, 121, 249, 290 Line in the sand, 78, 101 Liquid fuels, 286 Listen to the scientists and act, 62 Livestock feed, 263 Living standards, 294, 396 Local currencies, 302 Local self-sufficiency, 302 Long-term future, 302 Long-term sea level rise, 194 Look for action. Then hope will come, 62, 123Looming financial instability, 115 Lord Richard Attenborough, 129 Los Alamos, 329 Losing battle against climate change, 15

Loss of cropland, 310 Louis Leakey, 153 Love for animals, 153 Low-carbon economy, 90, 120 Low-lying countries under water, 353 Luxembourg bans fracking, 390 Luxuries of the few, 109 M.S. Swaminathan, 309 M5, 329 Madmen and economists, 235 Magna Carta trashed, 330 Mahler, Halfdan, 271, 279 Main grain types, 314 Mainstream media, 330, 358 Major coal producers, 395 Major extinction event, 33 Major fossil fuel producers, 394 Major oil producers, 394 Making excuses, 52, 335 Maldives threatened, 193 Malik, Charles, 365 Malnutrition, 30 Malthus, Thomas Robert, 237, 239, 284, 289 Malthusian forces, 239, 281, 300 Man-made disaster, 90, 120 Mania of growth, 235 Mann, Michael E., 116 Marginal land, 262, 268, 283, 316 Mario Giampietro, 312 Market forces, 294 Market mechanisms, 292 Markets solve all problems?, 53, 336 Martyr to the truth, 329 Mass dietary shift, 150 Mass media, 88, 121, 122, 139, 331 Mass migration, 361 Massive non-linear events, 79, 117 Massive spying, 330 Material structures, 242 Mature forests, 315 Maudlin, W. Parker, 273, 280 Mayumi, Kozo, 247

McKibben, Bill, 182, 183, 353 Meat consumption, 264, 313 Media as a battleground, 331 Media neglect of climate change, 333 Medicine, 287 Megacities, 302 Melted asphalt, 388 Melting glaciers, 304 Melting of Arctic ice, 190, 305 Melting of glaciers, 189, 305, 308 Melting of polar ice, 189 Melting of polar icecaps, 117, 166 Merchants of Doubt, 62 Merkel, Angela, 15, 362 Metabolic throughput, 249 Methane, 62 Methane hydrate feedback loop, 27, 32, 37, 39, 196, 198, 240 Methane plumes, 191 Methane, 10,000 gigatons, 37, 196 Mexico, 288, 300, 375 Microscopic fixes for vast problems, 52, 336 Middle East, 266, 387 Migration into Europe, 361 Migration to cities, 58, 273, 279 Migration, political reactions, 361 Militarization of governments, 375 Military spending, 343 Military use of oil, 375 Military-industrial complex, 343 Mill, John Stuart, 258 Mineral resources, 317 Minerals, 285 Mining ancient groundwater, 190, 305, 314, 315MIT Technology Review, 387 Mitigation, 31 Modern agriculture, 281, 311 Modern powerholders, 331 Modern societies, 333 Modern warfare and oil, 375 Monbiot, George, 52, 112, 335 Monetizing underground "assets", 334

Money and growth our main concerns, 92 Money and wealth, 243 Money drives the mania of growth, 235 Money driving decisions, 390 Monoclonal antibodies, 210 Monocultures, 287, 311 Monsoon, 189, 305 Monsoon disruption, 38, 198 Monsoon failures, 190, 305 Moral responsibility, 92 More than hope, we need action, 90 Mossad, 329 Muhammad Yunus, 244 Muhith, Abdul, 361 Multi-century sea level rise, 194 Multi-meter sea level rise, 194 Multigenerational families, 331 Multiple interrelated crises, 125 Muniruzzaman, Maj. Gen, 361 Mustard gas and nerve gas, 381 Myopic national self-interest, 114 N. Georgescu-Roegen, 245 Naomi Klein, 140, 240 Narrow and shrinking window, 119 NASA, 179 National Academy of Sciences, 33 National Front party, 362 National Geographic, 62 National Geographic Chanel, 331 National Geographic Society, 159 National Industrial Recovery Act, 365 National Priorities Project, 343 Nationalism a dangerous anachronism, 120 Nationalization of banks, 244 Natural capital, 285 Natural environment, 139 Natural gas, 263, 286, 311 Natural gas production, 396 Natural habitat destruction, 33 Natural habitat is ablaze, 147 Natural resources, 31, 285 Nature always strikes back, 13

Nature's pollinators, 148 Nature: Climate Change, 360 Near East, 262 Negative Arctic Oscillation, 191 Negative entropy, 242 Neoliberalism, 53, 336 Net carbon sink, 307 Net primary product, 285 Net zero emissions, 13 Netherlands threatened, 194 New global agricultural revolution, 306 New Joan of Arc, 90 New York Times, 329 New Zealand, 62 Nick Hanauer's TED talk, 326 Nigeria, 300 Nineteen Eighty-Four, 330 Nitrous oxide, 62 No one ever talked about it, 87 No war, No warming, 343 Nobel Peace Prize, 43, 44 Non-renewable resources, 240, 243, 286, 291 Norman Borlaug, 309 North Atlantic Anomaly, 190, 305 North Sea oil, 394 Northern Africa, 262 Northern Ireland bans fracking, 390 Norwegian North Sea oil, 394 Nuclear families, 333 Nuclear war is possible, 79, 117 Nuclear winter effect, 343 Nuremberg Principles, 329 Nutrient-poor soils, 269 Nuts, 307

Obama, Barack, 176, 360 Obscenely enormous military budget, 187 Ocasio-Cortez, Alexandria, 62, 185, 187 Ocean acidity and temperatures, 62 Ocean current changes, 190, 305 Ocean currents, 189 Ocean level rises, 189 Oceans choking with plastic waste, 14 Ogallala aquifer, 190, 262, 266, 306, 310, 315 Oil, 286, 311 Oil and war in Iraq, 380 Oil producers, 394 Oil reserves in OPEC countries, 387 Oil sands in Canada, 390 Oil-rich regions, 330 Oklahoma, 262 Older people marginalized, 333 Oligarchies, 329 Oligarchs own the government, 330 Oligarchy replaces democracy, 328 One child policy enforcement, 295 OPEC countries, 387 Open diplomacy, 329 Optimum global population, 240, 258, 283 Ordinary people do not want war, 343 Ordovician-Silurian Extinction, 31 Oreskes. Naomi, 62 Organic agriculture, 245 Orinoco River, 392 Orwell's dystopian prophesy, 330 Orwell, George, 114, 330 Our Common Future, 264 Our house is on fire, 92 Our leaders are behaving like children, 90, 120Output per hectare, 283 Overdrawn aquifers, 308 Overexploitation of ecosystems, 62 Overgrazing, 262, 285, 310 Overshoot and crash, 281

Pace of change, 118 Pachauri, R.K., 54 Pacific islands threatened, 193 Package of broadcasts, 333 Packaging and retailing, 263 Pakistan, 189, 259, 262, 300, 305 Palm oil production, 139 Pandemics of the past, 210 Paraguay, 273, 280 Paris Agreement, 79, 88, 98, 113, 116, 119, 143, 307, 355 Paris goals, 53, 337 Parker, Laura, 192 Party for Freedom, 362 Pastoral societies, 281 Pasturage, 262, 268, 283 Patagonia, 363 Pecci, Aurelio, 290 Pelosi, Nancy, 187 Pentagon Papers, 329 People themselves, 329 People's Party-Our Slovakia, 362 Peoples' Climate March, 62 Per capita food calories, 264 Permafrost melting, 38, 198, 359 Permian extinction, 30 Permian-Triassic Extinction, 27, 31 Personal utopia, 122 Pesticides, 263, 304, 311 Petrobras, 392 Petroleum, 263 Petroleum and war, 379 Petroleum price, 258 Petroleum production in Russia, 388 Petroleum, conventional, 286 Petroleum-based agriculture, 239, 312 Petroleum-derived fibers, 283 Petroleum-driven tractors, 283 Phase out fossil fuel finance, 14 Philippines, 300 Photosynthesis, 285, 314 Photovoltaics, 286 Pimental, David, 263, 268 Pipeline wars, 382 Planetary boundaries, 115 Planetary duty, 352 Planetary emergency, 50 Plant diseases, 263, 287 Plant energy, 264 Plant genetics, 259 Plant-based foods, 306 Pledges remain unmet, 30

Plosti oil fields, 245 Plunder of our planet, 62 Poison gas used in Iran war, 380 Poisoning of water supplies, 390 Polar ice, melting, 189 Policymakers' magical thinking, 115 Policymaking cognitatively dissonant, 114 Polish production of coal, 394 Polite conversation, 121 Political expediency, 114 Political instability, 360 Political paralysis, 352 Political will, 78, 102, 139 Politicians, next election, 396 Politics of global warming, 334 Pollination of corn, 314 Pollination of crops, 62 Pollination of rice, 314 Pollution, 286 Polya, Gideon, 356 Poor and most vulnerable, 31 Pope Francis I, 62, 99, 165, 166, 201 Pope Francis meets Leonardo DiCaprio, 175 Pope John Paul II, 165 Popularity and ratings, 121 Population, 289, 294 Population and fossil fuel use, 281 Population crash, 237, 258 Population density, 258 Population extinction pulse, 33 Population growth, 262, 284, 309, 316 Population growth and poverty, 271, 279 Population headed for a crash?, 281 Population losses and declines, 33 Population of 9 billion, 317 Population of China, 295 Population of India, 295 Population oscillations, 285 Population pressure, 284 Population pressure, poverty and war, 240 Population projections in Africa, 300 Population stabilization, 122, 273, 279, 292, 304

Population/cropland ratio, 283 Populations displaced by war, 361 Populations of animals, 285 Populism in the US, 362 Positive checks, 284 Positive feedback loops, 34, 195 Post-fossil-fuel era, 114, 264, 281, 312 Postman, Neil, 121 Potato blight, 287 Potentially irreversible threat, 119 Potsdam Institute, 31, 194 Poverty, 62, 284, 291, 308 Poverty alleviation, 31 Power and possessions, 122 Powers of government, 294 PR offensives, 143 Prakash, Varshini, 187 Predatory delay, 53, 336, 337 Preemptive war, 383 Presalt oil, 392 President claims right to kill, 330 Pressure the people in power, 62 Preventing an ecological apocalypse, 52, 335 Preventive checks, 284 Price of petroleum, 258 Prioritizing basis human needs, 62 Private banks, 243 Production of natural gas, 396 Profits, 289 Profits of stockholders, 121 Progressive values, 185 Prohibition of weapons production, 245 Propaganda, 331 Propaganda and entertainment, 333 Protesting at the Swedish parliament, 107 Provision of health services, 281 Public education, 331 Public health, 273, 280 Public opinion, 331 Public transportation, 292, 294 Public work projects, 187 Pull the emergency brake, 107 Pulses, 307

Put a price on carbon, 14 Pyush Goyal, 388 Qaddafi, Muammar, 266 Quick action must be taken, 123 Racism, 185 Radical action needed now, 14 Radical transformation, 119 Rainfall, 189, 262, 265 Rainforests, 286 Rapid and unprecedented changes, 119 Rapid change is required, 89 Rapid population growth, 310 Rate of species loss, 33 Ravings of capital city greenies, 62 Re-balance use of time, 245 Real needs, 291 Real power belongs to the people, 109 Recession will come, 122 Reconcile with nature, 15 Record-breaking heatwaves, 115 Recycling resources, 292 Red meat, 307 Redgrave, Vanessa, 343 Reduce emissions to net zero, 13 Reduce meat consumption, 150 Reduced consumption of meat, 363 Reforestation, 292 Reformed economic system, 240 Refugee crisis, 360, 361 Refugees from rising temperatures, 360 Regional agreements, 31 Relinquish complacency and denial, 352 Renewable energy, 27, 122, 185, 240, 243, 283, 292, 317 Renewable energy infrastructure, 62, 187 Renewable energy sources, 283, 286 Renewable substitutes, 317 Repair Earth's carbon cycle, 62 Reporting climate change, 331 Resource curse, 375 Resource-extracting firms, 376

Resources, 243 Resources per capita, 308 Responsibility for planetary stewardship, 352 Responsibility towards future generations, 80, Saudi Arabia, 266, 375 123Restrict air travel, 87, 145 Reverse transition, 283 Revolutions in outlook and lifestyles, 120 Rice-growing river deltas, 193 Richard Wilkinson's TED talk, 326 Rio Earth Summit, 113 Rise by 1.84-5.49 m by 2500, 194 Rise like lions, 331 Rising ocean levels, 308 Risk management, 31 Risk to human civilization, 78, 116 River deltas threatened, 359 Robinson, Alexander, 195 Rockefeller Foundation, 259 Rockström, Johan, 306 Role of the media, 331 Romanian National Peasant Party, 245 Roosevelt's New Deal programs, 365 Roosevelt, Eleanor, 365 Roosevelt, Franklin D., 62, 185, 292, 363, 365Roosevelt, Theodore, 365 Roots and Shoots, 159 Roumania bans fracking, 390 Royal Society of the UK, 62 Rules have to be changed, 90 Rumsfeld, Donald, 381 Russia's reserves of oil and gas, 388 Russian Arctic oil production, 388 Russian petroleum industry, 388 Saddam invaded Kuwait, 381 Sahel, 262, 310 Saint Francis of Assisi, 165 Sale of African land, 300 Salination, 262, 268, 310 Sanctions led to 500,000 deaths of children, 382

Sanders, Senator Bernie, 62, 185 Satellite based data, 191 Saturation pressure, 34, 195 Saving the future, 89, 90, 120 Saving threatened species, 139 Scale up solutions, 16 Scarce natural resources, 359 Schoolstrike for climate action, 94 Science, 98 Science means nothing to politicians, 89 Scientific evidence, 30 Scientific Revolution, 237 Scientific revolution, 281 Sea ice loss, 34, 196 Sea level projections to 2500, 194 Sea level rise, 30, 38, 193, 198, 201, 286, 304, 359Sea level rise accelerating, 19 Sea level rise, long term, 194 Sea level rise, short term, 192 Sea levels 20 meters higher, 353 Season of Smoke, 140 Second law of thermodynamics, 243 Secrecy versus democracy, 329, 330 Secret diplomacy, 329 Secret land purchases, 317 Secret treaties, 329 Secret weapons development, 329 Secure jobs, 294 Security threats, 361 Seed, 264 Self-sufficient economy, 302 Sense of urgency, 176 Sequestered carbon, 268, 315 Service sector, 289 Severe global famine, 239 Severe hurricanes, 115 Shallow ice-free seas, 191 Shaw, Pamela, 292 Shelley, 331 Shi'ites abandoned and slaughtered, 382 Shift tax burden to polluters, 14

Shift to plant-based foods, 150 Shiva, Vandana, 62, 353 Shooting Santa Claus, 122 Short-term political advantage, 114 Shortened food chain, 363 Shrinking ice sheets, 19 Siberia, 363 Siberian Traps, 32 Signed by 11,000 scientists, 62 Singapore, 273, 280 Sins against ecology, 62 SIPRI, 343 Sir David Attenborough, 129, 139 Sir David testifies in Parliament, 143 Sir Frederick Gowland Hopkins, 129 Sixth mass extinction, 33, 88 Slum archbishop, 165 Slums, 273, 279 Small hydro, 243 Smaller families, 286 Smith, Adam, 289, 291 Snowden's revelations, 330 Snowden, Edward, 330 Social conscience, 121, 201, 227 Social costs of coastal flooding, 194 Social distancing, 209 Social games, 88 Social inequality, 263, 311 Social insects, 242 Social Security Administration, 187, 365 Society of Jesus (Jesuits), 165 Soil conservation, 292 Soil erosion, 262, 268, 310, 316 Solar energy, 80, 122, 243, 285 Solar thermal power, 286 Solidarity, not sanctions, 226 Solutions exist, 98 Sonora 64, 259 Soot particles, 34, 196 Sources and sinks, 249 South Africa, 286 South America, 330 Southeast Asia's food supply, 190, 305

Southern Africa, 262 Southern Asia, 262 Spain, 62 Spain bans fracking, 390 Speak out in clear language, 92 Species loss, 33 Stabilization of population, 284, 363 Staggering ignorance of Trump, 143 Standard of living, 283 Stark warning, 146 Starvation, 239, 283, 311 State of the Planet broadcast, 13 State-provided care of elderly, 281 Statistical probability, 242 Steady-state economics, 122, 247, 289 Steady-state economy, 292 Stern Report, 189, 262, 265, 268, 314, 315 Stern Review, 305 Stern, Sir Nicholas, 189 Stock market crash, 363 Stockholm, 291 Stockholm Resilience Center, 306 Stop fossil fuel extraction, 139 Stop the expansion of extraction, 53, 337 Storm surges, 193 Storms of My Grandchildren, 179 Strikes, 62 Struggle for power and possessions, 122 Student climate strike in Belgium, 90 Submarginal land, 262, 310 Subprime mortgage crisis, 235 Suicidal war on nature, 13 Summer water supplies, 191, 308, 315 Sunrise Movement, 187, 343 Superorganisms, 242 Sustainability, 285, 289, 291, 292, 302 Sustainability crisis, 88 Sustainable and equitable path, 15 Sustainable goals, 286 Sustainable limit, 281, 283 Sustainable society, 98 Svante Arrhenius, 51, 87 Svante Thunberg, 87

Swaminathan, M.S., 259 Sweden, 107 Sweden Democrats party, 362 Switzerland bans fracking, 390 Symbols of power, 331 Synthetic fertilizers, 283 Tarzan, 153 Tax carbon, not salaries, 16 Tax evasion, 176 Tax of 70% on ultra-wealthy, 187 Tax pollution, not people, 16 Tax structure, 286 Taxation, 286, 294 Taxpayers are left with the bill, 236 Technology, 283 Technology, transfer of, 271, 279 TED Talks, 326 Television, 121 Television part of education, 331 Television underestimated, 331 Tell it like it is, 107 Temperature and agriculture, 265, 314 Temperature and levels of CO2, 44 Temperature increase, 189 Terawatt, definition, 286 The Case for Optimism (TED), 52 The Guardian, 33, 52, 112, 139, 185, 306, 331, 335, 352 The issue of water, 171 The jaws of power, 328 The Jungle Book, 153 The party is over, 121 The rules have to be changed, 90, 120 The Shadow of Man, 159 The world's 10 richest billionaires, 328 Thermal expansion of oceans, 286 Thermohaline circulation, 38, 198 Thermonuclear war, 125 Thirst for power and possessions, 176 This report is my testimony, 62 Thom Hartmann, 240 Thou shalt not kill, 176

Thunberg, Greta, 15, 19, 62, 87, 90, 99, 107, 109, 120, 123 Thunberg, Svante, 87 Tidal energy, 243 Tim Jackson, 240 Tipping point, 27, 78, 102 Tipping points, 240 Tipping points and feedback, 38, 198 Tipping points, definition, 38, 198 To control Soviet Union, 329 Top Gear, 337 Topsoil, 268 Topsoil, loss of, 262 Totnes, Devon, England, 302 Traditional agriculture, 312 Traditional rain patterns, 360 Traditional societies, 331 Transition Towns, 302 Transportation, 263 Transportation links, 242 Transportation of grain, 311 Trees growing at the South Pole, 353 Trees, destruction of, 262 Triassic-Jurassic Extinction, 31 Trillions of Pentagon dollars missing, 343 Triumphant denialism, 53, 337 Tropical cyclones, 30, 189 Tropical rain forests, 33, 262, 287 Trump, Donald, 19, 78, 102, 119, 143, 185, 362 Truth To Power, 44 Truthout, 112 Turkey, 262 Two Academy Awards, 44 Two billion malnourished, 308 Typhoid fever, 273, 279 UK declares climate emergency, 112 UN Framework Convention, 30 UN General Assembly, 15, 54 UN Secretary-General, 102 UN's global mission, 13 Undemocratic government, 375

Undercover operations, 329 Understatement of Existential Climate Risk, 113Unemployment, 185, 187, 273, 279, 291, 292, 364 **UNEP**, 316 Unequal distribution of incomes, 325 UNFCCC, 62 **UNHCR**, 359 UNICEF, 227 Unidirectional transformation, 245 Union of Concerned Scientists, 119 United Nations Charter, 226 United Nations Framework Convention, 113 United States, 258, 262, 300 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 365 Universal healthcare, 185 University College, Leicester, 129 University of Leicester, 129 Unprecedented changes, 92 Unprecedented heat waves, 30 Unprecedented wildfires, 50 Unsustainable economic growth, 352 Unsustainable lifestyles in media, 337 Unsustainable use of groundwater, 314 Urban growth, 269, 316 Urban sprawl, 359 Urbanization, 262, 273, 279, 310 Urgency of our situation, 102 US Democratic Party, 185 US Department of Energy, 390 US food system, 263 US grain belt, 265 US sanctions, 226 User-owned banks, 244 USSR, 262 Values from the mass media, 333 Van Allen, James, 179

Vapor pressure, 34, 195 Vatican, 62 Vegetables, 307 Vegetarian or vegan diets, 150 Venezuela, 375 Venezuela's Belt of Tar, 392 Venice threatened, 194 Vested-interest pressure, 115 Vietnam War, 329, 343 Vitousek et. al., 285 Volcanic eruptions in Siberia, 32 Wali, Mohan, 265 War, 281, 284, 295, 300 War and population pressure, 240 War in Syria, 361 War on the natural world, 13 Warning from the World Bank, 30 Wasdell, David, 191 Wassely Leontief, 245 Waste products, 243 Water availability, 262 Water erosion, 268 Water resources, 265 Water scarcity, 30 Water stress, 57 Water supplies, 242, 262 Water supplies near to dwellings, 281 Water tables, 310 Water tables falling, 295 Water vapor a greenhouse gas, 34, 195 Watering-down scientific findings, 115 Wave energy, 243 Wave power, 286 WCED, 264 We are many, 331 We have the facts and solutions, 89 We have to change, 88 We have to speak clearly, 109 We must act and act quickly, 364 We must act now, 78, 101We want to protect you, 330 Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt, 244 Welfare, 31 West African monsoon loss, 38, 190, 198, 300, 305 Western hegemony, 330

What about corporations?, 143 What about governments?, 143 What is entropy?, 242 What Lies Beneath, 113 What would Malthus say today?, 239 Wheat farms, 262 Wheat varieties, 259 Whistleblowers, 330 Wholesale electronic spying, 330 Why wasn't it made illegal?, 87 Why were there no restrictions?, 87 Widespread corruption, 176 Wild vegetation, 316 Wildfires in Sweden, 109 Wilkinson, Richard, 326 Willful blindness, 122 Wilson, E.O., 33, 287 Win-win diet, 307 Wind energy, 79, 122, 243 Wind erosion, 262 Wind power, 286 Winning slowly means losing, 53, 337 Winter heating of homes, 388 Women, education for, 274, 280 Women, higher status for, 274, 280 Work with poor slum-dwellers, 165 Workaholic habits, 245 Works Progress Administration, 365 World Bank, 27, 30 World Bank Group, 31 World Bank press release, 193 World Bank warning, 30 World Development Report, 30 World Economic Forum survey, 78, 116 World food supply, 316 World Health Organization, 209 World Meteorological Organization, 19 World Meteorological Organization report, 61, 101 World population projections, 295 World Scientists Warning, 62 World War I, 329 World's oil reserves, 392

World's poorest three billion, 117 Worldwatch Institute, 265, 292, 314 Worship of power, 122 Wuhan, China, 209

Yields per hectare, 264 Young population, 273, 280 YouTube, 337

Zutt. Johannes, 193